

Consumer Behaviour as Determined by Narcissism and Lack of

Marital Satisfaction

Ramanpreet Kaur¹*, Anantdeep Kaur²

ABSTRACT

Recent research in consumer psychology has tried to understand consumer behavior as influenced by society, media and needs of an individual. This paper draws on the empirical evidence that suggests that feelings of insecurity correlate with materialistic tendencies, and that materialistic people are less happy in relationships. The role of relationship satisfaction and narcissism in bringing materialistic tendencies to the fore in an individual has been examined in countless studies across the globe. It is understood that the materialists who seek happiness in possessions, not people, also exhibit narcissism along with relationship dissatisfaction and it is also established that unhappy people make good consumers. This paper throws light on how one's relationship with their spouse can fuel materialistic desires in an individual and how narcissistic individuals seek external validation through consumption and show-off.

Keywords: Consumer Behaviour, Determined, Narcissism, Lack, Marital Satisfaction

"Materialism is an identity crisis." Bryant H. McGill

Materialism is understood as a tendency to consider material possessions and physical comfort as having more importance than higher spiritual values. It has gained widespread attention across the globe for its far reaching and rather gripping effects on the consumer psyche. Noting the importance of materialism, Twitchell (1999) stated," of the 20th century's various– isms, it has been the one that has ultimately triumphed" (p. 16). The word "materialism" finds its roots in philosophy (Micken and Roberts, 1999). It is the philosophical conceptualization that nothing exists except matter and its movement (Scott, 2009). Lange (1873-75), in his book, "The History of Materialism" (p. 215), described the then prevalent notion about materialism is a common value orientation prevalent among people in the industrialized capitalistic cultures. In a culture

¹ Department of Psychology, Panjab University, Chandigarh

² Department of Laws, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar

^{*}Responding Author

^{© 2016} I R Kaur, A Kaur; licensee IJIP. This is an Open Access Research distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

which places much emphasis on an individual's economic accomplishments, people tend to harbour extrinsic aspirations involving financial goals, materialistic assets and acquisitions, and identify success with social recognition (Kasser & Ryan, 1993). According to Social Comparison Theory (Festinger, 1954), people have a tendency to evaluate themselves by comparison with others in groups when objective means are not available. Richins (1991) suggested that social comparison takes place with models in the advertisements. Individuals compare themselves with others who are worse off (i.e. downward comparison) to boost their self-esteem, with others who are better off (i.e. upward comparison), or with the idealized photo-shopped media images (Schiffman & Kanuk, 2004). People who engage in comparison with the idealized media images end up having unrealistically high expectations of their standards of living. Materialism is a complex phenomenon (Larsen et al., 1999), acting as a subject matter for researches in various fields in social sciences such as advertising, psychology, consumer behavior and marketing, economics, political science, and anthropology (Mannion & Brannick, 1995). Accordingly, it has been seen and understood from socio-cultural as well as individual perspectives (Hunt et al., 1996). It's also been noticed that materialism has different connotations: negative (e.g., Micken and Roberts, 1999), positive (e.g., Scott, 2009), and neutral (e.g., Larsen et al., 1999).

According to Richins and Dawson (1992), three traits of individuals who subscribe to a materialistic ideology are:

- (a) acquisition as the pursuit of happiness,
- (b) possession-defined success, and
- (c) acquisition centrality.

First, materialistic individuals require material goods and possessions to be content in their life and prefer to pursue happiness by acquiring assets and possessions. Second, such individuals have a tendency to judge a person's success by the quantity and quality of the material goods and financial assets owned by them. Lastly, these individuals consider material goods and possessions to be the central aspect of their lives.

A materialistic individual is someone preoccupied with the acquisition of material possessions and equates them to happiness and fulfillment. This is observed especially in the instances when the owning of the possessions is motivated by emotional reasons (such as to look better and feel better) rather than functional reasons (such as to communicate with others, to connect with others, to travel, and so on). In The Affluent Society (1998), Galbraith claimed that despite the increasing wealth of the society, people are not happier, rather they have become unhappier. He maintained that materialism breeds discontentment and affluence creates unintended consequences and attracts scholarly attention over the rise of materialism as a social value. It is observed that money is a diagnostic indicator of status and power (Belk, 1985). Richins (1994) asserted that the material goods reflect the values individuals embrace. Mukerji (1993) defined materialism as "*a general lust for goods*" (cited in Mannion and Brannick, 1995, p. 2).

There are two forms of materialism proposed by Csikszentmihalyi & Rochberg-Halton (1981):

- A. Positive instrumental materialism: When possessions and money make life easier, safer, or more enjoyable. For instance, material objects like photo albums aid in strengthening the interpersonal relationships while also serving a functional purpose of acting as a personal narrative and reminding individuals of important relationships in their lives (Ahuvia, 2005; Dittmar, 2004, 2008; Belk, 1988;).
- B. Detrimental terminal materialism: When consumption accords social recognition to the consumer, and also status and external rewards that terminal materialists view tied to their wealth and possessions (Csikszentmihalyi & Rochberg-Halton, 1981).

Marital Satisfaction

The concept of marital satisfaction finds its roots in Social Exchange Theory (Thibault & Kelley, 1959), Role Theory (Waller & Hill, 1951), and Symbolic Interaction Theory (Burr et al., 1979). Marital satisfaction may be defined as the amount of satisfaction husbands/wives report with their marriages. Marital satisfaction is a complex and multidimensional phenomenon extensively explored by the most diverse fields (Acevedo et al., 2012; Selcuk et al., 2010; Feeney, 1999; Karney & Bradbury, 1995; Gottman, 1993; Feeney & Noller, 1992, 1990). Marital satisfaction is being content and happy within one's marriage (Bagwell, 2006). Factors with cultural, religious, legal and educational origins, and the like, help in shaping of our ideal of what is required for constituting an affective relationship, especially a marital one (Wendorf et al., 2011; Lucas et al., 2008; Lalonde et al., 2004).

The spouses estimate their marital satisfaction by keeping track of costs and benefits of their liaison, from the time since they're together and for this reason the socio-cultural context is of great importance in interpersonal relations, acting as a means of ecological adaptability for the individuals in a marital relationship. Studies also reveal that there are differences within the same culture that may persuade spouses to adopt different criteria for marital satisfaction, influenced by the socio-political and socio-cultural contexts of the countries they live in (Lucas et al., 2008). In western industrialized cultures i.e. individualistic cultures, the criteria of marital satisfaction are related to goals of self-gratification or to the ideals of happiness established between spouses whereas in the countries with a more collectivist background, the criteria of marital satisfaction relate to the way relatives of the spouses are treated (Lalonde et al., 2004). It has been found that couples, who value money and possessions highly tend to have less effective communication, struggle with conflicts in their relationship, are less responsive to each other compared to the couples who are non-materialistic. Materialism can have an eroding effect on relationships (Caroll et al., 2011).

Various factors influence marital satisfaction in couples such as demographics, like religious heterogamy (Williams & Lawler, 2003), individual factors such as attachment security (Cobb et al., 2001) or alcoholism (Kelly et. al, 2000), marital interactions like the husband's contribution to household labor (Allen & Webster, 2001) or intimacy goal (Sanderson & Canter, 2001), and

also the influence of external stress (Neff & Karney,2004). Depression plays a major role in marital satisfaction in married couples (Dillaway & Broman, 2001; Spangenberg & Theoran, 1999). The more costs a marriage partner inflicts on an individual, the less satisfied one feels with the marriage and with the marriage partner (Xuanningfu et al., 2001).

Research has clearly shown that there are psychological and physiological benefits to intimate relationships, particularly marriage (Kamp Dush & Amato, 2005; Kiecolt-Glaser & Newton, 2001). Romantic relationships, particularly high quality romantic relationships, confer benefits such as social support, companionship, love, and sexual involvement (Laumann et al., 1994; Waite & Gallagher, 2000).

Narcissism

The term narcissism was coined by Havelock Ellis in 1898. He meant it as a sexual perversion characterized by the taking of the self as a sexual object. Kazdin (2002) described it as any aspect of the complex state of self-esteem which includes things as overweening pride, arrogance and sensitivity to insult. Freud (1957, 1914) located narcissism in the developmental history of the individual when they pass through anal phase of their psychosexual development with its attendant focusing on the physical self. He thought of narcissism as the "Love of the self", in terms of the libido (1914). A narcissist, according to Freud, is a person who is in love with himself and feels sexual satisfaction from admiring himself/herself in mirror and caressing his/her body as if it were that of another person. Individuals when impelled by these fixations may continue to seek ways to satisfy their narcissistic cravings.

Jacobson (1964) explained narcissism in object-relationship terms by developing the concept of self representations. He implicated the role of intricate interplay of feelings of both love and hatred directed towards self-representation in the development of self-esteem.

Empirical studies on narcissism accelerated owing to the development of Narcissistic Personality Inventory (NPI) by Raskin and Hall (1979). Multivariate analysis of the NPI revealed that narcissism is a multi-dimensional construct. Emmons (1984) found that the three adaptive NPI scales were positively associated with self esteem, extroversion, dominance and independence and negatively related with self abasement, self-ideal discrepancy, neuroticism and social anxiety.

The DSM IV described narcissistic personality as possessing a grandiose sense of self importance. The individual's exhibitionism and desire to gain attention and admiration from others is particularly notable. The manual's diagnostic description and criteria for the narcissistic personality are:

- (1) Inflated self-image,
- (2) Inter-personal exploitativeness,
- (3) Cognitive expressiveness,

- (4) Insouciant temperament and
- (5) Deficient social conscience (Corsini & Auerbach, 1998).

Subclinical (or normal) narcissism has three key characteristics: an inflated self-concept, a relative lack of interest in warm interpersonal relationships, and reliance upon self-regulatory strategies.

Narcissists tend to be egocentric, self-obsessed and self-focused. They believe that they are unique and special, and also have feelings of grandiosity and entitlement. They exhibit low empathy, are indifferent toward others' needs, and are exploitative in relationships. They are more interested in getting attention and admiration from others than closeness and intimacy with them. They make special efforts to ensure that they look good, feel positive and important, and look for opportunities for self-enhancement (Campbell & Foster, 2007; Sedikides & Gregg, 2008). Narcissists demand and need constant attention, admiration, affirmation, and applause from others in order to reinforce their false sense of themselves. They are self-aggrandizing and have manipulative interpersonal orientation coupled with a sense of entitlement. They only bother about appearances and are shallow. They lack empathy and everything and everyone around them are mere objects, which they consider are unconditionally and uncomplainingly available for their instant gratification. Narcissists are firmly convinced of their superiority, immunity, omnipotence, self-importance, entitlement to special treatment, immediate gratification, and leniency (indulgence) (Vaknin, 2002).

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Materialism is a complex concept requiring lot of exploration and contextual research (Burroughs & Rindfleisch, 2002; Kilbourne et al., 2005). The concept always arouses controversy as it does not allow for clear classification in terms of "good" and "evil." A large majority of researchers have remained critical of this concept, describing it as a *dark side variable* (Burroughs & Rindfleisch, 2002) emphasizing its strong negative relationship with happiness, well-being, and satisfaction with life (Belk, 1985; Burroughs & Rindfleisch, 2002; Richins & Dawson, 1992) as well as several other variables (Kasser, 2002). Some researchers also point to the positive aspects of materialism (Kilbourne et al., 2005) or its positive and negative aspects (Csikszentmihalyi & Rochberg-Halton, 1981; Górnik-Durose, 2002). Positive or "instrumental" materialism views possessions as a means of accomplishing aims and achieving goals inclusive of values aimed at self-fulfillment. However, negative or "terminal" materialism makes possession a goal in itself (Csikszentmihalyi, 2000).

Richins and Dawson (1992) identified the following traits in materialistic individuals:

(a) a need for a high level of income,

- (b) a greater emphasis on financial security and less on interpersonal relationships,
- (c) a preference to spend more on themselves and less on others, and
- (d) a lower level of satisfaction with their life in general.

Materialism and Marital Satisfaction

Studies have discussed how a materialistic-based approach to impress others can lead to selfdetrimental behaviors or cultural fads that constitute health risks to people in society such as unsafe sexual practices, drug abuse, and eating disorders (Christopher & Schlenker, 2004; Leary et al., 1994); many of which document risk factors for marital dissolution and lower marital quality (Locke & Newcomb, 2003; Teachman, 2003; Woodside et al., 2000; Newcomb, 1994; Kahn & London, 1991).

Researchers contend that materialistic individuals focus less on the fulfillment of intrinsic needs, such as competence, relatedness, and autonomy and place a high value on income and possessions (Kashdan & Breen, 2007; Kasser et al, 2004). While intrinsic needs could be fulfilled in both work and family life, the extrinsic needs are likely to be satisfied through income from work. This supremacy of work over family as a source of extrinsic need fulfillment indicates that the pursuit of materialism may be important in explaining work-family conflict.

Materialistic individuals demonstrate greater levels of relationship conflict (Belk, 1985; Kasser et al., 2004; McCullough et al., 2002). Some authors suggest that this negative relationship with well-being results from the fundamental value conflicts that arise from having a predominantly self-focused worldview (Burroughs & Rindfleisch, 2002). It is also found that those individuals higher in materialism were also less satisfied with particular aspects of their life such as 'standard of living' (satisfaction with material possessions), 'family life' (satisfaction with spouse/partner/children) and 'amount of fun and enjoyment' (satisfaction with fun and enjoyment in life), than those less materialistic individuals (Sirgy, 1998; Richins & Dawson, 1990; 1992; Richins, 1987). Studies have revealed that in comparison to non-materialistic individuals, materialistic individuals tend to be less satisfied with specific aspects of their lives, such as relationships with their friends (Richins & Dawson, 1992). It has been observed that materialism is also been linked with feelings of inadequacy in an individual, which lead to increased efforts to enhance self-image through possession and use of material goods (Lee et al., 2000; Yurchisin & Johnson, 2004). Based on such findings, it is reasonable to hypothesize that materialistic tendencies may create a perceptual context which influences how marriages are affected by financial variables. Financial matters are closely linked to family discord, marital problems and divorce (Poduska, 1995; Madanes, 1994; Notarius & Markman, 1993). Koutstaal (1998) in his study found that financial satisfaction was positively related to marital satisfaction, and conflict over finances was inversely related to marital satisfaction.

Materialistic individuals experience difficulty in establishing close relationships (Kasser et al., 2004) and rate their relations less favorably (Kasser & Ryan, 2001). Because they express less empathy and objectify people, materialists may feel alienated from others (Kasser et al., 2004).

Materialistic individuals focus their thoughts and behaviors toward possessions over other pursuits. They place more emphasis on acquiring material goods as compared to investing in

relationships, social experiences, or intellectual enrichment (Christopher et al., 2004; Richins & Rudmin, 1994; Richins & Dawson, 1992).

Dean et al. (2007) hypothesized that materialism affects marital satisfaction in two ways: (a) via a direct negative relationship with marital satisfaction and (b) via an indirect negative impact on marital outcomes by increasing the degree to which spouses' perceive there are financial problems in their relationship.

It was found that there is a direct negative relationship between wife's materialism and husband's marital satisfaction, however, husbands' materialism was not found to be significantly related to wives' marital satisfaction.

Kasser (2002) found that students with high materialism scores reported lower quality relationships with others. Materialism removes other pursuits from one's life, as time and resources are spent on obtaining material things and relationships are neglected and suffer (Kasser, 2002). Vohs et al. (2008) observed that people who are reminded of money desire less physical intimacy with others and prefer to participate in more solitary activities.

Materialism and Narcissism

William James (1890) asserted that possessions are an integral part of one's self-concept. He opined, "A man's Self is the sum total of all that he CAN call his, not only his body and his psychic powers, but his clothes and his house,... his yacht and bank-account" (p.291). In the same vein, Sartre (1943, 1958) stated, "The totality of my possessions reflects the totality of my being. I am what I have" (p.591).

In data obtained from a sample of undergraduate consumers (N=238) with varying degrees of spending problems, positive associations emerged between narcissism, materialism, and compulsive buying (Paul, 2007). The ones who vouch for consumerism are unable to see the needs of others (Easwaran, 1997).

Dunning (2007) and Sedikides et al. (2007) suggested that narcissists as consumers are likely to make purchases of prestigious and exclusive products to sustain and heighten the feeling of self-positivity. And they tend to show an inclination towards prestigious products with high symbolic value. In doing so, they attempt to enhance their self-esteem by increasing their apparent status, through obtaining admiration and envy from others (Sedikides et al., 2007). Researchers reveal that when narcissistic consumers make a choice, they have a greater tendency to sacrifice utilitarian aspects of the products (Sedikides et al., 2007).

For narcissists, the purchase and consumption of rare and unique products is likely to function as an interpersonal strategy to project a colorful lifestyle to others (Chatterjee & Hambrick, 2007). Recent research indicates that narcissistic consumers have a strong affinity for scarce products

(Lee & Seidle, 2012). They are motivated to validate their positive and grand self-view by procuring a scarce product that they perceive will confer a unique value upon them. And when these individuals are presented with a scarce product, they rush to interpret the scarcity-related purchase situation as an opportunity to validate their excessively positive self-view and elevate their status through the purchase (Lee & Seidle, 2012).

The possession of unique products is likely to be considered as an accomplishment for narcissists, which elicits praise and positive feedback from others (Marshall et al., 2015). People purchase products for pragmatic reasons, but people purchase products as means to define and express themselves (Dittmar, 1992; Belk, 1985), to regulate their moods or emotions (Dittmar, 2011), to enhance their self-esteem or elevate social status (Banerjee & Duflo, 2007; Sedikides et al., 2007), and they buy products to fulfill their needs for self-efficacy or mastery also (Dittmar, 2011). Sivanathan and Pettit (2010) asserted that individuals resort to conspicuous consumption in an attempt to restore their threatened self-image, treating consumption as an indirect source of self-affirmation (Sherman and Cohen, 2006). Material possessions are a rich source of information about others' identity (Burroughs et al., 1991).

Narcissists report more interest in collecting wealth and social status than in pursuing affiliation (Kasser & Ryan, 1996). They desire material possessions (Cohen & Cohen, 1996) and exhibit high economic aspirations (Roberts & Robins, 2000), they prioritize financial goals over social goals, and are prone to purchasing high-status and expensive goods, which signal status and sophistication (Richins, 1994).

It is suggested that materialism may exacerbate the signature narcissistic characteristics such as self-sufficiency or autonomy, egocentricity, competitiveness, unwillingness to help others, and poor interpersonal relationships in the individuals. In a research study, participants primed with money (vs. those in a control condition) were found to be less likely to ask for help and to help others, prefer to work and play alone, and tend to keep a larger physical distance between themselves and others (Vohs et al., 2006). Individuals preoccupied with money are found to be egocentric (Belk, 1985) and feel disconnected from others around them (Pieters, 2013).

CONCLUSION

Materialism is an important concept, which is of utmost interest to academicians, policy-makers, and market researchers. The purpose of the article was to review materialism literature and trace its link with marital satisfaction and narcissism. It comes as no surprise that people who are materialistic tend to be narcissistic and concerned with impressing people by flaunting their wealth. They have a tendency to be insecure, depressed and have relatively poor relationship skills which in turn can cause marital problems. To turn the situation on its head, narcissism, whose incidence rate is steadily increasing across the globe (Twenge et al. 2008), and the marital conflict along with its correlates need to be attended. However, researchers are yet to determine if this association between materialism and marital dissatisfaction is due to value differences

between spouses or if materialism is problematic even when both partners place a similar priority wealth (Carroll et al., 2011).

REFERENCES

- Acevedo, B., Aron, A., Fisher, H., & Brown, L. (2012). Neural correlates of marital satisfaction and well-being: Reward, empathy, and affect. *Clinical Neuropsychiatry*, *9*, 20-31.
- Ahuvia, A.C. (1992). For the love of money: materialism and product love. In Sherry, J. & Sternthal, B. (Eds.), *Meaning, Measure, and Morality of Materialism* (pp. 188–198). Provo, UT: Association for Consumer Research.
- Allen, S. M. & Webster, P. S. (2001). When wives get sick: Gender role attitudes, marital happiness, and husbands' contribution to household labor. *Gender &* Society, 15(6), 898-916.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4th ed., text rev.). doi:10.1176/appi.books.9780890423349.
- Bagwell, E.K. (2006). Factors Influencing Marital Satisfaction with a Specific Focus on Depression. Senior Honors Theses. Paper 38.
- Banerjee, A.V. & Duflo, E. (2007). The economic lives of the poor. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 21,(1), 141–167.
- Belk, R. W. (1988). Possessions and the extended self. Journal of Consumer Research, 15 (9), 139-168.
- Belk, R.W. (1985). Materialism: Trait aspects of living in the material world. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 12, 265–280.
- Burr, W. R., Leigh, G. K., Day, R. D. & Constantine, J. (1979). Symbolic interaction and the family. In W. R. Burr, R. Hill, F. I. Nye & I. L. Reiss (Eds.), *Contemporary theories about the family* (Vol. 2, pp. 42-111). New York: Free Press.
- Burroughs, J. E. & Rindfleisch, A. (2002), Materialism and Well-Being: A Conflicting Values Perspective. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 29 (12), 348-70.
- Burroughs, W. J., Draws, O. R. & Hallman, W. K. (1991). Predicting personality from personal possessions: A self-presentational analysis. In F. W. Rudmin (ed), *To Have Possessions-A Handbook on Ownership and Property*. Special issue of Journal of Social Behavior and Personality, 6 (6), 147-164.
- Campbell, W.K. & Foster, C.A. (2002). Narcissism and commitment in romantic relationships : An investment model analysis. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 28(4), 484-495.
- Carroll, J. S., Dean, L. R., Call, L. L., & Busby, D. M. (2011). Materialism and marriage: Couple profiles of congruent and incongruent spouses. *Family and Consumer Sciences Research Journal*, 10(4), 287-308. doi:10.1080/15332691.2011.613306
- Chatterjee, A., & Hambrick, D.C. (2007). It's all about me: Narcissistic chief executive officers and their effects on company strategy and performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 52 (3), 351–386.

- Christopher, A. N. & Schlenker, B. R. (2004). Materialism and affect: The role of self presentational concerns. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 23, pp. 260-272.
- Christopher, A. N., Marek, P. & Carroll, S. M. (2004). Materialism and attitudes toward money: An exploratory investigation. *Individual Differences Research*, 2(2), 109-117.
- Cobb, R. J. & Bradbury, T. N. (2001). Attachment security and marital satisfaction: The role of positive perceptions and social support. PSPB 27(9), 1131-1143.
- Cohen, P., & Cohen, J. (1996). Life values and adolescent mental health. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Corsini, RJ. and Auerbach, AJ. (Eds.) (1998) : *Concise Encyclopedia of Psychology* (2nd ed.), John Wiley and Sons: New York.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. & Rochberg-Halton, E. (1981). *The Meaning of Things: Domestic Symbols and the Self*, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). The costs and benefits of consuming. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 27, 297-312.
- Dean, L., Carroll, J., & Yang, C. (2007). Materialism, perceived financial problems, and marital satisfaction. *Family and Consumer Sciences Research Journal*, *35*, 260–281.
- Dillaway, H. & Broman, C. (2001). Race, class, and gender differences in marital satisfaction and divisions of household labor among dual-earner couples. *Journal of Family Issues*, 22(3), 309-327.
- Dittmar, H. (1992). *The Social Psychology of Material Possessions: To Have is to Be*. Hemel Hempstead: Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- Dittmar, H. (2004). Are you what you have? The Psychologist, 17(4), 206-210.
- Dittmar, H. (2007). The costs of consumer culture and the cage within: The impact of the material good life and body perfect ideals on individuals' identity and well-being. *Psychological Inquiry*, 18(1), 23–59.
- Dittmar, H. (2008). *Consumer culture, identity and well-being:The search for the 'good life' and the 'body perfect'*. European monographs in social psychology. Hove & New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- Dittmar, H. (2011). Material and consumer identities. In S. J. Schwartz, K. Luyckx, & V. L. Vignoles (Eds.), *Handbook of identity theory and research* (Vol. 2, 745–769). New York, NY: Springer Science Business Media.
- Dunning, D. (2007). Self-image motives and consumer behavior: How sacrosanct self-beliefs sway preferences in the marketplace. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, *17*, 237-249.
- Easwaran, E., (1997). *The End of Sorrow: The Bhagavad Gita for Daily Living*, (Vol. I), Mumbai: Jaico Publishing House.
- Ellis, H. (1898). Auto-eroticism: A psychological study. Alienist and Neurologist, 19, 260-299.
- Emmons, R.A. (1987). Narcissism: Theory and measurement. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52, 11-17.
- Feeney, J. A. (1999). Adult attachment, emotional control and marital satisfaction. *Personal Relationships*, 6, 169-185. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6811.1999.tb00185.x

- Feeney, J. A., & Noller, P. (1990). Attachment style as predictor of adult romantic relationships. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 58, 281-291. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.58.2.281
- Feeney, J. A., & Noller, P. (1992). Attachment style and romantic love: Relationship dissolution.AustralianJournalofPsychology,44,69-74.http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00049539208260145
- Festinger, L.(1954). A theory of social comparison processes. Human Relations, 7, 117-140.
- Freud, S. (1914/1957). On Narcissism: An introduction. Standard Edition, 14, 73-102.
- Fromm, E. (1976). To have or to be?. New York: Harper and Row.
- Galbraith, John K. 1998. *The Affluent Society, Fortieth Anniversary Edition*. Houghton Mifflin Company: New York.
- Górnik-Durose M. (2002). Psychologiczne aspekty posiadania między instrumentalnością a społeczną użytecznością dóbr materialnych [Psychological aspects of ownership between instrumentality and social utility of material goods]. Katowice, Poland: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Śląskiego.
- Gottman, J. M. (1993). The roles of conflict engagement, escalation, and avoidance in marital interaction: A longitudinal view of five types of couples. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *61*, 6-15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.61.1.6
- Hunt, J.M., Kernan, J.B. & Mitchell, D.J. (1996). Materialism as social cognition: People, possessions, and perception. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 5(1), 65-83.
- Jacobson, E. (1964). The self and object world. International Universities Press: New York.
- Kahn, J. & London, K. (1991). Premarital sex and the risk of divorce. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 53, 845-855.
- Kamp Dush, C.M., & Amato, P. R. (2005). Consequences of relationship status and quality for subjective well-being. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationship*, 22 (5), 607–627. DOI: 10.1177/0265407505056438
- Karney, B. R., & Bradbury, T. N. (1995). The longitudinal course of marital quality and stability: A review of theory, methods, and research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 118, 3-34. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.118.1.3
- Kashdan, T. B., & Breen, W. E. (2007). Materialism and diminished well-being: Experiential avoidance as a mediating mechanism. Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 26, 521–539.
- Kasser, T. (2002). The high price of materialism. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Kasser, T., & Ryan, R. M. (1996). Further examining the American dream: Differential correlates of intrinsic and extrinsic goals. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 22, 280-287.
- Kasser, T., & Ryan, R. M. (2001). Be careful what you wish for: Optimal functioning and the relative attainment of intrinsic and extrinsic goals. In P. Schmuck & K. M. Sheldon (Eds.), *Life goals and well-being: Towards a positive psychology of human striving* (116– 131). Ashland, OH: Hogrefe & Huber.

- Kasser, T., & Ryan, R.M. (1993). A dark side of the American dream: Correlates of financial success as a central life aspiration. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 65, 410-422.
- Kasser, T., Ryan, R. M., Couchman, C. E., & Sheldon, K. M. (2004). Materialistic values: Their causes and consequences. In T. Kasser & A. D. Kanner (Eds.), *Psychology and consumer culture: The struggle for a good life in a materialistic world*, (11–28). Washington, DC:American Psychological Association.
- Kazdin A.E. (Ed.) (2002). *Encyclopedia of Psychology* (Vol. 5), Oxford University Press, Washington, D.C.
- Kelly, A. B., Halfork, W. K., & Young, R. McD. (2000). Maritally distressed women with alcohol problems: The impact of a short-term alcohol-focused Intervention on drinking behavior and marital satisfaction. *Addiction* 95 (10), 1537-1549.
- Kilbourne, W., Grunhagen, M., & Foley, A. (2005). A cross-cultural examination of the relationship between materialism and individual values. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, *16*, 624- 641.
- Koutstaal, S. W. (1998). What's money got to do with it? How financial issues relate to marital satisfaction. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, *54* (3), 971A (UMI No. 9826461)
- Lalonde, R. N., Hynie, M., Pannu, M., & Tatla, S. (2004). The Role of culture in interpersonal relationships: Do second generation South Asian canadians want a traditional partner? *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 35,* 503-524. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022022104268386
- Lange, F. A. (1873-75). The history of materialism. (Bertrand Russell, Trans., 3RD edition). New York:Arno Press.(Original work published 1925).
- Larsen, V., Sirgy, J.M. & Wright, N.D. (1999).Materialism: The construct, measures, antecedents, and consequences. *Academy of Marketing Studies Journal*, 3 (2),78-110
- Laumann, E. O., Gagnon, J. H., Michael, R. T., & Michaels, S. (1994). The social organization of sexuality: Sexual practices in the United States. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Leary, M. R., Tchividjian, L. R., & Kraxberger, B. E. (1994). Self-presentation can be hazardous to your health: Impression management and health risk. *Health Psychology*, *13*, 461-470.
- Lee, S. Y. & Seidle, R. (2012). Narcissists as consumers: The effects of perceived scarcity on processing of product information. *Social Behavior and Personality*, *40*(*9*), 1485–1500.
- Lee, S., Lennon, S.J., & Rudd N. A.(2000). Compulsive consumption tendencies among television shoppers. *Family and consumer Sciences Research Journal*, 28 (4), 463–88.
- Locke, T. F. & Newcomb, M. D. (2003). Psychosocial outcomes of alcohol involvement and dysphoria in women: A 16-year prospective community study. *Quarterly Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, 64, 531-546.
- Lucas, T., Parkhill, M. R., Wendorf, C. A., Imamoglu, E. O., Weisfeld, C. C., Weisfeld, G. E., & Shen, J. C. (2008). Cultural and evolutionary components of marital satisfaction: A multidimensional assessment of measurement invariance. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 39, 109-123. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0022022107311969

Madanes, C. (1994) The secret meaning of money. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

- Mannion, C. & Brannick, T. (1995). Materialism and its measurement. *Irish Business and Administrative Research*, 16, 1-15.
- Marshall, T.C., Lefringhausen, K., & Ferenczi, N. (2015). The Big Five, self-esteem, and narcissism as predictors of the topics people write about in Facebook status updates. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 85 (1), 35–40.
- McCullough, M. E., Emmons, R. A., & Tsang, J. (2002). The grateful disposition: A conceptual and empirical topography. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82, 112–127.
- Mickens, K. & Roberts, S. D. (1999). Desperately seeking certainty: Narrowing the materialism construct. *Advances in Consumer Research*, *36* (1), 513-518.
- Mukerji, C. (1993), From Graven Images: Patterns of Modern Materialism. Columbia University Press: New York.
- Neff, L. A. & Karney, B. R. (2004). How does context affect intimate relationships? Linking external stress and cognitive processes within marriage. *PSPB* 30(2), 134-148.
- Newcomb, M. D. (1994). Drug use and intimate relationships among women and men: Separating specific from general effects in prospective data using structural equation models. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 62, 463-476.
- Notarius, C. & Markman, H., (1993). We can work it out. New York: Putnam.
- Paul, R. (2007). Mediators of the association between narcissism and compulsive buying: the roles of materialism and impulse control. *Journal of the Societies of Psychologists in Addictive Behaviours*, 21, 576-81.
- Pieters, R. (2013). Bidirectional dynamics of materialism and loneliness: Not just a vicious cycle. *Journal of Consumer Research,* 40 (12). Doi: 10.1086/671564
- Poduska, B. E. (1995). For love and money: How to share the same checkbook and still love each other. Salt Lake City, UT: Deseret Book Company.
- Raskin, R. & Hall, C.S. (1979). A Narcissistic Personality Inventory. *Psychological Report, 45,* 590.
- Richins, M. L. (1987). Media, materialism, and human happiness. In Melanie Wallendorf & Paul Anderson (Eds.) Advances in Consumer Research (352-356). Provo, UT: Association for Consumer Research.
- Richins, M. L., & Dawson, S. (1990). Measuring material values: A preliminary report of scale development. Advances in Consumer Research, 17, 169-175.
- Richins, M. L., (1994). Valuing things: The public and private meanings of possessions. *Journal* of Consumer Research, 21(3), 504-521.
- Richins, M.L. & Dawson, S. (1992). A consumer values orientation for materialism and its measurement: scale development and validation. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 19, 303–316.
- Richins, M.L. (1991). Social comparison and idealized images of advertising. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 18, 71-83.

- Richins, M.L. (1996). Materialism, Desire, and Discontent: Contributions of Idealized Advertising Images and Social Comparison. In Ronald Paul Hill, (Ed.), *Marketing and Consumer Research in the Public Interest (109-132)*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Richins, M.L.& Rudmin, F. (1994). Materialism and economic psychology. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, *15* (6), 217-231.
- Roberts, B. W. & Robins, R. W. (2000). Broad dispositions, broad aspirations: The intersection of the Big Five dimensions and major life goals. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 26, 1284–1296.
- Sanderson, C.A., & Cantor, N. (2001). The association of intimacy goals and marital satisfaction: A test of four mediational hypotheses. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 27, 1567-1577.
- Schhiffman, J. B., & Kanuk, L. L. (1997). *Consumer Behavior*. Prentice Hall Sixth: Upper Saddle River (NJ).
- Scott, K. (2009).Terminal materialism vs. instrumental materialism: Can materialism be beneficial?(Unpublished doctoral dissertation), University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK.
- Sedikides, C., & Gregg, A. P. (2008). Self-enhancement: Food for thought. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *3*, 102-116.
- Sedikides, C., Gregg, A. P., Cisek, S., & Hart, C. M. (2007). The I that buys: Narcissists as consumers. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, *17*, 254-257. http://doi.org/ft44vk
- Selcuk, E., Zayas, V., & Hazan, C. (2010). Beyond Satisfaction: The role of attachment on marital functioning. *Journal of Family Theory & Review*, 2, 258-279. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-2589.2010.00061.x
- Sherman, D. K., & Cohen, G. L. (2006). The psychology of self-defense: self-affirmation theory. In M P Zanna (ed.), Advances in Experimental Social Psychology (183–242). San Diego, CA: Academic.
- Sirgy, M. J. (1998). Materialism and quality of life. Social Indicators Research, 43, 227-260.
- Sivanathan, N., & Pettit, N. C. (2010). Protecting the self through consumption: Status goods as affirmational commodities. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 46, 564-570.
- Spangenberg, J. & Theron, J. (1999). Stress and coping strategies in spouses of depressed patients. The *Journal of Psychology*, 133 (3), 253-262.
- Teachman, J. (2003). Premarital sex, premarital cohabitation, and the risk of subsequent marital dissolution among women. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 65, 444-455.
- Thibault, J. W. & Kelley, H. H., (1959). The social psychology of groups. New York: Wiley.
- Twenge, J.M., Konrath, S., Foster, J.D., Campbell, W.K., & Bushman, B.J. (2008). Egos inflating over time: A cross-temporal meta-analysis of the Narcissistic Personality Inventory. *Journal of Personality*, 76 (4), 875–901.
- Twitchell, J. B. (1999). Two cheers for materialism. The Wilson Quarterly, 23(2), 16-26.
- Vohs, K.D., Mead, N.L., & Goode, M.R. (2006). The psychological consequences of money. *Science*, *314*, 1154–1156.

- Vohs, K.D., Mead, N.L., & Goode, M.R. (2008). Merely activating the concept of money changes personal and interpersonal behavior. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 17(3), 208–212.
- Waite, L.J. & Gallagher, M. (2000). The case for marriage. Broadway Books:New York.
- Waller, W. & Hill, R. (1951). *The family: A dynamic interpretation* (Rev.ed.). New York: Dryden.
- Wendorf, C. A., Lucas, T., Imamoglu, E. O., Weisfeld, C. C., & Weisfeld, G. E. (2011). Sex differences and similarities in married couples: Patterns across and within cultures. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 42, 340-354.
- Williams, L. M. & Lawler, M. G. (2003). Marital Satisfaction and Religious Heterogamy: A Comparison of Interchurch and Same-Church Individuals. *Journal of Family Issues* 24(8), 1070-1092.
- Woodside, D. B., Lackstrom, J. B., & Shekter-Wolfson, L. (2000). Marriage in eating disorders: Comparisons between patients and spouses and changes over the course of treatment. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 49, 165-168.
- Xuanningfu, T. J. & Kendal, H.(2001). Marital happiness and inter-racial marriage: A study in a multi-ethnic community in Hawaii. *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, 32(1), 47-60.
- Yurchisin, J., & Johnson, K. K. P. (2004). Compulsive buying behavior and its relationship to perceived social status associated with buying, materialism, self-esteem, and apparelproduct involvement. *Family and Consumer Sciences Research Journal*, 32 (3), 291-314.

How to cite this article: R Kaur, A Kaur (2016), Consumer Behaviour as Determined by Narcissism and Lack of Marital Satisfaction, International Journal of Indian Psychology, Volume 3, Issue 3, No. 7, DIP: 18.01.117/20160303, ISBN: 978-1-365-12175-3