# Journal of Coastal Life Medicine

journal homepage: www.jclmm.com

Document heading doi: 10.12980/JCLM.3.201514J80

©2015 by the Journal of Coastal Life Medicine. All rights reserved.

# Ebola virus and other Filoviruses: an overview

# Hasna Amdiouni<sup>1</sup>, Hicham El Rhaffouli<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>High School of Health Sciences, European Institute of Health Sciences, Casablanca, Morocco

<sup>2</sup>University Mohammed V-Souissi, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Mohammed V Military Teaching Hospital, Biosafety Level 3 and Research Laboratory, Rabat, Morocco

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 Oct 2014 Received in revised form 5 Nov 2014 Accepted 11 Nov 2014 Available online 17 Nov 2014

Keywords: Ebola virus Outbreak Vaccine Host Reservoir Epidemiology

#### 1. Introduction

# ABSTRACT

Filoviruses, including Ebola and Marburg viruses, are recognized as a significant warning to public health. They are zoonotic agents with bats as primary reservoir. Those viruses can cause severe human infection with hemorrhagic syndrome leading to death. The mortality rate can be higher than 90%. In West Africa, recent Ebola virus outbreak occurred in March 2014, has caused more than 8 300 infections with more than 4000 deaths. That shows the critical state of this country, and the critical context in worldwide. In order to fight this deadly scourge, it is necessary to understand the epidemiology of disease and to establish a good diagnosis protocols and protective measures. In recent decades, traditional techniques of virus isolation are replaced by molecular biology techniques which are faster, more sensitive and specific. Until now, no specific Ebola virus treatment or vaccine but many studies are in progress with promising results.

Filoviruses, including Ebola and Marburg viruses, are recognized as a significant warning to public health, since they cause periodic human and non-human primate outbreaks with high mortality rates. Ebola virus disease is a severe disease that causes hemorrhagic fever in humans and animals. Ebola virus disease are often fatal as they affect the body's vascular system. This can lead to significant internal bleeding and organ failure. Ebola virus was first discovered in 1976 near the Ebola River in Zaire what is now the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). Since then, outbreaks of Ebola along with humans have appeared sporadically in Africa. Currently Ebola viruses are found in several African countries. It appears that Filoviruses are

Tel: 00212537713220, 00212661433439 Fax: 00212537713220 E-mail: alrhaffoulikisham@live\_fr\_h\_alrh\_ffouli

E-mail: elrhaffoulihicham@live.fr, h.elrhaffouli@um5s.net.ma

zoonotic, that is, transmitted to humans from ongoing life cycles in animals. Despite numerous attempts to locate the natural hosts, the reservoir of filoviruses was undetermined until recently when Marburg virus and Ebola virus were detected in bats in Africa. In 2005, the first direct evidence from different studies that bats were reservoir hosts for Ebola virus was reported[1], and since then many researches have focused on studying the role that bats play in the maintenance, transmission, and evolution of filoviruses. Until now, there are a number of interesting reviews on the virology of Ebola viruses, ecology and vaccine development[2-4]. This paper is an review which shows biological aspects of Ebola virus, transmission with focusing on reservoirs hosts, the most recents Ebola virus outbreaks, clinical features, symptoms of Ebola, diagnosis tests, prevention and treatment. Epidemics in cynomolgus monkeys (Macaca fascicularis) occurred in this facility and others through 1992[5,6], and recurred in 1996, as reported by Rollin et al[7]. The control of two introduced virus outbreaks in 1989 and the 1990s stimulated laboratory studies to improve diagnosis of human transmission when barrier-nursing



<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Hicham El Rhaffouli, Laboratoire de Recherche et de Biosécurité P3, Hôpital Militaire D'Instruction Mohamed V, Hay Riad, Rabat, Morocco.

measures were of nonhuman primate infections<sup>[7-9]</sup>. However, the utility of these techniques for human's diagnosis were deficient. During 1994-1996, no less than five independent active of Ebola virus transmission were identified: Ivory Coast in 1994<sup>[10]</sup>, DRC in 1995<sup>[11]</sup>, and Gabon in 1994, 1995, and 1996<sup>[12-14]</sup>. Ebola virus was also circulating in Gabon<sup>[15]</sup>, and at least 3 separate outbreaks in humans and nonhuman primates occurred<sup>[12]</sup>. Until now, many outbreaks have been occurred. This study focuses on recent outbreaks between 2000 and 2014.

### 2. Virology

Filovirus is a filamentous enveloped particle with variable lengths. The genomes are composed of a non segmented, negative-strand RNA molecule with approximatively 19 Kb[16,17]. Following their discovery, filoviruses were initially grouped with *Rhabdoviruses*, based primarily on the appearance of virus particles. Furthermore, subsequent morphologic, genetic, physiochemical, and virologic studies of Marburg virus and Ebola virus isolates revealed unique properties and led to their placement into a separate family, the Filoviridae[18]. Further the revised filovirus taxonomy of the nineth report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses includes proposals by Kuhn *et al.* and Kuhn *et al*[19,20].

There are seven expressed proteins by filoviruses: nucleoprotein (NP), glycoprotein (GP), RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRPs), and four structural proteins: VP24, VP30, VP35, and VP40[3].

Ebola virus and Marburg virus are two currently recognized genera of Filoviridae family. Ebola virus is able to express a truncated soluble glycoprotein (sGP) through RNA editing. The ribonucleoprotein is derived from the RNA genome, NP, VP30, VP35, and RdRPs protein, though Marburg virus is reported to be able replicate in the absence of VP30 by Olival and Hayman[21]. The VP35 protein is known to block interferon induction in both Marburg and Ebola viruses[22]. The open reading frame region coding for this protein was recently discovered in the bat genomes suggesting that VP35 was a decisive element int the host-virus interactions and immunity[23].

However, there are five identified Ebola virus strains, four of which are known to cause disease in humans: *Zaire ebolavirus* (EBOV-Z), *Sudan ebolavirus* (EBOV-S), *Taï Forest ebolavirus*, formerly Ivory *Cost ebolavirus*, and *Bundibugyo ebolavirus*. The fifth, *Reston ebolavirus* (EBOV-R), has caused disease in nonhuman primates, but not in humans. However, it can still be fatal in monkeys and it has been recently recovered from infected swine in South-east Asia. Marburg virus is a member of the species *Marburgvirus* (formerly Lake Victoria *marburgvirus*)<sup>[24,25]</sup>.

In contrast to the known variety of Ebola virus species, EBOV-Z have only 2.7% nucleotide difference between sequences, EBOV-S 5.2%, and EBOV-R 4.5%[26,27]. Even with increasing numbers of detected viruses, some species are represented by single viral lineage (*e.g., Taï Forest ebolavirus* by Taï Forest virus and *Lloviu cuevavirus* by Lloviu virus) reported by Olival and Hayman[21]. However, taxonomic classification will change with the monitoring of Ebola virus, which depends on the human host, wildlife and genome sequencing in different affected communities.

#### 3. Reservoir hosts

Ebola can cause disease in humans and nonhuman primates (monkeys, gorillas, and chimpanzees). The natural reservoir host of Ebola virus remains unknown. However, on the basis of evidence and the nature of similar viruses, researchers believe that the virus is animal-borne and that bats are most likely reservoir. Four of the five virus strains occur in an animal host native to Africa. Bats are a major source of zoonotic viruses worldwide[28,29]. Molecular studies have demonstrated that bats are natural host reservoirs for several recently emerged high-profile zoonotic viruses, including Ebola and Marburg hemorrhagic fever filoviruses[1,25], sudden acute respiratory syndrome–like *Coronaviruses*[30], rabies and rabies-related *Lyssaviruses*; and many *Paramyxoviruses*, including *Rubulavirus*; Nipah and Hendra viruses[31,32].

Indeed, there are a few experimental studies sustaining the role of bats as reservoirs, but two key studies have investigated the ability of bats to be infected with filoviruses and to survive after infection. Swanepoel *et al.* showed that EBOV-Z could replicate and lead to seroconversion without disease in three species of infected bats (*Tadarida condylura, Trichopsis pumila*, and *Epomophorus wahlbergi*) and that virus could be isolated from feces<sup>[33]</sup>.

Using captive bred *Rousettus aegyptiacus* (*R. aegyptiacus*) bats of known serological and infection status; viremia could be induced and Marburg virus was detected in multiple tissues from 2 to 9 d post infection<sup>[34]</sup>. Following viremia, immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody was detected until 21 d post infection. Marburg virus could also be detected in different tissues, including salivary glands, female reproductive tract, intestines, kidney, bladder, lung. No clinical symptoms or gross pathology have been showed. Nevertheless, it is interesting to note that studies in *R. aegyptiacus* could not induce infection after oral or intra-nasal inoculation but only after intradermal or intra-peritoneal inoculation. No virus was isolated from secretions.

The bats in *Tadarida* spp. used by Swanepoel *et al.*, was inoculated

by sub-cutaneous injection<sup>[33]</sup>. Authors observed fecal shedding in one individual. However the results of Paweska *et al.* study were revealed that *R. aegyptiacus* was a reservoir host, and no potential mechanisms for bat-to-bat transmission had been demonstrated<sup>[34]</sup>. Additional experiments underway using a captive *Rousettus* colony housed at Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta will likely shed more light on some of these unresolved issues<sup>[35]</sup>.

Recently, Albarino *et al.* point out that the virus used by Paweska *et al.*, was passaged almost 40 times in primate Vero cells prior to infecting bats, and it is not known how this may affect the infectivity or virulence of this virus[35,36]. Reverse genetics can now be used to reconstruct "wild type" filoviruses strains from genome sequences obtained directly from bats, even in the absence of a viral isolate, and may be a useful tool more relevant than using human or vero-adapted viruses to understand viral dynamics in bats.

The new establishment of bat cell lines[37], including those of the most likely primary reservoir host for filoviruses, *Rousettus aegyptiacus*[38], has been invaluable to further unravel the molecular mechanisms of filovirus cell entry and host range in bats. A recent study expressing filovirus envelope glycoproteins on the surface of vesicular stomatitis virus suggest that Lloviu virus glycoprotein allows viral entry into bat cells more easily than other filoviruses, and thus may be an exceptionally bat-adapted virus[11]. This finding of evidence for adaptation suggests that the bat mortality that prompted the discovery of Lloviu virus may be less likely due to this highly adapted virus, although *lyssaviruses* are a prime example of host-adapted viruses that remain highly virulent to bat hosts[39]. Additional investigations of host range *in vitro* also using vesicular stomatitis virus expressing glycoprotein surface protein, found that *Marburgvirus* was able to infect six different bat cell lines from four divergent bat genera (*Eidolon, Rhinolophus, Carollia, Tadarida*)[40].

### 4. Human transmission and incubation

The virulence of filoviruses in humans is quite variable, depending primarily on the species or strain of filovirus; a similar variability seems to recapitulate well in nonhuman primates. Among the Ebola virus species, EBOV-Z is the most virulent, and EBOV-R appears to be the least virulent. Infection of nonhuman primates with EBOV-Z usually progresses rapidly and is uniformly lethal with as little as one infectious unit being required to cause disease. The course of disease is influenced by the dose of filovirus. For example, cynomolgus macaques exposed to intramuscular injection of a low dose of EBOV-Z (10 PFU) and succumbed to infection 8 to 12 d post infection, whereas those exposed to a high dose (1000 PFU) died within 5 to 8 d post infection[41,42]. In humans, the disease path and the outcome depend on the route of infection. The mean incubation period for EBOV-Z due to injection was 6.3 d versus 9.5 d for contact exposures<sup>[43]</sup>. Nonhuman primate models appear to be exquisitely sensitive to filoviruses compared to humans, particularly for EBOV-Z. Fewer studies have evaluated the pathogenesis of EBOV-S in nonhuman primates. The disease course in experimentally infected rhesus and cynomolgus macaques appears much slower than that seen in EBOV-Z infections, and the rates of survival appear consistent with human disease. Neither EBOV-S infection nor EBOV-R was not lethal in a small cohort of African green monkeys[44].

When an infection does occur in humans, the virus can spread in several ways to others. Ebola is spread through direct contact (through broken skin or mucous membranes) with blood or body fluids (including but not limited to urine, saliva, feces, vomit, and semen) of a person who is sick with Ebola virus, contact with objects (like needles and syringes) that have been contaminated with the virus, and contact with infected animals. Ebola is not spread through the air or by water or food. However, in Africa, Ebola may be spread through handling bushmeat (wild animals hunted for food) and contacting with infected bats<sup>[45]</sup>.

However during outbreaks of Ebola, the disease can spread very quickly within healthcare settings (such as a clinic or hospital). Exposure to Ebola can occur in healthcare settings where hospital staff do not wear appropriate protective equipments, including masks, gowns, and gloves and eye protection.

Dedicated medical equipment (preferable disposable, when possible) should be used by healthcare personnel providing patient

care. Proper cleaning and disposal of instruments, such as needles and syringes, are also important. If instruments are not disposable, they must be sterilized before being used again. Without adequate sterilization in instruments, virus transmission can continue and amplify an outbreak. Semen may be infectious in survivors for up to 3 months. It is not entirely clear how Ebola outbreak is initially started[18]. The initial infection is believed to occur by contact with an infected animal's body fluids. Airborne transmission has not been documented during Ebola virus disease outbreaks[46]. They are, however, infectious as breathable 0.8- to 1.2-µm laboratory generated droplets[47]. The virus has been shown to travel, without contact, from pigs to primates, although the same study failed to demonstrate similar transmission between non-human primates[48].

# 5. Epidemiology

EBOV-Z, EBOV-S, and EBOV-IC are from the African tropical forest or nearby savanna and occasionally emerge during the rainy season, and EBOV-R has only been linked to a single export facility in the Philippines[49]. EBOV-R may be an Asian filovirus, possibly derived from infected macaques captured in the forests of Philippines, but alternatively it could represent a single introduction and subsequent circulate in the export facility from Philippines or foreign African source. The epidemiology of human infections in nature, besides internationally recognized outbreaks, is unknown. However, elapsed time between the occurrence of index cases and the recognition of subsequent large outbreaks suggested that sporadic cases of unrecognized filovirus infections could readily pass unnoticed[50]. Ebola virus infection, potentially with nonpathogenic strains/variants or strains/variants of low pathogenicity, may be quite frequent in rural African populations[15,51].

Whatever the source of the initial index case is, filovirus outbreaks have been propagated from person-to-person. This generally involves intimate contact; secondary attack rates have not exceeded 10% to 15%, indicating that transmission is not efficient. However, this risk increases by means of contact. For example, during 1976 Sudan outbreak, 23% of family members sleeping in the same room with the infected patients, compared to 81% of persons providing active nursing care to a patient[52]. The necessary intimacy is reflected in mildly infected children[53]. Nosocomial transmission is a special problem, and hospitals have often served as a source of disease amplification into the community and to health care workers.

There is unusual difference in the epidemics in Gabon/DRC compared to those caused by other filoviruses, particularly Ebola virus outbreaks. Most of the epidemics in this area are limited in case numbers and are related to contact with wildlife (chimpanzees, gorillas, and other species). Epidemiologic and genetic investigations showed that outbreaks resulted from the introduction of distinct strains, indicating that multiple EBOV-Z strains were co-circulating in this region. All index cases (mainly hunters) were infected by handling dead or wounded animals, and subsequently led to person-to-person transmission within their families. In many instances, human infections have been preceded by disease in wildlife, and these infected animals acted as either dead-end hosts or interim/ amplification hosts[54,55]. Aerosol transmission has

not been unequivocally implicated in human outbreaks to date. Interestingly, extremely efficient person-to-person transmission has been attributed to two individuals who may have been the source of infection for more than 50 cases in the 1995 EBOV-Z outbreak[56]. The mechanism of this heightened transmission was not identified, though contact with the patient and/or cadaver was strongly implicated. From 2000, kinds of outbreaks have been occurred in different countries: Uganda, Russia, DRC, Philippines and others. Most of them have caused numerous deaths in population as reported in Table 1.

Ebola outbreak in 2014 is the biggest Ebola outbreak in West Africa in history, affecting multiple countries in West Africa. A small number of cases in Lagos and Port Harcourt, Nigeria, have been associated with a man from Liberia who traveled to Lagos and died in Ebola, but the virus does not appear to have been widely spread in Nigeria. The case in Senegal is related to a man who traveled there from Guinea, reported by CDC<sup>[57]</sup>.

The risk of an Ebola outbreak in the United States was very low; however CDC confirmed on September 30, 2014, through laboratory tests, that the first case of Ebola to be diagnosed in the United States in a person who had traveled to Dallas, Texas from West Africa. The patient did not have symptoms when leaving West Africa, but developed symptoms approximately five days after arriving in the United States.

Currently, cases of Ebola virus disease have been reported from at least in four European countries. In Norway, a woman infected by Ebola virus in Sierra Leone is receiving treatment in Oslo according to the Norwegian Medicines Agency. The France nurse, who was diagnosed with Ebola virus disease while engaging in healthcare work in Monrovia, Liberia's capital, and was repatriated to France for treatment, has been confirmed to have recovered from the disease by Doctors Without Borders with a confirmation from the French Health Ministry that the woman had survived the disease. She was evacuated from Liberia and cared for at a hospital near Paris. The University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf in Germany also reported that a Senegalese scientist who was infected with Ebola in Sierra Leone has recovered and been discharged. On 6 October,

#### Table 1

Ebola virus outbreaks 2000-2014.

Spanish authorities reported a confirmed case of Ebola virus disease of a healthcare worker who participated in the treatment of the econd Spanish patient with Ebola infection repatriated to Spain. The patient arrived in Spain on 22 September and died on 25 September. The infected healthcare worker represented the first transmission of Ebola infection in the European Union. The healthcare worker was a woman working in La Paz-Carlos III hospital in Madrid. She reportedly developed fever on 30 September. According to the Spanish Ministry of Health, she participated in the medical care of the repatriated patient and was wearing the appropriate personal protection equipment. She was admitted to La Paz-Carlos III hospital (Madrid) on 6 October and was under strict isolation[58].

# 6. Clinical features and symptoms of Ebola

Filovirus infections are generally the most severe and have been learned from close observations of acute human cases to a great extent. Differences in the clinical syndromes caused by filoviruses may exist, but there have been few opportunities for close observation of the diseases under favorable conditions<sup>[9,59]</sup>. The abrupt onset follows an incubation period of 2 to 21 d, averaging 4 to 10 d, and is characterized by flu-like symptoms (fever, chills, malaise and myalgia). Recovery from Ebola depends on the patient's immune response. People who recover from Ebola infection develop antibodies that last for at least 10 years.

The subsequent signs and symptoms include systemic (prostration), gastrointestinal (anorexia, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, diarrhea), respiratory (chest pain, shortness of breath, cough), vascular (conjunctival injection, postural hypotension, edema), and neurologic (headache, confusion, coma) manifestations. There is often a maculopapular rash associated with various degrees of erythema by Day 5 to 7 of the illness; this is a valuable differential diagnostic feature and is usually followed by desquamation in survivors. Abdominal pain is sometimes associated with hyperamylasemia and true pancreatitis. In later stages, shock, convulsions, severe metabolic disturbances will happen in patients, and more than half the cases will diffuse coagulopathy.

Years	Country	Ebola subtype	Reported number of human cases	Reported number (%) of	References
				deaths among cases	
March 2014-Present	Multiple countries	Ebola virus	3626	1837 (51%)	[80]
November 2012-January 2013	Uganda	Sudan virus	6	3 (50%)	[36]
June-November 2012	DRC	Bundibugyo virus	36	13 (36.1%)	[36]
June-October 2012	Uganda	Sudan virus	11	4 (36.4%)	[36]
May 2011	Uganda	Sudan virus	1	1 (100%)	[81]
December 2008-February 2009	DRC	Ebola virus	32	15 (47%)	[82]
November 2008	Philippines	Reston virus	6 (asymptomatic)	0	[83,84]
December 2007-January 2008	Uganda	Bundibugyo virus	149	37 (25%)	[85]
2007	DRC	Ebola virus	264	187 (71%)	[86]
2004	Russia	Ebola virus	1	1 (100%)	[87]
2004	Sudan (South Sudan)	Sudan virus	17	7 (41%)	[88]
November-December 2003	Republic of Congo	Ebola virus	35	29 (83%)	[89]
December 2002-April 2003	Republic of Congo	Ebola virus	143	128 (89%)	[90]
October 2001-March 2002	Republic of Congo	Ebola virus	57	43 (75%)	[91]
October 2001-March 2002	Gabon	Ebola virus	65	53 (82%)	[91]
2000-2001	Uganda	Sudan virus	425	224 (53%)	[92]

Nonfatal cases get fever for about 5 to 9 d, and improvement typically occurs around Day 7 to 11 with notable humoral antibody response[60]. Convalescence is prolonged and sometimes associated with myelitis, recurrent hepatitis, psychosis or uveitis[61]. There is an increased risk of abortion for pregnant women, and a high death rate for children whose mothers are infected. Fatal cases develop with clinical signs early during infection, and demise typically occurs between Day 6 and 16, due to hemorrhage and hypovolemic shock. The single observed Ivory Coast infection survived, as did a second serologically diagnosed case. The four EBOV-R infected individuals had no symptoms, but the virus was isolated from the serum of one patient.

Generally, the most common symptoms experienced by persons infected with the virus are the sudden onset of fever, intense weakness, muscle pain, headache and sore throat. This is followed by vomiting, diarrhea, rash, impaired kidney and liver function, and at advanced stage, both internal and external bleeding. Laboratory findings include low white blood cells and platelet counts and elevated liver enzymes. People are infectious as long as their blood and secretions contain the virus<sup>[46]</sup>.

#### 7. Diagnosis tests

Despite the capabilities of laboratory diagnostics, it should be kept in mind that initial diagnosis of filovirus infections will be based on clinical assessment.

Special care should be taken to avoid needle sticks and to immediately dispose of contaminated material in an appropriate manner. Collection of specimens should be done with a mind toward sterility and prevention of cross-contamination of specimens; this has become particularly important for ultrasensitive techniques such as reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)[62,63]. Filoviruses are relatively stable, and infectious particles can survive less than favorable handling and shipping. Care should be taken to ensure the physical integrity for biosafety reasons and to maintain an adequate refrigerated or frozen state for biological integrity of the sample to maximize the reliability of diagnostic results.

Laboratory diagnosis of filovirus infections can basically be achieved in two ways: measurement of host-specific immune responses to infection, and detection of virus particles or particle components (RNA and protein) in infected individuals. RT- CR[9,64], and antigen detection ELISA<sup>[65]</sup>, are the primary test systems to diagnose acute infection. For antibody detection, the most commonly used assays are direct IgG and immunoglobulin M (IgM) ELISAs and IgM capture ELISA[66,67]. RT-PCR, antigen detection, and serology can be performed on materials that have been rendered noninfectious by radiation or chemicals. Of the available techniques for diagnosis, antigen-capture ELISA and RT-PCR are today the most useful for making a diagnosis in an acute clinical setting. Viral antigen/nucleic acid can be detected in blood from 3 up to 16 d post onset of symptoms[61]. RT-PCR assays are favored by many investigators because of the sensitivity/specificity and rapidity of the technique and lack of necessity for biosafety level-4 biocontainment (virus isolation). However, the diagnosis of index cases of outbreaks or of single imported cases should not be solely based on RT-PCR. Confirmation by an independent assay should always be attempted. When confirmatory techniques and biocontainment are not available, RT-PCR on an independent target gene and/or independent sample should be the minimum confirmation. In such instances, it may be useful to seek confirmation through another reference laboratory.

In the literature, there are many RT-PCR protocol for the detection of filivirus which are practical and simple to implement in a laboratory of molecular biology[9,64,68,69]. However, the greatest problem in using these techniques is the lack of positive control to validate manipulations and diagnostics. Biosecurity regulatory restrictions make it almost impossible to obtain strains of Ebola or Marburg for laboratories especially for those in underdeveloped countries. Another alternative is then presented for such laboratories by employing a ready to use kit provided by international firms and including positive control. Thus in our lab for example, we have chosen to use the "quantification of Ebola virus (2014 outbreak)" kit developped by Primer Design Ltd company. The primers and probes of this kit target the nucleoprotein gene of the 2014 Ebola virus strain. We use this kit on the light cycler 2.0 from Roche® diagnostics or on the Applied biosystems® 7500. Several other molecular kits are available like "Ebola Virus (Zaire 2014) assay and control set" from applied biosystems® or "ZEBOV Kit" from LIPSGENE<sup>®</sup>.

Serology can be useful for confirmation. However, a negative serology is inconclusive because filovirus-infected individuals often die without seroconversion. Based on past investigations, IgM antibodies can appear as early as 2 d post onset of symptoms and disappear between 30 and 168 d after infection. IgGspecific antibodies develop between Day 6 and 18 after onset and persist for many years[70]. A rising IgM or IgG titer constitutes a strong presumptive diagnosis. However, a single positive result should be confirmed on a follow-up sample, preferably a week later. Decreasing IgM and/or increasing IgG titers (fourfold) in successive paired sera are highly suggestive of a recent infection[70]. Standardization and evaluation of diagnostic procedures for filoviruses is difficult because of the restricted availability of virologic and clinical material. Recently, the European network for imported viral diseases provided an external quality assurance for filovirus PCR diagnostic procedures[71]. Although most laboratories in this study showed reasonable abilities to detect filoviruses by PCR technology, a small but significant fraction of laboratories demonstrated poor sensitivities.

According to CDC, diagnostic tests depend on timeline of infection. The diagnosis tests are different. Thus within a few days after symptoms begin, the recommanded tests are different. The available tests are Antigen-capture ELISA testing, IgM ELISA, RT-PCR and Virus isolation. Later in disease course or after recovery, the diagnosis can be done by testing IgM and IgG antibodies. Retrospectively in deceased patients, available tests are immunohistochemistry testing, PCR, and virus isolation.

# 8. Prevention and treatment

Vaccination offers a promising intervention to prevent infection and limit spread. Vaccines have protected nonhuman primates. Immunization takes six months, which impedes the counterepidemic use of the vaccines. The most promising candidates are DNA vaccines[72], or vaccines derived from adenoviruses[42], vesicular stomatitis Indiana virus[73,74], or filovirus-like particles[75], because these candidates could protect nonhuman primates from Ebola virus-induced disease. DNA vaccines, adenovirus-based vaccines, and vesicular stomatitis virus-based vaccines have entered clinical trials[76,77]. However, a combination of DNA immunization and boosting with adenoviral vectors that encode viral proteins generated cellular and humoral immunity in cynomolgus macaques. Furthermore, some approaches have shown promising efficacy in macaque models of filoviruses, and some of them have completed or are at least nearing phase 1 clinical trials in humans[78].

Treatment approaches involving modulatory RNA (*i.e.* small interfering RNAs or phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomers) are following close behind, along with a promising synthetic druglike small molecule, BCX4430[79]. The most promising vaccine approaches are based on recombinant technologies, such as viruslike particles produced through plasmid transfection and replication-incompetent and -competent viral vectors[78]. The current frontrunner for therapeutic intervention seems to be antibody treatment, which has been successful in macaques even when antibodies are administered more than 72 h after infection[50].

There is currently no specific licensed treatment for Ebola virus disease. Patients are treated for their symptoms. Treatment options include supportive care in an intensive care unit, maintenance of fluid levels and electrolytes, maintenance of oxygen status and blood pressure, replacement of lost blood and clotting factors and strict isolation to prevent the infection from spreading.

Researchers have investigated Ebola virus for many years. However, there is still not an effective vaccine that is able to be administered to those who are infected with the virus. Even if there are many candidate vaccines that have displayed very effective results on non-human primates, many of these potential cures may become a future vaccine to help save victims' lives. Under the persistence and efforts of researchers in vaccine developpement, it is hopeful that related vaccine will be initially available in November or December 2014.

# **Conflict of interest statement**

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

### References

- Leroy EM, Kumulungui B, Pourrut X, Rouquet P, Hassanin A, Yaba P, *et al*. Fruit bats as reservoirs of Ebola virus. *Nature* 2005; 438: 575-576.
- [2] O'Shea TJ, Cryan PM, Cunningham AA, Fooks AR, Hayman DTS, Luis AD, et al. Bat flight and zoonotic viruses. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2014; doi: 10.3201/eid2005.130539.
- [3] Feldmann H, Kiley MP. Classification, structure, and replication of filoviruses. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 1999; 235: 1-21.

- [4] Marzi A, Feldmann H. Ebola virus vaccines: an overview of current approaches. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 2014; 13: 521-531.
- [5] Jahrling PB, Geisbert TW, Dalgard DW, Johnson ED, Ksiazek TG, Hall WC, *et al.* Preliminary report: isolation of Ebola virus from monkeys imported to USA. *Lancet* 1990; 335: 502-505.
- [6] Peters CJ, Johnson ED, Jahrling PB, et al. Filoviruses. In: Morse S, ed. Emerging viruses. New York: Oxford University Press; 1991, p. 159-175.
- [7] Rollin PE, Ksiazek TG, Jahrling PB, Haines M, Peters CJ. Detection of Ebola-like viruses by immunofluorescence. *Lancet* 1990; **336**: 1591.
- [8] Peters CJ, Sanchez A, Feldmann H, Rollin PE, Nichol S, Ksaizek TG. Filoviruses as emerging pathogens. *Semin Virol* 1994; 5: 147-154.
- [9] Sanchez A, Ksiazek TG, Rollin PE, Miranda ME, Trappier SG, Khan AS, et al. Detection and molecular characterization of Ebola viruses causing disease in human and nonhuman primates. J Infect Dis 1999; 179(Suppl 1): S164-S169.
- [10] Le Guenno B, Formenty P, Wyers M, Gounon P, Walker F, Boesch C. Isolation and partial characterization of a new strain of Ebola virus. *Lancet* 1995; **345**: 1271-1274.
- [11] Maruyama J, Miyamoto H, Kajihara M, Ogawa H, Maeda K, Sakoda Y, et al. Characterization of the envelope glycoprotein of a novel filovirus, lloviu virus. J Virol 2014; 88: 99-109.
- [12] Georges-Courbot MC, Sanchez A, Lu CY, Baize S, Leroy E, Lansout-Soukate J, *et al.* Isolation and phylogenetic characterization of Ebola viruses causing different outbreaks in Gabon. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1997; 3: 59-62.
- [13] Amblard J, Obiang P, Edzang S, Prehaud C, Bouloy M, Le Guenno B. Identification of the Ebola virus in Gabon in 1994. *Lancet* 1997; **349**: 181-182.
- [14] Ivker R. Argument over Ebola in Gabon. Lancet 1997; 349: 264.
- [15] Georges AJ, Leroy EM, Renaut AA, Benissan CT, Nabias RJ, Ngoc MT, et al. Ebola hemorrhagic fever outbreaks in Gabon, 1994-1997: epidemiologic and health control issues. J Infect Dis 1999; 179(Suppl 1): S65-S75.
- [16] Feldmann H, Geisbert TW, Jahrling PB. Filoviridae. In: Fauquet CM, Mayo MA, Maniloff J, Desselberger U, Ball LA, eds. *Virus taxonomy:* eighth report of the International Committee on taxonomy of viruses. London: Elsevier/Academic Press; 2005.
- [17] Sanchez A, Geisbert TW, Feldmann H. Filoviridae: Marburg and Ebola viruses. In: Knipe DM, Howley PM, Griffin DE, et al., eds. Fields virology. 5th ed. Vol 1. Philadelphia: Wolterrs Kluwer/Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2007, p. 1409-1448.

- [18] Sanchez A, Kiley MP, Holloway BP, Auperin DD. Sequence analysis of the Ebola virus genome: organization, genetic elements, and comparison with the genome of Marburg virus. *Virus Res* 1993; 293: 215-240.
- [19] Kuhn JH, Becker S, Ebihara H, Geisbert TW, Johnson KM, Kawaoka Y, *et al.* Proposal for a revised taxonomy of the family Filoviridae: classification, names of taxa and viruses, and virus abbreviations. *Arch Virol* 2010; **155**: 2083-2103.
- [20] Kuhn JH, Bào Y, Bavari S, Becker S, Bradfute S, Brauburger K, *et al.* Virus nomenclature below the species level: a standardized nomenclature for filovirus strains and variants rescued from cDNA. *Arch Virol* 2014; 159: 1229-1237.
- [21] Olival KJ, Hayman DTS. Filoviruses in bats: current knowledge and future directions. *Viruses* 2014; 6: 1759-1788.
- [22] Brauburger K, Hume AJ, Mühlberger E, Olejnik J. Forty-five years of Marburg virus research. *Viruses* 2012; 4: 1878-1927.
- [23] Taylor DJ, Dittmar K, Ballinger MJ, Bruenn JA. Evolutionary maintenance of filovirus-like genes in bat genomes. *BMC Evol Biol* 2011; 11: 336.
- [24] Towner JS, Khristova ML, Sealy TK, Vincent MJ, Erickson BR, Bawiec DA, *et al. Marburgvirus* genomics and association with a large hemorrhagic fever outbreak in Angola. *J Virol* 2006; 80: 6497-6516.
- [25] Towner JS, Amman BR, Sealy TK, Carroll SA, Comer JA, Kemp A, et al. Isolation of genetically diverse Marburg viruses from Egyptian fruit bats. PLoS Pathog 2009; doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000536.
- [26] Kobinger GP, Croyle M, Feldmann H. Ebola and Marburg. In: Barrett ADT, Stanberry LR, eds. Vaccines for biodefense and emerging and neglected diseases. London: Academic Press; 2009, p. 325-337.
- [27] Lauber C, Gorbalenya AE. Genetics-based classification of filoviruses calls for expanded sampling of genomic sequences. *Viruses* 2012; 4: 1425-1437.
- [28] Calisher CH, Childs JE, Field HE, Holmes KV, Schountz T. Bats: important reservoir hosts of emerging viruses. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2006; 19: 531-545.
- [29] Luis AD, Hayman DT, O'Shea TJ, Cryan PM, Gilbert AT, Pulliam JR, et al. A comparison of bats and rodents as reservoirs of zoonotic viruses: are bats special? Proc Biol Sci 2013; doi: 10.1098/rspb.2012.2753.
- [30] Ge XY, Li JL, Yang XL, Chmura AA, Zhu G, Epstein JH, *et al.* Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-like coronavirus that uses the ACE2 receptor. *Nature* 2013; **503**: 535-538.
- [31] Halpin K, Young PL, Field HE, Mackenzie JS. Isolation of Hendra virus from pteropid bats: a natural reservoir of Hendra virus. *J Gen Virol* 2000; 81: 1927-1932.
- [32] Drexler JF, Corman VM, Müller MA, Maganga GD, Vallo P, Binger T, et al. Bats host major mammalian paramyxoviruses. Nat Commun 2012; doi:

10.1038/ncomms1796.

- [33] Swanepoel R, Leman PA, Burt FJ, Zachariades NA, Braack LE, Ksiazek TG, et al. Experimental inoculation of plants and animals with Ebola virus. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1996; 2: 321-325.
- [34] Paweska JT, van Vuren PJ, Masumu J, Leman PA, Grobbelaar AA, Birkhead M, et al. Virological and serological findings in *Rousettus* aegyptiacus experimentally inoculated with vero cells-adapted Hogan strain of Marburg virus. *PLoS One* 2012; doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0045479.
- [35] Amman B, Carroll S, Towner J. Marburg virus outbreaks: understanding virus spillover from the natural reservoir. 16th International Bat Research Conference and 43rd North American Symposium on Bat Research. 2013 Aug 11-15, San Jose, Costa Rica. 2013, p. 6. [Online] Available from: http://www.windland.ch/doku\_fledermaus\_vogel/ibrc\_2013\_abstracts.pdf [Accessed on 16th August, 2014]
- [36] Albariño CG, Uebelhoer LS, Vincent JP, Khristova ML, Chakrabarti AK, McElroy A, *et al.* Development of a reverse genetics system to generate recombinant Marburg virus derived from a bat isolate. *Virology* 2013; 446: 230-237.
- [37] Crameri G, Todd S, Grimley S, McEachern JA, Marsh GA, Smith C, et al. Establishment, immortalisation and characterisation of pteropid bat cell lines. PLoS One 2009; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008266.
- [38] Jordan I, Munster VJ, Sandig V. Authentication of the R06E fruit bat cell line. *Viruses* 2012; 4: 889-900.
- [39] Banyard AC, Hayman D, Johnson N, McElhinney L, Fooks AR. Bats and *lyssaviruses*. Adv Virus Res 2011; 79: 239-289.
- [40] Hoffmann M, Müller MA, Drexler JF, Glende J, Erdt M, Gutzkow T, et al. Differential sensitivity of bat cells to infection by enveloped RNA viruses: Coronaviruses, paramyxoviruses, filoviruses, and influenza viruses. PloS One 2013; doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0072942.
- [41] Geisbert TW, Pushko P, Anderson K, Smith J, Davis KJ, Jahrling PB. Evaluation in nonhuman primates of vaccines against Ebola virus. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2002; 8: 503-507.
- [42] Sullivan NJ, Geisbert TW, Geisbert JB, Xu L, Yang ZY, Roederer M, et al. Accelerated vaccination for Ebola virus haemorrhagic fever in nonhuman primates. *Nature* 2003; 424: 681-684.
- [43] Breman JG, Piot P, Johnson KM, White MK, Mbuyi M, Sureau P, et al. The epidemiology of Ebola haemorrhagic fever in Zaire, 1976. [Online] Available from: http://www.enivd.de/EBOLA/ebola-24.htm [Accessed on 10th September, 2014]
- [44] Fisher-Hoch SP, Brammer TL, Trappier SG, Hutwagner LC, Farrar BB, Ruo SL, *et al.* Pathogenic potential of filoviruses: role of geographic origin of primate host and virus strain. *J Infect Dis* 1992; 166: 753-763.
- [45] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Telebriefing on Ebola

outbreak in West Africa. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2014. [Online] Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/media/ releases/2014/t0728-ebola.html [Accessed on 12nd August, 2014]

- [46] World Health Organization. West Africa-Ebola virus disease. 2014. Travel and transport risk assessment: interim guidance for public health authorities and the transport sector. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014. [Online] Available from: http://apps. who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/132168/1/WHO\_EVD\_Guidance\_ TravelTransportRisk\_14.1\_eng.pdf?ua=1&ua=1 [Accessed on 17th August, 2014]
- [47] Johnson E, Jaax N, White J, Jahrling P. Lethal experimental infections of rhesus monkeys by aerosolized Ebola virus. *Int J Exp Pathol* 1995; 76: 227-236.
- [48] Weingartl HM, Embury-Hyatt C, Nfon C, Leung A, Smith G, Kobinger G. Transmission of Ebola virus from pigs to non-human primates. *Sci Rep* 2012; 2: 811.
- [49] Hayes CG, Burans JP, Ksiazek TG, Del Rosario RA, Miranda ME, Manaloto CR, *et al.* Outbreak of fatal illness among captive macaques in the Philippines caused by an Ebola-related filovirus. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1992; **46**: 664-671.
- [50] Jezek Z, Szczeniowski MY, Muyembe-Tamfum JJ, McCormick JB, Heymann DL. Ebola between outbreaks: intensified Ebola hemorrhagic fever surveillance in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, 1981– 1985. J Infect Dis 1999; 179(Suppl 1): S60-S64.
- [51] Johnson ED, Gonzalez JP, Georges A. Haemorrhagic fever virus activity in equatorial Africa: distribution and prevalence of filovirus reactive antibody in the Central African Republic. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1993; 87: 530-535.
- [52] Baron RC, McCormick JB, Zubeir OA. Ebola virus disease in southern Sudan: hospital dissemination and intrafamilial spread. *Bull World Health Organ* 1983; 61: 997-1003.
- [53] Dowell SF, Mukunu R, Ksiazek TG, Khan AS, Rollin PE, Peters CJ. Transmission of Ebola hemorrhagic fever: a study of risk factors in family members, Kikwit, Democratic Republic of the Congo, 1995. Commission de Lutte contre les Epidémies à Kikwit. *J Infect Dis* 1999; **179**(Suppl 1): S87-S91.
- [54] Feldmann H, Wahl-Jensen V, Jones SM, Ströher U. Ebola virus ecology: a continuing mystery. *Trends Microbiol* 2004; 12: 433-437.
- [55] Leroy EM, Telfer P, Kumulungui B, Yaba P, Rouquet P, Roques P, et al. A serological survey of Ebola virus infection in central African nonhuman primates. J Infect Dis 2004; 190: 1895-1899.
- [56] Khan AS, Tshioko FK, Heymann DL, Guenno BL, Nabeth P, Kerstiëns B, et al. The reemergence of Ebola hemorrhagic fever, Democratic

Republic of the Congo, 1995. *J Infect Dis* 1999; **179**(Suppl 1): S76-S86.

- [57] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Ebola Outbreaks 2000-2014. Update September 30, 2014. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2014. [Online] Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/ vhf/ebola/outbreaks/history/summaries.html [Accessed on 4th October, 2014]
- [58] European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Epidemiological update: fi r s t Ebola case diagnosed i n the EU. Sweden: European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; 2014. [Online] Available from: http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/press/news/\_layouts/ forms/News\_DispForm.aspx?List=8db7286c-fe2d-476c-9133-18ff4cb1b568&ID=1078 [Accessed on 9th October, 2014]
- [59] Peters CJ, LeDuc JW. An introduction to Ebola: the virus and the disease. J Infect Dis 1999; doi: 10.1086/514322.
- [60] Ksiazek TG, Rollin PE, Williams AJ, Bressler DS, Martin ML, Swanepoel R, *et al.* Clinical virology of Ebola hemorrhagic fever (EHF): virus, virus antigen, and IgG and IgM antibody findings among EHF patients in Kikwit, Democratic Republic of the Congo, 1995. *J Infect Dis* 1999; **179**(Suppl 1): S177-S187.
- [61] Rowe AK, Bertolli J, Khan AS, Mukunu R, Muyembe-Tamfum JJ, Bressler D, *et al.* Clinical, virologic, and immunologic follow-up of convalescent Ebola hemorrhagic fever patients and their household contacts, Kikwit, Democratic Republic of the Congo. *J Infect Dis* 1999; 179(Suppl 1): S28-S35.
- [62] Towner JS, Rollin PE, Bausch DG, Sanchez A, Crary SM, Vincent M, et al. Rapid diagnosis of Ebola hemorrhagic fever by reverse transcription- PCR in an outbreak setting and assessment of patient viral load as a predictor of outcome. J Virol 2004; 78: 4330-4341.
- [63] Weidmann M, Mühlberger E, Hufert FT. Rapid detection protocol for filoviruses. J Clin Virol 2004; 30: 94-99.
- [64] Drosten C, Göttig S, Schilling S, Asper M, Panning M, Schmitz H, et al. Rapid detection and quantification of RNA of Ebola and Marburg viruses, Lassa virus, Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus, Rift Valley fever virus, dengue virus, and yellow fever virus by real-time reverse transcription-PCR. J Clin Microbiol 2002; 40: 2323-2330.
- [65] Ikegami T, Niikura M, Saijo M, Miranda ME, Calaor AB, Hernandez M, et al. Antigen capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for specific detection of Reston Ebola virus nucleoprotein. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 2003; 10: 552-557.
- [66] Miranda ME, Ksiazek TG, Retuya TJ, Khan AS, Sanchez A, Fulhorst CF, et al. Epidemiology of Ebola (subtype Reston) virus in the Philippines, 1996. J Infect Dis 1999; 179(Suppl 1): S115-S119.

- [67] Groen J, van den Hoogen BG, Burghoorn-Maas CP, Fooks AR, Burton J, Clegg CJ, et al. Serological reactivity of baculovirusexpressed Ebola virus VP35 and nucleoproteins. *Microbes Infect* 2003; 5: 379-385.
- [68] Huang Y, Wei H, Wang Y, Shi Z, Raoul H, Yuan Z. Rapid detection of filoviruses by real-time TaqMan polymerase chain reaction assays. *Virol Sin* 2012; 27(5): 273-277.
- [69] Ogawa H, Miyamoto H, Ebihara H, Ito K, Morikawa S, Feldmann H, et al. Detection of all known filovirus species by reverse transcriptionpolymerase chain reaction using a primer set specific for the viral nucleoprotein gene. J Virol Methods 2011; 171(1): 310-313.
- [70] Grolla A, Lucht A, Dick D, Strong JE, Feldmann H. Laboratory diagnosis of Ebola and Marburg hemorrhagic fever. *Bull Soc Pathol Exot* 2005; **98**: 205-209.
- [71] Niedrig M, Schmitz H, Becker S, Günther S, ter Meulen J, Meyer H, et al. First international quality assurance study on the rapid detection of viral agents of bioterrorism. J Clin Microbiol 2004; 42: 1753-1755.
- [72] Xu L, Sanchez A, Yang Z, Zaki SR, Nabel EG, Nichol ST, et al. Immunization for Ebola virus infection. Nat Med 1998; 4: 37-42.
- [73] Geisbert TW, Daddario-Dicaprio KM, Lewis MG, Geisbert JB, Grolla A, Leung A, *et al.* Vesicular stomatitis virus-based Ebola vaccine is welltolerated and protects immunocompromised nonhuman primates. *PLoS Pathog* 2008; doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000225.
- [74] Geisbert TW, Geisbert JB, Leung A, Daddario-DiCaprio KM, Hensley LE, Grolla A, *et al.* Single-injection vaccine protects nonhuman primates against infection with marburg virus and three species of ebola virus. *J Virol* 2009; 83: 7296-7304.
- [75] Warfield KL, Swenson DL, Olinger GG, Kalina WV, Aman MJ, Bavari S. Ebola virus-like particle–based vaccine protects nonhuman primates against lethal Ebola virus challenge. *J Infect Dis* 2007; 196(Suppl 2): S430–S437.
- [76] Bush L. Crucell and NIH sign Ebola vaccine manufacturing contract. *Pharm Technol* 2005; 29: 28.
- [77] Martin JE, Sullivan NJ, Enama ME, Gordon IJ, Roederer M, Koup RA, et al. A DNA vaccine for Ebola virus is safe and immunogenic in a phase I clinical trial. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 2006; **13**: 1267-1277.
- [78] Friedrich BM, Trefry JC, Biggins JE, Hensley LE, Honko AN, Smith DR, *et al.* Potential vaccines and post-exposure treatments for filovirus infections. *Viruses* 2012; 4: 1619-1650.
- [79] Falzarano D, Feldmann H. Possible leap ahead in filovirus therapeutics. *Cell Res* 2014; 24: 647–648.
- [80] World Health Organization. Ebola virus disease in Guinea. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014. [Online] Available from: http:// www.afro.who.int/en/clusters-a-programmes/dpc/epidemic-a-

pandemic-alert-and-response/outbreak-news/4063-ebola-virusdisease-in-guinea.html [Accessed on 15th August, 2014]

- [81] Shoemaker T, MacNeil A, Balinandi S, Campbell S, Wamala JF, McMullan LK, et al. Reemerging Sudan Ebola Virus Disease in Uganda, 2011. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2012; **18**(9): 1480-1483.
- [82] World Health Organization. End of The Ebola outbreak in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009. [Online] Available from: http://www.who.int/ csr/don/2009\_02\_17/en/ [Accessed on 14th August, 2014]
- [83] World Health Organization. Ebola Reston in pigs and humans, Philippines. Weekly Epidemiological Record 2009; 84(7): 49-56.
  [Online] Available from: http://www.who.int/wer/2009/wer8407.
  pdf?ua=1 [Accessed on 16th August, 2014]
- [84] Barrette RW, Metwally SA, Rowland JM, Xu L, Zaki SR, Nichol ST, et al. Discovery of swine as a host for the Reston ebolavirus. *Science* 2009; **325**; 204-206.
- [85] MacNeil A, Farnon EC, Morgan OW, Gould P, Boehmer TK, Blaney DD, et al. Filovirus outbreak detection and surveillance: lessons from Bundibugyo. *J Infect Dis* 2011; 204(Suppl 3): S761-S767.
- [86] Kaput VM. [Statement of Ebola virus outbreak end]. Kasai: Ministry of Health-Mweka, Luebo and Bulape; 2007. [Online] Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/vhf/ebola/pdf/Ref24\_D%C3%A9claration\_ fin\_EBOLA\_Nov\_20-2007.pdf [Accessed on 5th October, 2014], French.
- [87] Akinfeyeva LA, Aksyonova OI, Vasilyevich IV, Ginko ZI, Zarkov KA, Zubavichene NM, et al. [A case of Ebola hemorrhagic fever]. *Infektsionnye Bolezni (Moscow)* 2005; **3**: 85–88. Russian.
- [88] World Health Organization. Outbreak of Ebola haemorrhagic fever in Yambio, south Sudan, April-June 2004. Weekly Epidemiological Record 2005; 80: 370-375.
- [89] World Health Organization. Ebola haemorrhagic fever in the Republic of the Congo-Update 6. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004. [Online] Available from: http://www.who.int/ csr/don/2004\_01\_06/en/ [Accessed on 16th August, 2014]
- [90] Formenty P, Libama F, Epelboin A, et al. [Outbreak of Ebola hemorrhagic fever in the Republic of the Congo, 2003: a new strategy]? *Medecine Tropicale* 2003; 63(3): 291-295. French.
- [91] World Health Organization. Outbreak(s) of Ebola haemorrhagic fever, Congo and Gabon, October 2001-July 2002. Weekly Epidemiological Record 2003; 78: 217-228. [Online] Available from: http://www.who.int/wer/2003/en/wer7826.pdf?ua=1 [Accessed on 16th September, 2014]
- [92] Okware SI, Omaswa FG, Zaramba S, Opio A, Lutwama JJ, Kamugisha J, et al. An outbreak of Ebola in Uganda. *Trop Med Int Health* 2002; 7(12): 1068-1075.