Journal of Coastal Life Medicine

Journal homepage: www.jclmm.com

Document heading

doi:10.12980/JCLM.1.2013C358

© 2013 by the Journal of Coastal Life Medicine. All rights reserved.

Biochemical changes of *Litopenaeus vannamei* and *Fenneropenaeus* indicus in the different stages of WSSV infection

Ramachandran Shalini, Abdul Razack Nazar, Mohideen Abdul Badhul Haq, Selvaraju Shanker*

Centre of Advanced Study in Marine Biology, Faculty of Marine Science, Annamalai University, Parangipettai-608 502, Tamilnadu, India

PEER REVIEW

Peer reviewer

Dr. Akbar John, Department of biotechnology, Faculty of science, International Islamic University Malaysia, Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia. Tel: +60109019245

E-mail: akbarjohn50@gmail.com

Comments

This is a written study in which the authors studied the difference in the proximate composition and fatty acid profile of L. vannamei and F. indicus infected with different stages of WSSV. The results are interesting that the healthy shrimps are nutritionally rich than the WSSV affected shrimps. Details on Page 24

ABSTRACT

Objective: To find out the difference in the proximate composition and fatty acid profile of both the species of shrimp Litopenaeus vannamei (L. vannamei) and Fenneropenaeus indicus (F. indicus) infected with different stages of white spot syndrome virus (WSSV).

Methods: Standard methods were followed by estimating the proximate composition and fatty acid analysis. Each fish specimens were beheaded, eviscerated and filleted manually. The tissue samples were oven dried at 67 °C for 24 h. Then the samples were grounded finely with pestle and mortar. The saponified samples were cooled at room temperature for 25 min. They were acidified and methylated by adding 2 mL 54% 6 mol/L HCL in 46% aqueous methanol and incubated at 80 °C for 10 min in water bath. Following the base wash step, the fatty acid methyl esters were cleaned in anhydrous sodium sulphate and then transferred into gas chromatograph sample vial for analysis. Fatty acid methyl esters were separated by gas chromatograph.

Results: The proximate composition was higher in the both control tissue than the three (low, moderate, severe) infected ones. For L. vannamei and F. indicus, the carbohydrates are 5.07% and 6.18%, and the proteins are 25.01% and 22.17%, respectively. Lipid level recorded was little higher in the shrimps maintained and showed severe sign of WSSV infection than the control and the fatty acid profile result revealed that saturated fatty acids and monounsaturated fatty acid was in higher [48.72% (Severe) & 16.87% (low)] L. vannamei. In the polyunsaturated fatty acid, F. indicus was 40.47% (low).

Conclusions: Our study showed that the healthy shrimps are nutritionally rich than the WSSV affected shrimps.

KEYWORDS

Litopenaeus vannamei, Fenneropenaeus indicus, WSSV, Fatty acids, Proximate composition

1. Introduction

Seafoods are important source of nutrients in the human diet[1]. Crustaceans such as shrimps, crabs and lobsters, are good sources of amino acids, protein and other nutrients. Aquaculture emerged worldwide in the last two decades[2]. World shrimp aquaculture is producing now well over four million tons. Shrimp muscle is an excellent source of protein^[3]. Shrimp is one of the most popular species as it is a part of traditional meal for almost every nation,

rich in protein and minerals^[4] and is very low in fat and calories, making it a very healthy choice of food. Shrimps have very low saturated fat, which is the fat that raises cholesterol levels in the body. Minerals are essentials in shrimp nutrition. Its biochemical composition may be affected by several factors such as species, environmental factors, size, age, natural diet and feed composition^[5] and it changes seasonally^[6-9]. The biochemical composition also varied in shells and flesh of Fenneropenaeus indicus (F. indicus)^[10]. Recently, it is found that the decrease in

Received in revised form 15 Jul, 2nd revised form 19 Jul, 3rd revised form 22 Jul 2013 Accepted 12 Aug 2013 Available online 28 Aug 2013

^{*}Corresponding author: Selvaraju shanker, Ph.D, Research scholar, CAS in Marine Biology, Annamalai University, Parangipettai, India.

Tel: +91-9003450353

E-mail: shanker.sel@gmail.com

Foundation Project: Supported by the UGC - CPEPA, Government of India with grant number G4(1)/1011/12.

Article history: Received 10 Jun 2013

nutritional value of Metapenaeus monoceros is due to the effect of organochlorine pesticide[11]. There are changes in the proximate chemical composition, fresh mass, water content, ash content, organic constituents, lipid and protein contents and energy levels of penaeid prawn, Penaeus monodon (P. monodon) during different reproductive stages^[12]. Nevertheless, dietary requirements of important mineral elements are known for selected species of shrimps such as Penaeus japonicus, F. indicus, and P. monodon. There are many inorganic elements in the body of shrimps associated with the skeletal structure and biochemicals involved in vital physiological functions. The knowledge of the biochemical composition of any edible organism is extremely important as the nutritive value is reflected in the biochemical contents. The absence of essential micronutrients in the diet may lead to deficiency diseases. Thus it is necessary to understand the dietary requirements during the feed formulation.

Since the diseases of cultured shrimp includes infectious (viral, bacterial, and fungal) and non-infectious diseases caused by environmental hindrances, nutritional imbalance, toxicants and genetic factors^[13]. Among the differentially expressed genes found in this study, several had been previously reported and found to involve in shrimp response against white spot syndrome virus (WSSV), such as C-type lectin and hemocyanin^[14]. White spot syndrome, one of the most serious viral diseases among cultured shrimps in the world^[15], has emerged in cultured kuruma shrimp (P. *japonicus*) in Japan in 1993. Present work was undertaken to determine the proximate composition and fatty acid profile of the whole body/tissues of *Litopenaeus vannamei* (L. *vannamei*) and F. *indicus* infected during different phases (low, moderate and severe) of WSSV infection.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental setup

Equal number of shrimps of both the species (10 animals per tank) was maintained in separate tanks. Four different tanks (control, low, moderate and severe) were for each species. The control tank was fed with the normal feed and the other tanks were fed with WSSV infected shrimp tissue (oral method) to enhance WSSV infection. The level of infection was monitored regularly.

2.2. Preparation of sample

The shrimps were fed regularly till the infection ranges were attained. The tissue samples from all the three stages were collected for the proximate composition and fatty acid analysis.

2.3. Estimation of protein

Folin-Ciocalteu phenol method of Lowry et al. was adopted for the estimation of total proteins in the tissue^[16]. The dry tissue sample weighing 10 mg was thoroughly homogenized with 1 mL of deproteinizing agent (10% TCA) by keeping the tubes in ice. All samples were centrifuged for 20 min at 3000 r/min. The precipitate obtained was used for protein estimation. The precipitate was dissolved in 2 mL 1 mol/ L NaOH and to 1 mL of this solution, freshly prepared 5 mL alkaline reagent was added. This was kept at room temperature for 10 min, after which 0.5 mL of 1 mol/L Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was added and mixed rapidly. A standard stock solution was prepared using bovine serum albumin crystals at a concentration of 25 mg/5 mL NaOH. Different dilutions in the range of 0.25 to 2.50 mg/mL were prepared from this stock solution, the alkaline reagent and Folin-Phenol reagent was added as in the case of tissue samples. A blank was prepared with 1 mL 1 mol/L NaOH and treated the same way as earlier mentioned. All the test tubes were kept for 30 min at room temperature, the blue colour developed, and the optical density (OD) was evaluated against the blank at 660 nm:

% Composition of protein = $\frac{\text{Standard value } \times \text{ OD of sample}}{\text{Weight of tissue}} \times 100$

2.4. Estimation of carbohydrate

For the estimation of total carbohydrate content, the procedure of Dubois *et al.* using phenol–sulphuric acid was followed^[17].

About 5 mg of oven-dried tissue was taken for carbohydrate analysis. The tissue was taken in a test tube and 1 mL of phenol (5%) and 5 mL concentrate H_2SO_4 were added in quick succession. The tubes were kept for 30 min at 30 °C and the OD of the colour developed was measured at 490 nm against the blank. D-glucose was used as a standard and it had an OD value of 0.1 carbohydrates as calculated by using the formula:

% Composition of carbohydrate =
$$\frac{\text{Standard value } \times \text{ OD of sample}}{\text{Weight of tissue}} \times 100$$

2.5. Estimation of lipid

The chloroform-methanol extraction procedure of Floch *et al.* was used for extracting lipid from the various body parts^[18]. The lipid content was estimated gravimetrically by following method. The lipid was extracted from 500 mg of powdered oven-dried tissue with 5 mL of chloroform: methanol (2:1) mixture added. The mixture was filtered by a micro filter. This extract was taken in a pre-weighed beaker and oven dried. Beaker was reweighed with lipid. The

difference in weight was taken as total lipid content and the percentage was calculated as follows:

% Composition of lipid =
$$\frac{\text{Weight of lipid}}{\text{Weight of tissue}} \times 100$$

2.6. Estimation of calcium

To the 5 g of wet tissue samples, mixture of hydrochloric acid, nitric acid and perchloric acid (HCl, HNO_3-HClO_4) at a ratio of 10:5:1 was added for digestion at 300 °C. The digests were filtered suitably and aspirated in digital flame photometer. The obtained values were expressed in mg/100 g. The level of calcium was estimated by following the method of Guzman and Jimenez^[19].

2.7. Estimation of phosphorus and iron

The tissue samples collected were stored in pre-cleaned polythene contains and were later aspired in an inductively coupled plasma spectrophotometer (ICP) after calibrating the instrument with appropriate blank and series of known standards for the minerals (phosphorus and iron). The phosphorus and iron levels were estimated by following the method of Topping^[20].

2.8. Calorific content estimation

The calorific content was calculated from the biochemical composition by using the calorific equivalents of 5.65 kcal/g for protein, 4.45 kcal/g for lipid and 4.10 kcal/ g for carbohydrate as suggested by Brett and Groves and expressed in dry weight basis^[21].

2.9. Estimation of moisture

A known quantity of the wet tissue was dried in a hot air oven at a constant temperature of 60 °C until the wet tissue was dried completely. The moisture content was estimated by subtracting the dry weight of the sample from the total weight. The percentage of moisture was calculated^[22].

2.10. Analysis of fatty acids

For fatty acid analysis, each fish specimens were beheaded, eviscerated and filleted manually. The tissue samples were oven dried at 67 °C for 24 h. After that, the samples were grounded finely with pestle and mortar. The preparation and analysis of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) from these fish tissues were performed^[23]. A total of 50 mg of tissue samples were added to 1 mL of 1.2 mol/L NaOH in 50% aqueous methanol with glass beads (3 mm dia) in a screw-cap tube and then incubated at 100 °C for 30 min in a water bath. The saponified samples were cooled at room temperature for 25 min, and they were acidified and methylated by adding 2 mL 54% 6 mol/L HCL in 46% aqueous methanol and incubated at 80 °C for 10 min in water bath. After rapid cooling, methylated FAs were extracted with 1.25 mL 50% methyl-test butyl ether (MTBE) in hexane. Each sample was mixed for 10 min and the bottom phase removed with a pasteur pipette. Top phase was washed with 3 mL 0.3 mol/L NaOH. After mixing for 5 min, the top phase was removed cleaned in anhydrous sodium sulphate and then transferred in to gas chromatograph sample vial for analysis. FAMEs were separated by gas chromatograph (HP 6890 N, Agilent Technologies, USA). FAMEs profiles of the tissues were identified by comparing the commercial Eucary database with MIS Software package (MIS Ver. No. 3.8, Microbial ID. Inc., Newark, Delaware).

3. Results

3.1. Proximate composition of L. vannamei and F. indicus

Proximate compositions of both species are given in Tables 1 and 2. Higher levels of protein, carbohydrates and lipids were found in the control rather than the infected tissues.

Table 1

Changes in the proximate composition of the tissues of *Lvannamei* exposed to WSSV.

Proximate composition	Low	Moderate	Severe	Control
Protein (%)	22.10±0.02	23.53±0.02	18.07±0.02	25.01±0.02
Carbohydrates (%)	6.23±0.02	4.23±0.02	3.030±0.023	5.07±0.02
Lipid (%)	1.32±0.03	0.01±0.03	1.770±0.025	0.011±0.020
Moisture (%)	70.01±0.02	71.03±0.02	70.01±0.02	71.00 ± 0.02
Calcium (mg/100 g)	277.00±0.02	266.00 ± 0.02	267.00 ± 0.02	279.00±0.03
Phosphorus (mg/100 g)	213.06±0.03	215.03±0.02	203.04±0.02	215.06±0.02
Iron (mg/100 g)	4.30±0.02	3.71±0.54	3.48 ± 0.02	4.53±0.02
Calorific value (kcal/g)	65.71±0.54	64.170±0.025	63.39±0.53	68.460±0.017

Table 2

Changes in the proximate composition in the tissues of *F. indicus* exposed to WSSV.

Proximate composition	Low	Moderate	Severe	Control	
Protein (%)	21.07±0.02	21.63±0.02	17.14±0.02	22.17±0.02	
Carbohydrates (%)	6.10±0.02	3.39±0.59	4.86±0.24	6.18±0.02	
Lipid (%)	2.03±0.02	1.88 ± 0.02	1.21±0.02	2.13±0.02	
Moisture (%)	72.07±0.02	72.42±0.60	73.88±0.02	72.18±0.02	
Calcium (mg/100 g)	279.03±0.02	265.18 ± 0.02	266.40±0.02	273.30±5.73	
Phosphorus (mg/100 g)	214.10 ± 0.02	209.83±0.64	203.13±0.02	251.39±0.53	
Iron (mg/100 g)	4.54±0.02	3.38 ± 0.54	3.64±0.02	4.72±0.02	
Calorific value (kcal/g)	67.48±0.02	65.17±0.02	64.07±0.02	67.68±0.02	

3.2. Fatty acid profiles of L. vannamei and F. indicus

Degree of saturates ranged between 43.47%-48.72% in the shrimps affected with severe condition in both the species, whilst monoenes ranged between 10.80%-16.84%, and PUFA varied from 36.12%-40.47% shrimps with low sign of WSSV infection. A uniform trend of saturates, monoenes, PUFA and

other FA profile levels were found as 42.30%-45.53%, 10.94%-16.46%, 35.80%-39.99% and 0.59%-0.69% respectively (Tables 3 and 4) in *L. vannamei* and *F. indicus* during different stages of WSSV infectivity.

Table 3

The presentage of fatty acid profile of different stages of infested *L.vannamei* tissue (%).

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		155ue (%).		N 1	-	a 1
C11:0Undecyclic acid1.430.570.640.50C12:0Lauric acid1.640.190.170.72C13:0Tri decyclic acid1.792.542.612.52C15:0Penta decyclic acid2.511.501.441.15C16:0Palmitic acid2.162.1592.19920.05C17:0Margaric acic3.053.953.974.64C18:0Stearic acid6.107.568.098.02C19:0Nonadecyclic acid0.191.100.070.14C20:0Arachidic acid0.191.100.771.44C21:0Heneicosanoic acid1.111.170.971.14C22:0Pehenic acid1.191.561.641.12C23:0Tricosanic acid0.590.170.930.13C24:0Lignoeric acid1.971.211.311.19C14:1E-7Myristolec acid1.111.130.16-C14:1E-7Palmitoleic acid1.971.211.311.19C18:1E-5Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid0.330.440.170.51C18:1E-7Cis-5-Eicosenoic acid1.991.036.108.11C19:1E-8Nonadecenoic acid1.991.021.011.11C20:1E-7Cis-7-Decosenoic acid0.516.616.11C20:1E-7Cis-7-Decosenoic acid0.511.611.11C20:1E-7Cis-7-Decosen						Control
C12:0Laurie acid1.640.190.170.72C13:0Tri decyclic acid1.041.161.841.03C14:0Myristic acid1.792.542.612.52C15:0Penta decyclic acid21.1621.5921.9920.05C17:0Margaric acic3.053.953.074.64C18:0Stearic acid6.107.568.098.02C19:0Nonadecyclic acid1.091.100.970.14C21:0Hencicosanoic acid1.191.561.641.12C22:0Pehenic acid1.271.591.891.16C22:0Pehenic acid1.271.591.891.16C24:0Lignoceric acid1.271.591.891.16C 64:10Myristolec acid1.271.591.891.16C 16:12-7Palmitoleic acid1.111.130.16-C 16:12-7Palmitoleic acid1.971.211.111.13C 16:12-7Palmitoleic acid1.991.211.911.91C 16:12-7Palmitoleic acid1.991.021.911.91C 18:12-7C is-5-Clotadecenoic acid0.330.140.620.91C 18:12-7C is-5-Dectadecenoic acid1.991.021.911.91C 19:12-8Nonadecenoic acid1.991.021.911.91C 20:12-7C is-5-Eicosenoic acid1.911.011.911.91		•				
C13:0Tri decyclic acid1.041.161.841.03C14:0Myristic acid1.792.542.612.52C15:0Penta decyclic acid2.151.501.041.21C16:0Palmitic acid2.153.953.974.64C17:0Margaric acic3.053.958.028.02C19:0Nonadecyclic acid1.091.791.812.03C20:0Arachidic acid0.191.100.970.14C21:0Heneicosanoic acid1.111.170.971.24C22:0Pricosanic acid1.271.591.891.16Z2:30Tricosanic acid1.271.591.891.16C2:40Lignoceric acid1.271.591.891.16C18:1E=7Myristolec acid1.111.130.16-C18:1E=7Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid0.310.140.170.51C18:1E=7Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid1.091.021.911.91C20:1E=5Cis-5-Eicosenoic acid1.091.021.911.91C20:1E=7Cis-7-Eicosenoic acid1.091.021.911.91C20:1E=7Cis-7-Dicosenoic acid1.931.05C20:1E=7Cis-6-Tetrasenoic acid1.931.05C21:1E=7Cis-6-Tetrasenoic acid1.931.05C21:1E=6Cis-6-Tetrasenoic acid1.172.512.990.51 </td <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		•				
C14:0Myristic acid1.792.542.612.52C15:0Penta decyclic acid2.511.501.041.21C16:0Palmitic acid2.1621.9920.05C17:0Margaric acid3.053.953.974.64C18:0Stearic acid1.091.791.812.03C19:0Nonadecyclic acid1.091.791.842.03C20:0Arachidic acid0.191.100.071.24C21:0Hencicosanoic acid1.111.170.971.24C22:0Pehenic acid1.271.581.641.12C23:0Tricosanic acid0.590.170.930.13C24:0Lignoceric acid1.111.130.16-C14:1E-7Myristolec acid1.111.130.16-C16:1E-7Palmitoleic acid1.971.211.311.19C18:1E-5Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid0.330.140.170.51C18:1E-7Gis-7-Dicadecenoic acid0.040.021.911.91C20:1E-5Cis-5-Eicosenoic acid1.091.021.011.01C20:1E-5Cis-5-Dicosenoic acid0.570.61-0.51C20:1E-7Cis-7-Dicosenoic acid0.570.61-0.51C20:1E-7Cis-7-Dicosenoic acid0.570.61-0.51C20:1E-7Cis-7-Dicosenoic acid1.311.921.071.11C20:1						
C15:0 Penta decyclic acid 2.51 1.50 1.04 1.21 C16:0 Palmitic acid 21.16 21.59 21.99 20.05 C17:0 Margaric acic 3.05 3.95 3.97 4.64 C18:0 Stearic acid 0.19 1.00 0.97 0.14 C20:0 Arachidic acid 0.19 1.10 0.97 0.14 C21:0 Heneicosanoic acid 1.11 1.17 0.97 1.24 C22:0 Pehenic acid 1.9 1.56 1.64 1.12 C3:0 Tricosanic acid 0.59 0.17 0.93 0.13 C14:1E-7 Myristolec acid 1.97 1.21 1.31 1.19 C18:1E-7 Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid 0.33 0.14 0.17 0.51 C18:1E-7 Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid 0.54 0.61 0.62 0.91 C18:1E-7 Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid 0.51 0.11 0.51 0.11 C20:1E-5 Cis-5-						
C16:0 Palmitic acid 21.16 21.59 20.05 C17:0 Margaric acic 3.05 3.95 3.97 4.64 C18:0 Stearic acid 6.10 7.56 8.09 8.02 C19:0 Nonadecyclic acid 1.09 1.71 0.31 2.03 C20:0 Arachidic acid 0.19 1.10 0.97 0.14 C21:0 Heneicosanoic acid 1.11 1.17 0.93 0.13 C24:0 Lignoceric acid 1.27 1.59 1.89 1.16 C 64:57As 45.26 46.63 48.72 45.33 C 14:1E-7 Myristolec acid 1.11 1.13 0.16 - C 16:1E-7 Palmitoleic acid 1.97 1.21 1.31 1.19 C 18:1E-7 Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid 0.33 0.14 0.17 0.51 C 18:1E-7 Cis-7-Decosenoic acid 1.99 1.02 1.91 1.91 C 20:1E-7 C Cis-7-Decosenoic acid 1.91						
C17:0Margaric acic3.053.953.974.64C18:0Stearic acid6.107.568.098.02C19:0Nonadecyclic acid1.091.791.812.03C20:0Arachidic acid0.191.100.970.14C21:0Heneicosanoic acid1.111.170.971.24C22:0Pehenic acid1.271.591.891.16C23:0Tricosanic acid0.590.170.930.13C24:0Lignoceric acid1.971.211.311.19C16:1E-7Myristolec acid1.971.211.311.19C18:1E-5Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid0.330.140.170.51C18:1E-7Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid0.540.660.620.91C19:1E-8Nonadecenoic acid1.091.021.911.91C20:1E-5Cis-5-Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:1E-7Cis-7-Eicosenoic acid0.670.61-0.13C20:1E-7Cis-7-Decosenoic acid0.540.590.090.19C24:1E-3Cis-3-Tetrasenoic acid0.540.590.191.02C24:1E-3Cis-3-Tetrasenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:1E-3Cis-6-Tetrasenoic acid1.931.05C24:1E-3Cis-6-Tetrasenoic acid1.931.051.011.01C24:1E-3Cis-6-Tetrasenoic acid1.933.19 </td <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		•				
Clisto Stearie acid 6.10 7.56 8.09 8.02 C19:0 Nonadecyclic acid 1.09 1.79 1.81 2.03 C20:0 Arachidic acid 0.19 1.10 0.97 0.14 C21:0 Heneicosanoic acid 1.11 1.17 0.97 1.24 C22:0 Pehenic acid 1.99 1.59 1.89 1.16 C23:0 Tricosanic acid 0.59 0.17 0.93 0.13 C24:0 Lignoceric acid 1.27 1.59 1.89 1.16 C16:1E-7 Palmitoleic acid 1.97 1.21 1.31 1.19 C18:1E-5 Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid 0.33 0.14 0.17 0.51 C18:1E-7 Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid 1.09 1.02 1.91 1.91 C20:1E-5 Cis-5-Eicosenoic acid 1.09 1.02 1.91 1.91 C20:1E-7 Cis-7-Eicosenoic acid 0.54 0.59 0.69 0.19 C21:E-7 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>21.16</td><td>21.59</td><td>21.99</td><td></td></t<>			21.16	21.59	21.99	
C19:0 Nonadecyclic acid 1.09 1.79 1.81 2.03 C20:0 Arachidic acid 0.19 1.10 0.97 0.14 C21:0 Heneicosanoic acid 1.11 1.17 0.97 1.24 C22:0 Pehenic acid 1.9 1.56 1.64 1.12 C23:0 Tricosanic acid 0.59 0.17 0.93 0.13 C24:0 Lignoceric acid 1.27 1.59 1.89 1.16 ∑ of SFAs 45.26 46.63 48.72 45.23 C14:1E-7 Myristolec acid 1.97 1.21 1.31 1.19 C18:1E-5 Ciss-5-Octadecenoic acid 0.54 0.61 0.62 0.91 C18:1E-7 Ciss-5-Octadecenoic acid 0.54 0.61 0.62 0.91 C19:1E-8 Nonadecenoic acid 1.09 1.02 1.91 1.91 C20:1E-7 Ciss-7-Eicosenoic acid 0.91 - 0.51 0.11 C20:1E-7 Ciss-7-Docosenoic acid 0.54 0.59 0.69 0.19 C22:1E-7 C	C17:0	0	3.05	3.95	3.97	4.64
C20:0Arachidic acid0.191.100.970.14C21:0Heneicosanoic acid1.111.170.971.24C2:0Pehenic acid1.91.561.641.12C2:30Tricosanic acid0.590.170.930.13C240Lignoceric acid1.271.591.891.16 Σ of SFAs45.2646.6348.7245.53C14:1E-7Myristolec acid1.971.211.311.19C16:1E-7Palmitoleic acid0.330.140.170.51C18:1E-7Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid0.330.140.170.51C18:1E-9Oleic acid7.195.136.108.17C19:1E-8Nonadecenoic acid1.091.021.911.91C20:1E-7Cis-7-Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:1E-7Cis-9-Eicosenoic acid0.54-0.93C20:1E-7Cis-7-Docosenoic acid0.540.690.69C20:1E-7Cis-7-Docosenoic acid0.540.590.69C22:1E-7Cis-7-Docosenoic acid-0.510.11C20:1E-7Cis-3-Tetrasenoic acid-0.510.19C22:1E-7Cis-7-Docosenoic acid-0.510.19C22:1E-7Cis-7-Docosenoic acid1.931.05-C22:1E-7Cis-7-Docosenoic acid-0.510.10C22:1E-7Cis-7-Docosenoic acid-1.501.77<	C18:0		6.10	7.56	8.09	8.02
C21:0 Heneicosanoic acid 1.11 1.17 0.97 1.24 C22:0 Pehenic acid 1.19 1.56 1.64 1.12 C23:0 Tricosanic acid 0.59 0.17 0.93 0.13 C24:0 Lignoceric acid 1.27 1.59 1.89 1.16 Σ of SFAs 45.26 46.63 48.72 45.53 C14:18–7 Myristolec acid 1.97 1.21 1.31 1.19 C18:18–5 Cis–5–Octadecenoic acid 0.33 0.14 0.17 0.51 C18:18–7 Cis–7–Octadecenoic acid 1.09 1.02 1.91 1.91 C20:18–5 Cis–5–Eicosenoic acid 1.09 1.02 1.91 1.91 C20:18–7 Cis–7–Eicosenoic acid 0.19 - 0.51 0.11 C20:18–7 Cis–7–Docosenoic acid 0.54 - 0.93 C21:12–9 Cis–7–Docosenoic acid 0.54 - 0.13 C22:12–7 Cis–7–Docosenoic acid 1.50 1.77 1.01 C24:12–9 Trans–9–Tetrasenoic acid 1.50 <td>C19:0</td> <td></td> <td>1.09</td> <td>1.79</td> <td>1.81</td> <td>2.03</td>	C19:0		1.09	1.79	1.81	2.03
C2:20Pehenic acid1.191.561.641.12C2:30Tricosanic acid0.590.170.930.13C24:0Lignoceric acid1.271.591.891.16 Σ of SFAs45.2646.6348.7245.531.11C14:18-7Myristolec acid1.971.211.311.19C18:18-5Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid0.330.140.170.51C18:18-7Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid0.330.140.170.51C18:18-9Oleic acid7.195.136.108.17C19:18-8Nonadecenoic acid1.091.021.911.21C20:18-7Cis-5- Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:18-7Cis-7- Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:18-7Cis-7- Docosenoic acid0.54-0.330.49C21:18-11Trans - 11- Eicosenoic0.670.61-0.13C22:18-7Cis-7- Docosenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:18-3Cis-3- Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.110.19C24:18-9Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid1.531.50C18:32-6Linoleric acid4.193.993.133.17C18:32-6Linoleric acid4.193.993.133.17C18:32-6Gamma linoleric acid1.171.991.031.11C2:32-6Eicosadienoic acid1.171.9	C20:0	Arachidic acid	0.19	1.10	0.97	0.14
C23:0 Tricosanic acid 0.59 0.17 0.93 0.13 C24:0 Lignoceric acid 1.27 1.59 1.89 1.16 Σ of SFAs 45.26 46.63 48.72 45.53 C14:1E=7 Myristolec acid 1.11 1.13 0.16 - C16:1E=7 Palmitoleic acid 1.97 1.21 1.31 1.19 C18:1E=5 Cis=5-Octadecenoic acid 0.33 0.14 0.17 0.51 C18:1E=7 Cis=7-Octadecenoic acid 0.54 0.61 0.62 0.91 C18:1E=9 Oleic acid 7.19 5.13 6.10 8.17 C19:1E=8 Nonadecenoic acid 1.09 1.02 1.91 1.91 C20:1E=7 Cis=7-Eicosenoic acid 0.19 - 0.51 0.11 C20:1E=7 Cis=7-Docosenoic acid 0.59 0.69 0.19 C24:1E=7 Cis=6-Tetrasenoic acid 1.93 1.05 - - C24:1E=6 Cis=6-Tetrasenoic acid 1.93 1.05 - - C24:1E=6 Cis=6-Tetrasenoi	C21:0	Heneicosanoic acid	1.11	1.17	0.97	1.24
C24:0Lignoceric acid1.271.591.891.16 Σ of SFAs45.2646.6348.7245.53C14:1 ϵ -7Myristolec acid1.111.130.16-C16:1 ϵ -7Palmitoleic acid1.971.211.311.19C18:1 ϵ -5Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid0.330.140.170.51C18:1 ϵ -7Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid0.540.610.620.91C18:1 ϵ -7Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid1.091.021.911.91C20:1 ϵ -5Cis-5- Eicosenoic acid-0.54-0.93C20:1 ϵ -7Cis-7- Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:1 ϵ -7Cis-7- Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:1 ϵ -7Cis-7- Eicosenoic acid0.670.61-0.13C20:1 ϵ -9Cis-9- Eicosenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:1 ϵ -9Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.010.19C24:1 ϵ -9Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.011.01 Σ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46C18:2 ϵ -6Linoleic acid4.193.993.133.17C18:3 ϵ -6Gamma linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4 ϵ -3Stearidonic acid1.171.911.0820.13C24:1 ϵ -9Trans-91.161.171.911.08C21: ϵ -6Eicosadienoic acid <td< td=""><td>C22:0</td><td>Pehenic acid</td><td>1.19</td><td>1.56</td><td>1.64</td><td>1.12</td></td<>	C22:0	Pehenic acid	1.19	1.56	1.64	1.12
Σ of SFAs45.2646.6348.7245.53C14:1E-7Myristolec acid1.111.130.16-C16:1E-7Palmitoleic acid1.971.211.311.19C18:1E-5Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid0.330.140.170.51C18:1E-7Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid0.540.610.620.91C18:1E-9Oleic acid7.195.136.108.17C19:1E-8Nonadecenoic acid1.091.021.911.91C20:1E-5Cis-5- Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:1E-7Cis-7- Eicosenoic acid1.311.921.071.21C20:1E-7Cis-7- Docosenoic acid0.54-0.93C22:1E-7Cis-7- Docosenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:1E-3Cis-3- Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.110.19C24:1E-9Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01E of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46C18:2E-6Linoleic acid4.013.553.194.59C18:3E-3Alfa linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4E-3Stearidonic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:2E-6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.031.11C20:2E-6Eicosadienoic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:2E-6Docosa tetraenoic acid1.191.910.13 <t< td=""><td>C23:0</td><td>Tricosanic acid</td><td>0.59</td><td>0.17</td><td>0.93</td><td>0.13</td></t<>	C23:0	Tricosanic acid	0.59	0.17	0.93	0.13
Cl4:1E-7 Myristolec acid 1.11 1.13 0.16 - Cl6:1E-7 Palmitoleic acid 1.97 1.21 1.31 1.19 Cl8:1E-5 Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid 0.33 0.14 0.62 0.91 Cl8:1E-7 Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid 1.09 5.13 6.10 8.17 Cl9:1E-8 Nonadecenoic acid 1.09 1.02 1.91 1.91 C20:1E-5 Cis-5-Eicosenoic acid 0.19 - 0.51 0.11 C20:1E-7 Cis-7-Eicosenoic acid 1.31 1.92 1.07 1.21 C20:1E-7 Cis-7-Docosenoic acid 0.54 - 0.13 C22:1E-7 Cis-7-Docosenoic acid 0.54 0.59 0.69 0.19 C2:4:1E-3 Cis-7-Docosenoic acid 1.93 1.05 - - C2:4:1E-6 Cis-6-Tetrasenoic acid 1.93 1.05 - - C18:2E-6 Linoleic acid 4.01 3.55 3.19 4.59 C18:3E-3 Alfa linolenic acid 1.17 2.59 7.01 5.19	C24:0	Lignoceric acid	1.27	1.59	1.89	1.16
Cl6:1 ϵ -7Palmitoleic acid1.971.211.311.19Cl8:1 ϵ -5Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid0.330.140.170.51Cl8:1 ϵ -7Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid0.540.610.620.91Cl8:1 ϵ -9Oleic acid7.195.136.108.17Cl9:1 ϵ -5Cis-5-Eicosenoic acid1.091.021.911.91C20:1 ϵ -7Cis-7-Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:1 ϵ -7Cis-7-Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:1 ϵ -7Cis-9-Eicosenoic acid0.670.61-0.13C20:1 ϵ -1Trans - 11-Eicosenoic0.670.61-0.13C22:1 ϵ -7Cis-7-Docosenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:1 ϵ -3Cis-6-Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.110.19C24:1 ϵ -6Cis-6-Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01 ϵ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46C18:2 ϵ -6Linoleic acid4.193.993.133.17C18:3 ϵ -3Alfa linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4 ϵ -3Stearidonic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:2 ϵ -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:2 ϵ -6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:2 ϵ -6Dicosa trienoic acid2.19-2.13-C20:2 ϵ -6	Σ of SFAs	45.26	46.63	48.72	45.53	
C18:1 ϵ -5Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid0.330.140.170.51C18:1 ϵ -7Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid0.540.610.620.91C18:1 ϵ -9Oleic acid7.195.136.108.17C19:1 ϵ -8Nonadecenoic acid1.091.021.911.91C20:1 ϵ -5Cis-5-Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.54-0.93C20:1 ϵ -7Cis-7-Eicosenoic acid1.311.921.071.21C20:1 ϵ -9Cis-9-Eicosenoic acid1.311.921.071.21C20:1 ϵ -11Trans - 11-Eicosenoic0.670.61-0.13C22:1 ϵ -7Cis-7-Docosenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:1 ϵ -3Cis-3-Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.110.19C24:1 ϵ -6Cis-6-Tetrasenoic acid1.931.05C24:1 ϵ -9Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01 Σ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46C18:2 ϵ -6Linoleic acid4.193.993.133.17C18:3 ϵ -3Alfa linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4 ϵ -3Stearidonic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:2 ϵ -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:2 ϵ -6Dicosa trienoic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:2 ϵ -6Dicosa trienoic acid1.191.012.18C20:2 ϵ	C14:1ɛ-7	Myristolec acid	1.11	1.13	0.16	-
C18:1E-7Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid0.540.610.620.91C18:1E-9Oleic acid7.195.136.108.17C19:1E-8Nonadecenoic acid1.091.021.911.91C20:1E-7Cis-5-Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:1E-7Cis-7-Eicosenoic acid1.311.921.071.21C20:1E-11Trans - 11-Eicosenoic0.670.61-0.13C22:1E-7Cis-7-Docosenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:1E-3Cis-3-Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.110.19C24:1E-6Cis-6-Tetrasenoic acid1.931.05C24:1E-9Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01E 6 MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46-C18:3E-6Gamma linolenic acid4.193.993.133.17C18:3E-6Gamma linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4E-3Stearidonic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:2E-6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3E-6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:2E-6Arachidonic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:2E-6Dicosa trienoic acid2.19-1.331.11C20:2E-6Docosa trienoic acid2.19-1.391.28C20:2E-3Docosa trienoic acid<	C16:1E-7	Palmitoleic acid	1.97	1.21	1.31	1.19
C18:1 ϵ -9Oleic acid7.195.136.108.17C19:1 ϵ -8Nonadecenoic acid1.091.021.911.91C20:1 ϵ -7Cis-5-Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:1 ϵ -7Cis-7-Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:1 ϵ -7Cis-9-Eicosenoic acid1.311.921.071.21C20:1 ϵ -11Trans - 11-Eicosenoic0.670.61-0.13C22:1 ϵ -7Cis-7-Docosenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:1 ϵ -3Cis-3-Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.110.19C24:1 ϵ -6Cis-6-Tetrasenoic acid1.931.05C24:1 ϵ -9Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01 Σ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46-C18:2 ϵ -6Linoleic acid4.013.553.194.59C18:3 ϵ -3Alfa linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4 ϵ -3Stearidonic acid6.175.597.015.19C20:2 ϵ -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3 ϵ -6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:3 ϵ -6Dicosa trienoic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:5 ϵ -3Docosa trienoic acid1.191.910.131.11C22:3 ϵ -3Docosa trienoic acid2.19-1.39C22:5 ϵ -3	C18:1ɛ-5	Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid	0.33	0.14	0.17	0.51
C19:1 ϵ -8Nonadecenoic acid1.091.021.911.91C20:1 ϵ -7Cis-5- Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.01C20:1 ϵ -7Cis-7- Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:1 ϵ -9Cis-9- Eicosenoic acid1.311.921.071.21C20:1 ϵ -11Trans - 11- Eicosenoic0.670.61-0.13C22:1 ϵ -7Cis-7- Docosenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:1 ϵ -3Cis-3- Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.110.19C24:1 ϵ -6Cis-6- Tetrasenoic acid1.931.05C24:1 ϵ -9Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01 Σ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46C18:2 ϵ -6Linoleic acid4.013.553.194.59C18:3 ϵ -3Alfa linolenic acid4.193.993.133.17C18:3 ϵ -6Gamma linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4 ϵ -3Stearidonic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:2 ϵ -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.082.01C20:2 ϵ -6Dicosa trienoic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:5 ϵ -3Docosa trienoic acid1.191.910.131.11C22:3 ϵ -3Docosa trienoic acid2.19-1.39C22:5 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid2.19-0.17C2:2 ϵ -6Docosa Hex	C18:1E-7	Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid	0.54	0.61	0.62	0.91
C20:1 ε -5Cis-5- Eicosenoic acid-0.54-0.93C20:1 ε -7Cis-7- Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:1 ε -9Cis-9- Eicosenoic acid1.311.921.071.21C20:1 ε -11Trans - 11- Eicosenoic0.670.61-0.13C22:1 ε -7Cis-7- Docosenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:1 ε -3Cis-3- Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.110.19C24:1 ε -6Cis-6- Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01 Σ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46C18:2 ε -6Linoleic acid4.013.553.194.59C18:3 ε -3Alfa linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4 ε -3Stearidonic acid6.175.597.015.19C20:2 ε -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3 ε -6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:3 ε -6Dicosa trienoic acid1.171.091.012.08C22:3 ε -3Docosa trienoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5 ε -3Docosa tetraenoic acid2.19-0.171.28C22:5 ε -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid0.110.17C1:0 Iso-0.100.090.070.111.128C2:5 ε -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid2.19-2.13-C1:2 ε -3D	C18:1ɛ-9	Oleic acid	7.19	5.13	6.10	8.17
C20:1ε-7Cis-7- Eicosenoic acid0.19-0.510.11C20:1ε-9Cis-9- Eicosenoic acid1.311.921.071.21C20:1ε-11Trans - 11- Eicosenoic0.670.61-0.13C22:1ε-7Cis-7- Docosenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:1ε-3Cis-3- Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.110.19C24:1ε-6Cis-6- Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01C4:1ε-9Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01Σ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46-C18:2ε-6Linoleic acid4.193.993.133.17C18:3ε-3Alfa linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4ε-3Stearidonic acid6.175.597.015.19C20:2ε-6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3ε-6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:4ε-6Arachidonic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:5ε-3Docosa trienoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5ε-3Docosa Hexaenoic acid2.19-0.171.28C22:6ε-3Docosa Hexaenoic acid8.257.96.9211.28C21:6ε-3Docosa Hexaenoic acid0.110.17C1:0 Iso-0.100.090.070.11C1:10 Anteiso-0.12-<	C19:1ɛ-8	Nonadecenoic acid	1.09	1.02	1.91	1.91
C20:1 ϵ -9Cis-9- Eicosenoic acid1.311.921.071.21C20:1 ϵ -11Trans - 11- Eicosenoic0.670.61-0.13C22:1 ϵ -7Cis-7- Docosenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:1 ϵ -3Cis-3- Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.110.19C24:1 ϵ -6Cis-6- Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01C24:1 ϵ -9Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01 Σ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.4618:323.19C18:3 ϵ -6Linoleic acid4.193.993.133.17C18:3 ϵ -6Gamma linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4 ϵ -3Stearidonic acid6.175.597.015.19C20:2 ϵ -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3 ϵ -6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:3 ϵ -6Dicosa trienoic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:3 ϵ -3Docosa trienoic acid1.191.910.131.11C22:3 ϵ -3Docosa tetraenoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid9.109.070.171.28C22:5 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid9.100.070.111.28C11:0 Iso-0.100.090.070.11C11:0 Anteiso-0.12-0.12-C20:	C20:1ɛ-5	Cis-5- Eicosenoic acid	-	0.54	-	0.93
C20:1 ϵ -11Trans - 11- Eicosenoic0.670.61-0.13C22:1 ϵ -7Cis-7- Docosenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:1 ϵ -3Cis-3- Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.110.19C24:1 ϵ -6Cis-6- Tetrasenoic acid1.931.05C24:1 ϵ -9Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01 Σ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46-C18:2 ϵ -6Linoleic acid4.013.553.194.59C18:3 ϵ -3Alfa linolenic acid4.172.512.990.50C18:4 ϵ -3Stearidonic acid6.175.597.015.19C20:2 ϵ -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3 ϵ -6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:4 ϵ -6Arachidonic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:5 ϵ -3Eicosapentaenoic acid1.191.910.131.11C22:3 ϵ -3Docosa trienoic acid-1.51-1.39C22:4 ϵ -6Docosa tetraenoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid2.19-0.172.18C22:6 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid0.110.17 ϵ of PUFAs36.1235.4133.7235.8835.12C11:0 Iso0.12-0.12-C12:0 Iso-	C20:1E-7	Cis-7- Eicosenoic acid	0.19	-	0.51	0.11
C22:1 ϵ -7Cis-7 - Docosenoic acid0.540.590.690.19C24:1 ϵ -3Cis-3 - Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.110.19C24:1 ϵ -6Cis-6 - Tetrasenoic acid1.931.05C24:1 ϵ -9Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01 ϵ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46-C18:2 ϵ -6Linoleic acid4.013.553.194.59C18:3 ϵ -3Alfa linolenic acid4.193.993.133.17C18:3 ϵ -6Gamma linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4 ϵ -3Stearidonic acid6.175.597.015.19C20:2 ϵ -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3 ϵ -6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:4 ϵ -6Arachidonic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:5 ϵ -3Eicosapentaenoic acid1.191.910.131.11C22:4 ϵ -6Docosa trienoic acid-1.51-1.39C22:4 ϵ -6Docosa tetraenoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid3.11-0.172.01C2:5 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid0.110.17C1:0 Iso-0.100.090.070.111.12C1:0 Iso0.12-0.12C1:0 Iso <td< td=""><td>C20:1E-9</td><td>Cis-9- Eicosenoic acid</td><td>1.31</td><td>1.92</td><td>1.07</td><td>1.21</td></td<>	C20:1E-9	Cis-9- Eicosenoic acid	1.31	1.92	1.07	1.21
C24:1 ϵ -3Cis-3 – Tetrasenoic acid-0.171.110.19C24:1 ϵ -6Cis-6 – Tetrasenoic acid1.931.05C24:1 ϵ -9Trans-9 – Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01 ϵ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46C18:2 ϵ -6Linoleic acid4.013.553.194.59C18:3 ϵ -3Alfa linolenic acid4.193.993.133.17C18:3 ϵ -6Gamma linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4 ϵ -3Stearidonic acid6.175.597.015.19C20:2 ϵ -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3 ϵ -6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:4 ϵ -6Arachidonic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:5 ϵ -3Eicosapentaenoic acid1.191.910.131.11C22:3 ϵ -3Docosa trienoic acid-1.51-1.39C22:4 ϵ -6Docosa tetraenoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid8.257.96.9211.28C1:0 Iso-0.100.090.070.11C1:10 Iso0.12-0.12C1:20 AnteisoC1:20 Anteiso0.13-0.17-0.17	C20:1E-11	Trans - 11- Eicosenoic	0.67	0.61	_	0.13
C24:1 ϵ -6Cis-6-Tetrasenoic acid1.931.05-C24:1 ϵ -9Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid-1.501.771.01 Σ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46C18:2 ϵ -6Linoleic acid4.013.553.194.59C18:3 ϵ -3Alfa linolenic acid4.193.993.133.17C18:3 ϵ -6Gamma linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4 ϵ -3Stearidonic acid6.175.597.015.19C20:2 ϵ -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3 ϵ -6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:4 ϵ -6Arachidonic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:5 ϵ -3Eicosapentaenoic acid1.191.910.131.11C22:3 ϵ -3Docosa trienoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid3.11-0.172.08C11:0 Iso-0.100.090.070.11C11:0 Iso0.12-0.12C12:0 IsoC12:0 Anteiso0.13-0.17-0.17C14:0 Iso-0.13-0.17-0.17	C22:1E-7	Cis–7– Docosenoic acid	0.54	0.59	0.69	0.19
C24:1 ϵ -9 Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid - 1.50 1.77 1.01 Σ of MUFAs 16.87 15.62 15.42 16.46 C18:2 ϵ -6 Linoleic acid 4.01 3.55 3.19 4.59 C18:3 ϵ -3 Alfa linolenic acid 4.19 3.99 3.13 3.17 C18:3 ϵ -6 Gamma linolenic acid 1.17 2.51 2.99 0.50 C18:4 ϵ -3 Stearidonic acid 6.17 5.59 7.01 5.19 C20:2 ϵ -6 Eicosadienoic acid 2.17 1.19 1.08 20.13 C20:3 ϵ -6 Dihomogamma linolenic 5.50 6.17 6.13 4.19 C20:4 ϵ -6 Arachidonic acid 1.17 1.09 1.01 2.08 C20:5 ϵ -3 Eicosapentaenoic acid 1.17 1.09 1.01 2.08 C22:4 ϵ -6 Docosa tetraenoic acid 2.19 - 1.39 - C22:5 ϵ -3 Docosa Hexaenoic acid 8.25 7.9 6.92 11.28	C24:1ɛ-3	Cis-3- Tetrasenoic acid	_		1.11	
Σ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46C18:2ε–6Linoleic acid4.013.553.194.59C18:3ε–3Alfa linolenic acid4.193.993.133.17C18:3ε–6Gamma linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4ε–3Stearidonic acid6.175.597.015.19C20:2ε–6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3ε–6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:4ε–6Arachidonic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:5ε–3Eicosapentaenoic acid1.191.910.131.11C22:3ε–3Docosa trienoic acid2.19-1.39C22:4ε–6Docosa Pentaenoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5ε–3Docosa Hexaenoic acid8.257.96.9211.28C22:6ε–3Docosa Hexaenoic acid0.110.17Σ of PUFAs0.100.090.070.111.11C1:0 Iso-0.12-0.12-C1:2:0 Iso0.12-0.12C1:2:0 Anteiso0.13-0.17	C24:1ɛ-6	Cis-6- Tetrasenoic acid	1.93	1.05	_	_
Σ of MUFAs16.8715.6215.4216.46C18:2ε-6Linoleic acid4.013.553.194.59C18:3ε-3Alfa linolenic acid4.193.993.133.17C18:3ε-6Gamma linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4ε-3Stearidonic acid6.175.597.015.19C20:2ε-6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3ε-6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:4ε-6Arachidonic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:5ε-3Eicosapentaenoic acid1.191.910.131.11C22:3ε-3Docosa trienoic acid2.19-1.39C22:4ε-6Docosa Pentaenoic acid8.257.96.9211.28C22:5ε-3Docosa Hexaenoic acid0.11-0.170.17Σ of PUFAs0.130.11-0.170.11C1:0 Iso-0.12-0.120.11C1:20 Anteiso-0.130.17C1:20 Anteiso0.13-0.17-0.17	C24:18-9	Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid	_	1.50	1.77	1.01
C18:2 ϵ -6Linoleic acid4.013.553.194.59C18:3 ϵ -3Alfa linolenic acid4.193.993.133.17C18:3 ϵ -6Gamma linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4 ϵ -3Stearidonic acid6.175.597.015.19C20:2 ϵ -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3 ϵ -6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:4 ϵ -6Arachidonic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:5 ϵ -3Eicosapentaenoic acid1.191.910.131.11C22:3 ϵ -3Docosa trienoic acid-1.51-1.39C22:4 ϵ -6Docosa tetraenoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid8.257.96.9211.28C22:5 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid0.110.17 ϵ of PUFAs36.1235.4133.7235.8C11:0 Iso-0.12-0.12-C12:0 IsoC12:0 Iso-0.13-0.17-C12:0 Anteiso0.13-0.17-0.17			15.62			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C18:2E-6	Linoleic acid	4.01		3.19	4.59
C18:3 ϵ -6Gamma linolenic acid1.172.512.990.50C18:4 ϵ -3Stearidonic acid6.175.597.015.19C20:2 ϵ -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3 ϵ -6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:4 ϵ -6Arachidonic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:5 ϵ -3Eicosapentaenoic acid1.191.910.131.11C22:3 ϵ -3Docosa trienoic acid-1.51-1.39C22:4 ϵ -6Docosa tetraenoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5 ϵ -3Docosa Pentaenoic acid8.257.96.9211.28C22:6 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid0.110.17 Σ of PUFAs36.1235.4133.7235.8C11:0 Iso0.12-0.12C12:0 IsoC12:0 Anteiso0.13-0.17C14:0 Iso-0.13-0.17-						
C18:4 ϵ -3Stearidonic acid6.175.597.015.19C20:2 ϵ -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3 ϵ -6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:4 ϵ -6Arachidonic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:5 ϵ -3Eicosapentaenoic acid1.191.910.131.11C22:3 ϵ -3Docosa trienoic acid-1.51-1.39C22:4 ϵ -6Docosa trienoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5 ϵ -3Docosa Pentaenoic acid8.257.96.9211.28C22:6 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid0.110.17 Σ of PUFAs36.1235.4133.7235.8C11:0 Iso-0.12-0.12-C12:0 Iso0.12-0.12C12:0 Anteiso0.13-0.17C14:0 Iso-0.13-0.17-						
C20:2 ε -6Eicosadienoic acid2.171.191.0820.13C20:3 ε -6Dihomogamma linolenic5.506.176.134.19C20:4 ε -6Arachidonic acid1.171.091.012.08C20:5 ε -3Eicosapentaenoic acid1.191.910.131.11C22:3 ε -3Docosa trienoic acid-1.51-1.39C22:4 ε -6Docosa trienoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5 ε -3Docosa Pentaenoic acid8.257.96.9211.28C22:6 ε -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid0.110.17 Σ of PUFAs36.1235.4133.7235.8C11:0 Iso-0.100.090.070.11C12:0 IsoC12:0 Anteiso0.13-0.17-C14:0 Iso-0.13-0.17-						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0				
C22:3 ϵ -3Docosa trienoic acid-1.51-1.39C22:4 ϵ -6Docosa tetraenoic acid2.19-2.13-C22:5 ϵ -3Docosa Pentaenoic acid8.257.96.9211.28C22:6 ϵ -3Docosa Hexaenoic acid0.110.17 Σ of PUFAs36.1235.4133.7235.8C11:0 Iso-0.100.090.070.11C1:0 Iso0.12-0.12C12:0 IsoC12:0 Anteiso0.13-0.17-0.19						
			_		-	
			2 19		2 13	_
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{C22:6} \epsilon - 3 & \text{Docosa Hexaenoic acid} & 0.11 & - & - & 0.17 \\ \Sigma \ \text{of PUFAs} & 36.12 & 35.41 & 33.72 & 35.8 \\ \text{C11:0 Iso} & 0.10 & 0.09 & 0.07 & 0.11 \\ \text{C11:0 Anteiso} & - & 0.12 & - & 0.12 \\ \text{C12:0 Iso} & - & - & - & - \\ \text{C12:0 Anteiso} & 0.13 & - & 0.17 & - \\ \text{C14:0 Iso} & - & 0.17 & - & 0.19 \\ \end{array}$						11.28
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
C11:0 Iso 0.10 0.09 0.07 0.11 C11:0 Anteiso - 0.12 - 0.12 C12:0 Iso - - - - C12:0 Anteiso 0.13 - 0.17 - C14:0 Iso - 0.17 - 0.19		Docusa menachule aclu				
C11:0 Anteiso - 0.12 - 0.12 C12:0 Iso - - - - C12:0 Anteiso 0.13 - 0.17 - C14:0 Iso - 0.17 - 0.19						
C12:0 Iso - - - - C12:0 Anteiso 0.13 - 0.17 - C14:0 Iso - 0.17 - 0.19						
C12:0 Anteiso 0.13 – 0.17 – C14:0 Iso – 0.17 – 0.19						
C14:0 Iso – 0.17 – 0.19						
C15:0 Anteiso 0.19 - 0.11 0.31						
C16:0 Iso - 0.16						
C17:0 Anteiso 0.12 0.13	C17:0 Anteiso		0.12	-	-	0.13
C18:0 Anteiso 0.17 0.64 0.59 -	C18:0 Anteiso		0.17	0.64	0.59	-
C19:0 Iso – – 0.14 –	C19:0 Iso		-	-	0.14	-
C20:0 Iso 0.14 - 0.17 0.54	C20:0 Iso		0.14	-	0.17	0.54
C20:0 Anteiso – 0.19 0.73 0.13	C20:0 Anteiso		-	0.19	0.73	0.13

Table 4

The presentage of fatty acid profile of different stages of infested F. *indicus* tissue (\mathcal{G}) .

<i>indicus</i> tissue	e (%).				
Carbon Chain	Fatty acid	Low	Moderate	Severe	Control
C10:0	Capric acid	0.12	0.19	-	-
C11:0	Undecyclic acid	-	0.17	0.13	1.01
C12:0	Lauric acid	2.30	1.93	-	1.13
C13:0	Tri decyclic acid	-	2.19	2.93	1.27
C14:0	Myristic acid	2.87	-	1.95	2.91
C15:0	Penta decyclic acid	0.67	1.92	1.07	0.97
C16:0	Palmitic acid	19.19	20.13	20.57	19.02
C17:0	Margaric acic	2.59	2.67	2.77	2.97
C18:0	Stearic acid	4.10	3.91	3.57	3.41
C19:0	Nonadecyclic acid	1.93	2.01	2.63	1.59
C20:0	Arachidic acid	1.57	1.09	1.53	1.10
C21:0	Heneicosanoic acid	1.81	1.79	0.86	1.63
C22:0	Pehenic acid	1.93	1.90	1.59	2.19
C23:0	Tricosanic acid	-	1.91	0.96	1.07
C24:0	Lignoceric acid	2.59	2.90	2.91	2.03
Σ of SFAs	41.67	44.78	43.47	42.30	
C14:1E-7	Myristolec acid	0.19	0.67	0.43	0.18
C16:1E-7	Palmitoleic acid	1.43	1.79	1.84	1.56
C18:1ɛ-5	Cis-5-Octadecenoic acid	0.67	0.09	0.18	0.84
C18:1E-7	Cis-7-Octadecenoic acid	0.54	0.06	0.63	0.79
C18:1E-9	Oleic acid	2.19	2.06	2.17	2.53
C19:1E-8	Nonadecenoic acid	1.07	1.01	1.91	1.09
C20:1ɛ-5	Cis-5- Eicosenoic acid	0.93	-	0.92	0.97
C20:1E-7	Cis-7- Eicosenoic acid	0.51	0.49	0.63	-
C20:1ɛ-9	Cis-9- Eicosenoic acid	0.49	-	0.47	0.64
C20:1E-11	Trans - 11- Eicosenoic	0.87	0.41	0.19	0.53
C22:1E-7	Cis-7- Docosenoic acid	-	0.69	0.81	0.19
C24:1ɛ-3	Cis-3- Tetrasenoic acid	0.73	0.51	0.74	0.98
C24:1ɛ-6	Cis-6- Tetrasenoic acid	0.64	0.57	0.61	0.13
C24:1ɛ-9	Trans-9-Tetrasenoic acid	0.54	0.63	-	0.51
Σ of MUFAs		10.80	8.98	11.53	10.94
C18:2E-6	Linoleic acid	3.09	3.17	3.09	3.11
C18:3E-3	Alfa linolenic acid	1.17	1.51	1.12	1.13
C18:3E-6	Gamma linolenic acid	2.61	2.79	2.89	2.11
C18:4 <i>ε</i> -3	Stearidonic acid	6.19	6.01	6.57	6.18
C20:28-6	Eicosadienoic acid	3.97	3.67	4.01	4.91
C20:3E-6	Dihomogamma linolenic	3.16	3.91	2.17	2.17
C20:4E-6	Arachidonic acid	9.10	9.81	8.93	9.92
C20:5E-3	Eicosapentaenoic acid	2.06	2.09	2.61	2.19
C22:3E-3	Docosa trienoic acid	3.17	3.11	3.50	3.16
C22:4E-6	Docosa tetraenoic acid	3.63	0.51	0.47	0.97
C22:5E-3	Docosa Pentaenoic acid	1.31	1.93	1.97	1.21
C22:6E-3	Docosa Hexaenoic acid	1.01	0.51	0.11	2.93
Σ of PUFAs		40.47	39.02	37.44	39.99
C11:0 Iso		0.63	0.61	0.59	0.57
C11:0 Anteiso		0.19	0.27	0.61	-
C12:0 Iso		0.17	0.23	0.62	0.61
C12:0 Anteiso		0.83	0.69	0.47	0.73
C14:0 Iso		0.71	0.61	-	0.18
C15:0 Anteiso		_	0.81	0.39	0.69
C16:0 Iso		0.59	0.73	0.47	0.91
C17:0 Anteiso		0.48	0.19	0.52	0.61
C18:0 Anteiso		0.19	-	0.61	0.72
C19:0 Iso		0.87	0.91	0.81	0.93
C20:0 Iso		0.81	0.83	0.73	0.11
C20:0 Anteiso		0.67	0.57	0.83	0.12

4. Discussion

The results showed significance differences in the

biochemical profiles of healthy and WSSV infected shrimp of both the species. In the case of *L. vannamei*, there was a sharp increase in the carbohydrate (6.25%), calcium (277.05 mg/100 g), iron 4.32 (mg/100 g) and calorific value 66.04 (kcal/g) in the tissue which might owe to increase of viral load in the circulatory system. The presence of abundant viral protein in the hemolymph of Manduca sexta larvae infected with polydnavirus has been reported^[24]. Generally, the carbohydrate level increases in infected or stressed animals. The possibility of high levels of total carbohydrate in hemolymph might be due to the transport of glucose and carbohydrate from hepatopancreas and muscles to hemolymph. A study of Hall and van Ham showed a significant elevation of blood glucose (carbohydrate) in P. monodon during stress condition^[25]. Blood glucose, strongly and rapidly increased in response to repeated bloodsampling stress in juveniles of L. vannamei. In contrast, the proximate composition of wild and farm reared L. vannamei and the result confirmed that the wild caught shrimp had higher level of proximate composition than the cultured shrimp^[26]. The proximate chemical composition of Fenneropenaeus penicillatus was determined in midgut gland, ovary and muscle during different stages of ovarian development that was found to be varied during maturation among the different tissues examined. The lipid content was the highest in the midgut gland, and protein content was found higher in the ovary. The carbohydrate was found to be higher in the mid gut gland. Different body parts need different compounds for their development and function. Protein was used for the embryo development, so it is higher in the ovary. The study of Nisa and Sultana showed that lipid was higher in the midgut and it was due to the intake of other diet[27]. A study was undertaken to determine the amount of protein content in different tissues of marine shrimps P. monodon and L. vannamei. The result shows that the protein concentration was higher in P. monodon than that of in L. vannamei^[28].

In the present investigation, *F. indicus* challenged to WSSV, showed difference between severely infected (73.91%) and healthy shrimps (72.09%). The decrease in biochemical constituents of infected shrimps was probably caused by hemocytic accumulation at the site of injection for wound healing and phagocytosis of foreign bodies^[29]. Another possibility is that the total haemocyte count decline would be due to cell burst resulting from budding of the virus, or by virus induced apoptosis, since this type of cell "suicide" may be induced or repressed during some viral infections^[30]. Proximate composition and the amino acid profile of the female and male prawn *Macrobrachium* rosenbergii, which is commercially available, shows that the protein, lipid, carbohydrates, amino acids and fatty acids levels were comparatively higher than the male prawn according to Bhavan *et al*^[31]. This may be due to the reproductive behaviour in the female prawn. This process needs more energy levels than the normal level. Male does not need this so that it has lower level than female. The PUFA and SFA were also found to be higher in female than male prawns. Protein, lipid and carbohydrates of both the species Fenneropenaeus merguiensis and F. penicillatus increases as the ovary matured. This indicates that the maturity of the animal sexually^[32]. This is due to the need of excess energy to the animal for the maturity. Protein, lipid and carbohydrates were uniform in all the parts of the body till it gets sexually matured. When the maturity starts, the size and weight of the animal stops, and gonads start to get matured.

The results indicate the presence of higher percentage of biochemical constituents in the post challenged WSSVinfected tissues of L. vannamei than F. indicus. Changes in the level of SFA (%) in L. vannamei tissues appeared in the following order: severely infected (48.72%) > moderately infected (46.63%) > healthy (45.53%) > low infected (45.26%); and in F. indicus, the identical levels appeared as moderately infected (44.78%) > severely infected (43.47%) > healthy (45.53%) > low infected (41.67%). In addition, in L. vannamei the level of MUFA encountered as low infected (16.87%) > healthy (16.46%) > WSSV moderately infected (15.62%) > WSSV severely infected (15.42%); correspondingly in F. indicus which was recorded as severely infected (11.53%) > healthy (10.94%) > WSSV low infected (10.80%) > WSSV moderately infected (8.98%). Based on the results of the present investigation, a high amount of saturates and MUFA are found in the WSSV infected tissues of L. vannamei than F. indicus.

The present investigation also shows that the tissues of both the species, post challenge to WSSV are rich source of both 20:5 n–3 and 22:6 n–3. These levels were encountered high in low phase of WSSV infection. Results of present study showed the presence of high level of 18:2 n–6 with the amount of 3.55% in the *L. Vannamei* shrimps infected with low sign of WSSV. Contrarily, a maximum level of 4.59% was noticed in the healthy *L. vannamei* maintained in control tanks. While in the case of *F. indicus*, a uniform level (3.09%) of 18:2 n–6 found in the tissues of low and severe phases of infection. However, in the healthy *F. indicus*, linoleic acid was found more than that of in WSSV infected shrimps. Though, linoleic acid (18:2 n–6) is very important in human nutrition and health especially for membrane structure fluidity, skin integrity and numerous tissue functions, the expected nutritional values can not be gained by consuming WSSV infected shrimps. Considerably of 16:1 fatty acid on human health and nutrition are rarely discussed in literature. So an elevated level of 16:1 fatty acid in WSSV infected shrimp may not have any remarkable effect on human health.

It can be concluded that, *L. vannamei* and *F. indicus*, the results of the proximate composition express higher value in shrimps maintained as control than the three stages of infection. Our study showed that the healthy shrimps are nutritionally rich than the WSSV affected shrimps. The level carbohydrate alone was little high in *L. vannamei* infected with low range of WSSV. Linoleic acid is present in the control shrimp in higher level when compared with the other three infected one. Finally the shrimp which is kept as a control is better for consuming than the shrimps infected with WSSV.

Conflict of interest statement

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The first author was supported by UGC-CPEPA, Government of India with grant number G4(1)/1011/12 during the production of this manuscript. Thanks to the funding agency and the authorities of Annamalai University for providing facilities and opportunity to work in this field.

Comments

Background

Seafoods are important source of nutrients in the human diet. Crustaceans such as shrimps, crabs and lobsters, are good sources of amino acids, protein and other nutrients. Aquaculture emerged worldwide in the last two decades. World shrimp aquaculture is producing now well over four million mt. Shrimp muscle is an excellent source of protein.

Related reports

Several related reports have been studied. A study of Hall and van Ham showed a significant elevation of blood glucose (carbohydrate) in *P. monodon* during stress condition. Nisa and Sultana showed that lipid was higher in the midgut and it was due to the intake of other diet.

Innovations and breakthroughs

Authors scientifically tried to prove the effect of WSSV on the biochemical changes in selected shrimps, and the study comes to a conclusion that the healthy shrimps are nutritionally rich than the WSSV affected shrimps.

Applications

Overall the research is application oriented. To check the quality of shrimps that are being marketed and to design biosensors to check the product quality, these sort of studies are highly essential.

Peer review

This is a written study in which the authors studied the difference in the proximate composition and fatty acid profile of *L. vannamei* and *F. indicus* infected with different stages of WSSV. The results are interesting that the healthy shrimps are nutritionally rich than the WSSV affected shrimps.

References

- Fatima H, Ayub Z, Siddiqui G, Ali SA. Fatty acid composition of two candidate species of aquaculture, *Fenneropenaeus* merguiensis and F. Penicillatus (Crustacea: Decapoda) in Pakistan. Pakistan J Zool 2012; 44(4): 969–975.
- [2] Martnez-Cordova RL, Loez-Elas AJ, Leyva-Miranda G, Armenta-Ayon L, Martinez-Porchas M. Bioremediation and reuse of shrimp aquaculture effluents to farm whiteleg shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*: a first approach. *Aquacu Res* 2011; 42: 1415-1423.
- [3] Yanar Y, Celik M. Seasonal amino acid profiles and mineral contents of green tiger shrimp (*Penaeus semisulcatus* De Haan, 1844) and speckled shrimp (*Metapenaeus monoceros* Fabricus, 1789) from the Eastern Mediterranean. *Food Chem* 2006; 94: 33–36.
- [4] Ehigiator FAR, Oterai EA. Chemical composition and amino acid profile of a caridean prawn (*Macrobrachium vollenhovenii*) from Ovia River and tropical periwinkle (*Tympanotonus fuscatus*) from Benin River, Edo State, Nigeria. *Int J Res Rev in Appl Sci* 2012; 11(1): 162–167.
- [5] Sriket P, Benjakul S, Visessanguan W, Kijroongrojana K. Comparative studies on the effect of freeze – thawing process on the physicochemical properties and microstructures of black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) and white shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*) muscle. *Food Chem* 2007; **104**: 113–121.

- [6] Luzia LA, Sampaio GR, Castellucci CMN, Torres EAFS. The influence of season on the lipid profiles of five commercially important species of Brazilian fish. *Food Chem* 2003; 83: 93–97.
- [7] Yanar Y, Büyükçapar H, Yana M, Gocer M. Effects of carotenoids from red pepper and marigold flower on pigmentation: Sensory properties and fatty acid composition of rainbow trout. *Food Chem* 2006; **100**: 326–330.
- [8] Srike T, Benjakul PS, Visessanguan W, Kijroongrojana K. Comparative studies on chemical composition and thermal properties of black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) and white shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*) meats. *Food Chem* 2007; **103**: 1199– 1207.
- [9] Wua XY, Yang YF. Heavy metal (Pb, Co, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn) concentrations in harvest-size white shrimp *Litopenaeus* vannamei tissues from aquaculture and wild source. J Food Compos Anal 2011; 24: 62-65.
- [10] Ravichandran S, Rameshkumar G, Prince AR. Biochemical composition of shell and flesh of the indian white shrimp, *Penaeus indicus* (H.milne Edwards 1837). Am-Euras J Sci Res 2009; 4(3): 191-194.
- [11] Suryavanshi U, Sreepada RA, Ansari ZA, Nigam S, Badesab S. A study on biochemical changes in the penaeid shrimp, *Metapenaeus monoceros* (Fabricius) following exposure to sublethal doses of organochlorine pesticide (endosulfan). *Chemosphere* 2009; **77**(11): 1540–1550.
- [12] Suneetha Y, Reddy PS, Jyoti PN, Reddy MS. Proximal changes during reproduction process of the penaeid prawn *Penaeus* monodon. World J Fish Mar Sci 2009; 1(4): 333-337.
- [13] Zeng D, Chen X, Xie D, Zhao Y, Yang C, Li Y, et al. Transcriptome analysis of Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) hepatopancreas in response to Taura Syndrome Virus (TSV) experimental infection. *PLoS One* 2013; 8(2): 57515.
- [14] James R, Thampuran N, Lalitha KV, Rajan LA, Joseph TC. Differential gene expression profile of the hepatopancreas of white spot syndrome virus infected *Fenneropenaeus indicus* by suppression subtractive hybridization. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 2010; **29**(5): 884–889.
- [15] Wu JL, Nishioka T, Mori K, Nishizawa T, Muroga K. A time course study on the resistance of *Penaeus japonicus* induced by artificial infection with white spot syndrome virus. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 2002; **13**(5): 391–403.
- [16] Lowry OH, Rosenberg NJ, Farr AL, Randall Rf. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem 1951; 193: 265-275.
- [17] Dubois M, Giles KA, Hamilton JK, Rebors P, Smith F. Calorimetric method for determination of sugar and related substances. *Anal Chem* 1956; 28: 350-356.
- [18] Floch J, Lees M, Sloane-Stanley GH. A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. J

Biol Chem 1956; 226: 497-509.

- [19] Guzman HM, Jimenez CE. Concentration of coral reefs by heavy metals along the carribean cost of central Africa (costarica and panama). *Mar Poll Bull* 1992; 24(11): 554–561.
- [20] Topping G. Heavy metals in shellfish from Scottish waters. Aquaculture 1972; 1: 379–384.
- [21] Brett JR, Groves TDD. Physiological energetics. In: Hoar WS, Randall DJ, Brett JR, editors. *Bioenergetics and growth*. New York: Academic Press; 1979, p. 279–352.
- [22] Soundarapandian P, Ananthan, G. Effect of unilateral eyestalk ablation and diets on the biochemical composition of commercially important juveniles of *Macrobrachium malcomsonii* (H. Milne Edwards). Int J Zool Res 2008; 4(2): 106– 112.
- [23] Anon. Sherlock Microbial Identification system, Version 4 MIS operating Manual, Newark, DE, USA. 2000.
- [24] Harwood SH, Grosovsky AJ, Cowles EA, Davis JW, Beckage NE. An abundantly expressed hemolymph glycoprotein isolated from newly parasitized *Manduca sexta* larvaeis a polydnavirus gene product. *Virology* 1994; 205: 381–392.
- [25] Hall M, van Ham EH. The effects of different types of stress on blood glucose in the giant tiger prawn *Penaeus monodon*. J World Aquac Soc 1998; 29: 290-299.
- [26] Puga-lopez D et al. Physicochemical, proximate composition, microbiological and sensory analysis of farmed and wild harvested white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone, 1931) tissues. *Curr Res J Biol Sci* 2013; **5**(3): 130-135.
- [27] Kher un Nisa, Sultana R. Variation in the proximate composition of shrimp, *Fenneropenaeus penicillatus* at different stages of maturity. *Am-Euras J Sci Res* 2010; 5(4): 277–282.
- [28] Narasimhan N, Maharajothi P, Ravichelvan R, Sukumaran M, Ravichandran R, Rengarajan R. Biochemical studies on protein content in different tissues of selected shrimps *Penaeus monodon* and *Penaeus vannamei*. Int J Pure Appl Zool 2013; 1(1): 92–96.
- [29] Dinakaran GK, Soundarapandian P, Chandra SK. Proximate composition of edible palaemonid prawn *Macrobrachium idea* (Heller, 1862). *Curr Res J Biol Sci* 2009; 1(3): 78–82.
- [30] Cohen JJ. Apoptosis. Immunol Today 1993; 14: 126–130.
- [31] Bhavan PS, Radhakrishnan S, Seenivasan C, Shanthi R, Poongodi R, Kannan S. Proximate composition and profiles of amino acids and fatty acids in the muscle of adult males and females of commercially viable prawn species *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* collected from natural culture environments. *Int J Biol* 2010; 2(2): 107–119.
- [32] Fatima H, Ayub Z, Ali SA, Siddiqui G. Biochemical composition of the hemolymph, hepatopancreas, ovary, and muscle during ovarian maturation in the penaeid shrimps *Fenneropenaeus merguiensis* and *F. penicillatus* (Crustacea: Decapoda). *Turk J* Zool 2013; **37**: 334–347.