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#### Abstract

In 1998, V.R.Kulli and B.Janakiram posed three problems in Graph Theory Notes of New York, XXXIV. Among the three, solution to one problem is published in Graph Theory Notes of New York LIII, 37-38(2007). In this paper the remaining two problems are solved.


## 1. Introduction

The graphs considered here are finite, undirected without loops or multiple edges. Any undefined term in this paper, may be found in Harary [1].

A graph in which every pair of its $p$ vertices are adjacent is called a complete graph. It is denoted by $K_{p}$. The complement $\bar{G}$ of a graph $G$ is a graph where vertex set is the same as $G$, but two vertices are adjacent in $\bar{G}$ if and only if they are not adjacent in $G$. The line graph of a graph $G$ denoted by $L(G)$, is the graph in which the vertices are edges of $G$, with two vertices of $L(G)$ adjacent whenever the corresponding edges of $G$ are adjacent. The null graph $K_{0}$ is the graph with no vertices or edges.

A clique of a graph is a maximal complete subgraph. The clique number $\omega(G)$ of $G$ is the number of vertices present in maximal complete subgraph of $G$. The clique graph $K(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the intersection graph of the family of cliques of $G$. The maximum number of independent set of vertices in a graph $G$ is called the vertex independence number $\beta_{0}(G)$ of $G$.

A set $S \subseteq V$ is a dominating set of $G$ if each vertex in $V-S$ is adjacent to some vertex in $S$. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the smallest cardinality of a dominating set. A dominating set is said to be minimal, if no proper subset of $S$ is a dominating set of $G$. It is well known that, a maximal independent set of $G$ is a minimal dominating set of $G$.

[^0]The common minimal dominating graph $C D(G)$ of $G$, is the graph having the same vertex set as $G$, with two vertices adjacent in $C D(G)$ if and only if there exists a minimal dominating set in $G$ containing them. This concept was introduced by Kulli and Janakiram [3].

The minimal dominating graph $M D(G)$ of $G$, is the intersection graph defined on the family of all minimal dominating sets of vertices in $G$. This concept is also introduced by Kulli and Janakiram [2].

In [4], Kulli and Janakiram posed three problems as follows:
Problem 1: Characterize the graphs $G$, for which $M D(G)=C D(G)$.
Problem 2: Characterize the graphs $G$, for which $N(G)=C D(G)$.
Problem 3: Characterize the graphs $G$, for which $M D(G)=L(\bar{G})$.
The solution to Problem 2 is given by Swaminathan and Wilson Baskar [5].
Here the solutions of Problems 1 and 3 are established.

## 2. Preliminary Results

We need the following Theorems for our further results.
Theorem A ([1]). For any graph $G, G \cong L(G)$ if and only if $G$ is a cycle.
Theorem B ([2]). For any graph $G, K(\bar{G}) \subseteq M D(G)$. Furthermore, the equality is attained if and only if every minimal dominating set of $G$ is independent.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $G=(V, E)$ be any connected graph and $X$ be the set of all minimal dominating sets of $G$. Then $M D(G)=C D(G)$ if and only if $G=K_{p}$ or $G$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $|X|=|V|$ and $\Delta(G)<p-1$
(ii) each vertex $v_{i} ; 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p$ must be present in exactly $n(\geqslant 2)$ number of minimal dominating sets of $G$.

Proof. Suppose $M D(G)=C D(G)$, then $|X|=|V|$. If each vertex present in exactly ( $n=1$ ) minimal dominating set, then $\delta(G)=\Delta(G)=p-1$. Hence $G=K_{p}$. Also now, we need to show that $G$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). If possible, suppose condition $(i)$ is not satisfied, then $|X| \neq|V|$ and $\Delta(G)=p-1$. This implies $M D(G) \neq C D(G)$, a contradiction.
Suppose $G$ does not satisfy the condition (ii), then there exists at least one vertex $v_{i} ; 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p$ which will be present in either $n-1$ or $n+1$ minimal dominating sets of $G$. Therefore either $|X|<|V|$ or $|X|>|V|$. This implies $M D(G) \neq C D(G)$, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose $G=K_{p}$, then it is easy to verify the result. If $G$ satisfies the conditions $(i)$ and (ii), we need to show that $M D(G)=C D(G)$. If possible, suppose $M D(G) \neq C D(G)$. Then $|X| \neq|V|$, which contradicts the condition (i). Assume $M D(G) \neq C D(G)$ and $|X|=|V|$, then either each vertex becomes a minimal dominating set or it is present in exactly $n(\geqslant 2)$ minimal dominating sets of $G$. Thus $M D(G)=C D(G)$, a contradiction.

Theorem 3.2. For any graph $G$ with $\Delta(G)<p-1, M D(G)=L(\bar{G})$ if and only if $G$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $\beta_{0}(G)=2$
(ii) every minimal dominating set of $G$ is independent.

Proof. Suppose $M D(G)=L(\bar{G})$. We will prove that $G$ satisfies conditions $(i)$ and (ii). If possible, $G$ does not satisfy the conditions $(i)$ and (ii), then we consider the following cases:
Case 1. If $\beta_{0}(G)=1$, then $G=K_{p}$ and $M D(G)=\bar{K}_{p}=\bar{G}$. Therefore $L(\bar{G})$ is a null graph. Hence $M D(G) \neq L(\bar{G})$, a contradiction.
Case 2. If $\beta_{0}(G) \geqslant 3$ then $\omega(\bar{G}) \geqslant 3$. In $L(\bar{G})$ there exist at least three vertices of degree three. Therefore $L(\bar{G}) \nsubseteq K(\bar{G})$ also by Theorem B, $K(\bar{G}) \subseteq M D(G)$. Hence $M D(G) \neq L(\bar{G})$, a contradiction. Thus $G$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii).

Conversely, let $G$ satisfy the conditions $(i)$ and (ii). To prove $M D(G)=L(\bar{G})$. Since $G$ is any graph with $\Delta(G)<p-1$ and $\beta_{0}(G)=2$, therefore $\omega(\bar{G})=2$. Hence $L(\bar{G})=K(\bar{G})$. Since every minimal dominating set of $G$ is independent, therefore by Theorem B, $K(\bar{G})=M D(G)$. Hence $M D(G)=L(\bar{G})$.

## References

[1] F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, (1969).
[2] V.R.Kulli and B.Janakiram, The minimal dominating graph of a graph, Graph Theory Notes of New York, New York Academy of Sciences, XXVII 3(1995), 12-15.
[3] V.R.Kulli and B.Janakiram, The common minimal dominating graph of a graph, Indian J.pure appl.Math., 27(2)(1996), 193-196.
[4] V.R.Kulli and B.Janakiram, On common minimal dominating graphs, Graph Theory Notes of New York, New York Academy of Sciences, XXXIV(1998), 9-10.
[5] V. Swaminathan and A. Wilson Baskar, A note on common minimal dominating graphs, Graph Theory Notes of New York, New York Academy of Sciences, LIII(2007), 37-38.

Received by editors 18.01.2013; revised version 03.06.2013; available online 10.06.2013.

* Department of Mathematics, Rani Channamma University, Vidyasngam, Belagavi - 591 156, Karnataka State, India

E-mail address: sunilkumar.rcu@gmail.com

* Department of Mathematics, Karnatak University, Dharwad - 580 003, Karnataka State, India

E-mail address: b.basavanagoud@gmail.com


[^0]:    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C69.
    Key words and phrases. minimal dominating graph and common minimal dominating graph.

