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#### Abstract

In this paper we give several explicit results on rational approximations of the form $|\alpha-a / b|<k / b^{2}$, in terms of continued fractions.


## 1. Introduction

There are a number of results on approximations of a real number $\alpha$ by a rational number $a / b$. We mention two classical results (see [9]). One is the classical Legendre's theorem in Diophantine approximations, which states that if a real number $\alpha$ and a rational number $\frac{a}{b}$ (we will always assume that $b \geqslant 1$ ), satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right|<\frac{1}{2 b^{2}}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\frac{a}{b}$ is a convergent of the continued fraction expansion of $\alpha=\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, \ldots\right]$. The second result is from Fatou [5], who showed that if

$$
\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right|<\frac{1}{b^{2}}
$$

then $\frac{a}{b}=\frac{p_{m}}{q_{m}}$ or $\frac{p_{m+1} \pm p_{m}}{q_{m+1} \pm q_{m}}$, where $\frac{p_{m}}{q_{m}}$ denotes the $m$-th convergent of $\alpha$.
Worley [14] generalized these results to the inequality $\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right|<\frac{k}{b^{2}}$, where $k$ is an arbitrary positive real number. The results of Worley was slightly improved in [1].

Theorem 1.1 (Worley [14], Dujella [1]). Let $\alpha$ be a real number and let a and $b$ be coprime nonzero integers, satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right|<\frac{k}{b^{2}}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $k$ is a positive real number. Then $(a, b)=\left(r p_{m+1} \pm s p_{m}, r q_{m+1} \pm s q_{m}\right)$, for some $m \geqslant-1$ and nonnegative integers $r$ and $s$ such that $r s<2 k$.

THEOREM 1.2 (Worley [14]). If $\alpha$ is an irrational number, $k \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{a}{b}$ is a rational approximation to $\alpha$ (in reduced form) for which the inequality (1.2) holds, then either $\frac{a}{b}$ is a convergent $\frac{p_{m}}{q_{m}}$ to $\alpha$ or $\frac{a}{b}$ has one of the following forms:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { (i) } \frac{a}{b}=\frac{r p_{m+1}+s p_{m}}{r q_{m+1}+s q_{m}} & r>s & \text { and } & r s<2 k, \quad o r \\
& r \leqslant s & \text { and } & r s<k+\frac{r^{2}}{a_{m+2}}, \\
\text { (ii) } \frac{a}{b}=\frac{s p_{m+1}-t p_{m}}{s q_{m+1}-t q_{m}} & s<t & \text { and } & s t<2 k, \quad o r \\
\text { ( } & s \geqslant t & \text { and } & s t\left(1-\frac{t}{2 s}\right)<k,
\end{array}
$$

where $r, s$ and $t$ are positive integers.
Since the fraction $a / b$ is in reduced form, it is clear that in the statements of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we may assume that $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(s, t)=1$.

Worley [14] gave the explicit version of his result for $k=2$. He showed, if a real number $\alpha$ and a rational number $\frac{a}{b}$ satisfy the inequality $\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right|<\frac{2}{b^{2}}$, then $\frac{a}{b}=\frac{r p_{m+1}+s p_{m}}{r q_{m+1}+s q_{m}}$, where

$$
(r, s) \in R_{2}=\{(0,1),(1,1),(1,2),(2,1),(3,1)\}
$$

or $\frac{a}{b}=\frac{s p_{m+2}-t p_{m+1}}{s q_{m+2}-t q_{m+1}}$, where

$$
(s, t) \in T_{2}=\{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(2,1)\}
$$

(for an integer $m \geqslant-1$ ).
This result for $k=2$ has been in [4] applied for solving the family of Thue inequalities

$$
\left|x^{4}-4 c x^{3} y+(6 c+2) x^{2} y^{2}+4 c x y^{2}+y^{4}\right| \leqslant 6 c+4
$$

Theorem 1.1 was used in [1] for a description of a modification of Verheul and van Tilborg variant of Wiener's attack $([\mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 3}])$ on RSA cryptosystem with small secret exponent.

Dujella and Ibrahimpašić [2] extended Worley's work [14] and gave explicit and sharp versions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for $k=3,4,5, \ldots, 12$. They gave the pairs $(r, s)$ which appear in the expression of solutions of (1.2) in the form $(a, b)=\left(r p_{m+1} \pm s p_{m}, r q_{m+1} \pm s q_{m}\right)$.

These results have been applied to cryptanalysis of the KMOV [7] and LUC [8] cryptosystems with short secret exponent, and in [3] applied for solving the family of Thue inequalities

$$
\left|x^{4}+2\left(1-c^{2}\right) x^{2} y^{2}+y^{4}\right| \leqslant 2 c+3
$$

where the system and the original Thue equation are not equivalent: each solution of the Thue equation induces a solution of the system, but not vice-versa.

In this paper we will extend Worley's work (and also the work of Dujella and Ibrahimpašić) and give explicit and sharp version of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for $k=13$. We will list the pairs $(r, s)$ which appear in the expression of solutions of (1.2) in the form $(a, b)=\left(r p_{m+1} \pm s p_{m}, r q_{m+1} \pm s q_{m}\right)$, and we will show by
explicit examples that all pairs from the list are indeed necessary. We will prove some patterns in pairs $(r, s)$ and $(s, t)$ which appear in representations $(a, b)=$ $\left(r p_{m+1}+s p_{m}, r q_{m+1}+s q_{m}\right)$ and $(a, b)=\left(s p_{m+2}-t p_{m+1}, s q_{m+2}-t q_{m+1}\right)$ of solutions of inequality (1.2).

Our main result is the following theorem.
Theorem 1.3. Let $k \geqslant 3$ be a integer. There exist a real number $\alpha$ and rational numbers $\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}$ and $\frac{a_{2}}{b_{2}}$ such that

$$
\left|\alpha-\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right|<\frac{k}{b_{1}^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\left|\alpha-\frac{a_{2}}{b_{2}}\right|<\frac{k}{b_{2}^{2}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)=\left(r p_{m+1}+2 p_{m}, r q_{m+1}+2 q_{m}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
& \left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)=\left(2 p_{m+2}-t p_{m+1}, 2 q_{m+2}-t q_{m+1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $m \geqslant-1$ and integers $r$ and $t$ such that $1 \leqslant r, t \leqslant k-1$.

## 2. Explicit version of Worley's theorem for $k=13$

Dujella and Ibrahimpašić [2] gave the following result.
Proposition 2.1. Let $k \in\{3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12\}$. If a real number $\alpha$ and a rational number $\frac{a}{b}$ satisfy the inequality (1.2), then $\frac{a}{b}=\frac{r p_{m+1}+s p_{m}}{r q_{m+1}+s q_{m}}$, where $(r, s) \in R_{k}=R_{k-1} \cup R_{k}^{\prime}$, or $\frac{a}{b}=\frac{s p_{m+2}-t p_{m+1}}{s q_{m+2}-t q_{m+1}}$, where $(s, t) \in T_{k}=T_{k-1} \cup T_{k}^{\prime}$ (for an integer $m \geqslant-1$ ), where the sets $R_{k}^{\prime}$ and $T_{k}^{\prime}$ are given in the following table. Moreover, if any of the elements in sets $R_{k}$ or $T_{k}$ is omitted, the statement will no longer be valid.

| $k$ | $R_{k}^{\prime}$ | $T_{k}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\{(1,3),(4,1),(5,1)\}$ | $\{(3,1),(1,4),(1,5)\}$ |
| 4 | $\{(1,4),(3,2),(6,1),(7,1)\}$ | $\{(4,1),(2,3),(1,6),(1,7)\}$ |
| 5 | $\{(1,5),(2,3),(8,1),(9,1)\}$ | $\{(5,1),(3,2),(1,8),(1,9)\}$ |
| 6 | $\{(1,6),(5,2),(10,1),(11,1)\}$ | $\{(6,1),(2,5),(1,10),(1,11)\}$ |
| 7 | $\{(1,7),(2,5),(4,3),(12,1),(13,1)\}$ | $\{(7,1),(5,2),(3,4),(1,12),(1,13)\}$ |
| 8 | $\{(1,8),(3,4),(7,2),(14,1),(15,1)\}$ | $\{(8,1),(4,3),(2,7),(1,14),(1,15)\}$ |
| 9 | $\{(1,9),(5,3),(16,1),(17,1)\}$ | $\{(9,1),(3,5),(1,16),(1,17)\}$ |
| 10 | $\{(1,10),(9,2),(18,1),(19,1)\}$ | $\{(10,1),(2,9),(1,18),(1,19)\}$ |
| 11 | $\{(1,11),(2,7),(3,5),(20,1),(21,1)\}$ | $\{(11,1),(7,2),(5,3),(1,20),(1,21)\}$ |
| 12 | $\{(1,12),(5,4),(7,3)$, | $\{(12,1),(4,5),(3,7)$, |
|  | $(11,2),(22,1),(23,1)\}$ | $(2,11),(1,22),(1,23)\}$ |

If we extend this result, we have:

Proposition 2.2. If a real number $\alpha$ and a rational number $\frac{a}{b}$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right|<\frac{13}{b^{2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\frac{a}{b}=\frac{r p_{m+1}+s p_{m}}{r q_{m+1}+s q_{m}}$, where

$$
(r, s) \in R_{13}=R_{12} \cup\{(1,13),(3,7),(4,5),(24,1),(25,1)\}
$$

or $\frac{a}{b}=\frac{s p_{m+2}-t p_{m+1}}{s q_{m+2}-t q_{m+1}}$, where

$$
(s, t) \in T_{13}=T_{12} \cup\{(13,1),(7,3),(5,4),(1,24),(1,25)\}
$$

(for an integer $m \geqslant-1$ ).
Proof. From the proof of the Theorem 1.1 in [1] (see also [2]) we have that $r, s$ and $t$ are related with

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=s a_{m+2}-r \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have the following inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{m+2} & >\frac{r}{s},  \tag{2.3}\\
r^{2}-s r a_{m+2}+k a_{m+2} & >0,  \tag{2.4}\\
a_{m+2} & >\frac{t}{s},  \tag{2.5}\\
t^{2}-s t a_{m+2}+k a_{m+2} & >0, \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ is the largest integer satisfying

$$
\alpha<\frac{a}{b} \leqslant \frac{p_{m}}{q_{m}} .
$$

Here we assume that $\alpha<a / b$, since the other case is completely analogous (see $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}]$ ).

By Theorem 1.1, we have to consider only pairs of nonnegative integers $(r, s)$ and $(s, t)$ satisfying $r s<2 k$, st $<2 k, \operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(s, t)=1$. The inequalities (2.4) and (2.6) for $r=1$, resp. $t=1$, imply that the pairs $(r, s)=(1, s)$ and $(s, t)=(s, 1)$ with $s \geqslant k+1=14$ can be excluded. Similarly, for $r=2$ or 3 , resp. $t=2$ or 3 , we can exclude the pairs $(r, s)=(2, s)$ and $(s, t)=(s, 2)$ with $s \geqslant$ $\frac{13}{2}+2$, and the pairs $(r, s)=(3, s)$ and $(s, t)=(s, 3)$ with $s \geqslant \frac{13}{3}+3$. In particular, the pairs $(r, s)=(2,9),(2,11),(3,8)$, and the pairs $(s, t)=(9,2),(11,2),(8,3)$ can be excluded.

Now we show that the pairs $(r, s)=(8,3)$ and $(s, t)=(3,8)$ can be replaced with other pairs with smaller products $r s$, resp. st.

For $(r, s)=(8,3)$ and $k=13$, from (2.3) and (2.4) we obtain $\frac{8}{3}<a_{m+2}<\frac{64}{11}$, and therefore we have three possibilities: $a_{m+2}=3,4$ or 5 . If $a_{m+2}=3$, then from (2.2) we obtain $t=3 \cdot 3-8=1$, and we can replace $(r, s)=(8,3)$ by $(s, t)=(3,1)$. If $a_{m+2}=4$, we can replace it by $(s, t)=(3,4)$ and if $a_{m+2}=5$, we can replace it by $(s, t)=(3,7)$.

The proof for pairs $(s, t)=(3,8)$ is completely analogous. We use the inequalities (2.5) and (2.6), instead of (2.3) and (2.4). We obtain $\frac{8}{3}<a_{m+2}<\frac{64}{11}$, and therefore we have, again, three possibilities: $a_{m+2}=3,4$ or 5 . If $a_{m+2}=3$, we can replace $(s, t)=(3,8)$ by $(r, s)=(1,3)$, if $a_{m+2}=4$, we can replace it by $(r, s)=(4,3)$ and if $a_{m+2}=5$, we can replace it by $(r, s)=(7,3)$.

Our next aim is to show that if we exclude any of the pairs $(r, s)$ or $(s, t)$ appearing in Proposition 2.2, the statement of the proposition will no longer be valid. More precisely, if we exclude a pair $\left(r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right) \in R_{13}$, then there exist a real number $\alpha$ and a rational number $\frac{a}{b}$ satisfying (2.1), but such that $\frac{a}{b}$ cannot be represented in the form $\frac{a}{b}=\frac{r p_{m+1}+s p_{m}}{r q_{m+1}+s q_{m}}$ nor $\frac{a}{b}=\frac{s p_{m+2}-t p_{m+1}}{s q_{m+2}-t q_{m+1}}$, where $m \geqslant-1$, $(r, s) \in R_{13} \backslash\left\{\left(r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right)\right\},(s, t) \in T_{13}$ (and similarly for an excluded pair $\left.\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) \in T_{13}\right)$.

In the next table, we give explicit examples for each pair. There are many such examples of different form, but we give some numbers $\alpha$ of the form $\sqrt{d}$, where $d$ is a non-square positive integer.

| $\alpha$ | $a$ | $b$ | $m$ | $r$ | $s$ | $t$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sqrt{5328}$ | 11533 | 158 | 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 12 |
| $\sqrt{168}$ | 1063 | 82 | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | 4 |
| $\sqrt{56}$ | 943 | 126 | 1 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | 6 |
| $\sqrt{626}$ | 30049 | 1201 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 26 |
| $\sqrt{677}$ | 33851 | 1301 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 27 |
| $\sqrt{5328}$ | 127957 | 1753 | 1 | 12 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\sqrt{168}$ | 1387 | 107 | 1 | 4 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| $\sqrt{56}$ | 1377 | 184 | 1 | 6 | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| $\sqrt{626}$ | 32551 | 1301 | 0 | 26 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |
| $\sqrt{677}$ | 36557 | 1405 | 0 | 27 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |

Let us consider $\alpha=\sqrt{56}=[7, \overline{2,14}]$. The some convergents of $\sqrt{56}$ are $\frac{7}{1}$, $\frac{15}{2}, \frac{217}{29}, \frac{449}{60}, \frac{6503}{869}, \ldots$ Its rational approximation $\frac{943}{126}$ (the third row of the table) satisfies $\left|\sqrt{56}-\frac{943}{126}\right| \lesssim 0.0008123<\frac{13}{126^{2}}$. We have that the only representation of the fraction $\frac{943}{126}$ in the form $\frac{r p_{m+1}+s p_{m}}{r q_{m+1}+s q_{m}},(r, s) \in R_{13}$ or $\frac{s p_{m+2}-t p_{m+1}}{s q_{m+2}-t q_{m+1}},(s, t) \in T_{13}$ is $\frac{943}{126}=\frac{4 \cdot 217+5 \cdot 15}{4 \cdot 29+5 \cdot 2}=\frac{4 \cdot p_{2}+5 \cdot p_{1}}{4 \cdot q_{2}+5 \cdot q_{1}}$, which implies that the pair $(4,5)$ cannot be excluded from the set $R_{13}$.

## 3. Case $s=2$

Dujella and Ibrahimpašić [2] prove some patterns in pairs $(r, s)$ and $(s, t)$ which appear in representations $(a, b)=\left(r p_{m+1}+s p_{m}, r q_{m+1}+s q_{m}\right)$ and $(a, b)=$ $\left(s p_{m+2}-t p_{m+1}, s q_{m+2}-t q_{m+1}\right)$ of solutions of inequality (1.2), where $k$ is a positive integer. They prove that for each positive integer $k$ there exist a real number $\alpha$ and rational numbers $\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}$ and $\frac{a_{2}}{b_{2}}$ such that $\left|\alpha-\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}}\right|<\frac{k}{b_{1}^{2}}$ and $\left|\alpha-\frac{a_{2}}{b_{2}}\right|<\frac{k}{b_{2}^{2}}$ where

$$
\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)=\left(r p_{m+1}+p_{m}, r q_{m+1}+q_{m}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)=\left(p_{m+2}-t p_{m+1}, q_{m+2}-t q_{m+1}\right)
$$

, for some $m \geqslant-1$ and integers $r$ and $t$ such that $1 \leqslant r, t \leqslant 2 k-1$.
These results for the pairs $(r, s)=(2 k-1,1)$ and $(s, t)=(1,2 k-1)$ (with $\alpha=$ $\left.\sqrt{4 k^{2}+1}\right)$ immediately imply the following result $[\mathbf{2}]$ which shows that Theorem 1.1 is sharp.

Proposition 3.1. For each $\varepsilon>0$ there exist a positive integer $k$, a real number $\alpha$ and a rational number $\frac{a}{b}$, such that

$$
\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right|<\frac{k}{b^{2}},
$$

and $\frac{a}{b}$ cannot be represented in the form $\frac{a}{b}=\frac{r p_{m+1} \pm s p_{m}}{r q_{m+1} \pm s q_{m}}$, for $m \geqslant-1$ and nonnegative integers $r$ and $s$ such that $r s<(2-\varepsilon) k$.

We will prove some patterns in pairs $(r, 2)$ and $(2, t)$.
Let $\alpha_{m}=\left[a_{m} ; a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, \ldots\right]$ and $\frac{1}{\beta_{m}}=\frac{q_{m-1}}{q_{m-2}}=\left[a_{m-1}, a_{m-2}, \ldots, a_{1}\right]$, with the convention that $\beta_{1}=0$. Then for $\frac{a}{b}=\frac{r p_{m+1}+s p_{m}}{r q_{m+1}+s q_{m}}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
b^{2}\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right|=b\left|\left(r q_{m+1}+s q_{m}\right) \frac{\alpha_{m+2} p_{m+1}+p_{m}}{\alpha_{m+2} q_{m+1}+q_{m}}-\left(r p_{m+1}+s p_{m}\right)\right| \\
=\frac{\left|s \alpha_{m+2}-r\right|\left(r q_{m+1}+s q_{m}\right)}{\alpha_{m+2} q_{m+1}+q_{m}}=\frac{\left|s \alpha_{m+2}-r\right|\left(r+s \beta_{m+2}\right)}{\alpha_{m+2}+\beta_{m+2}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

The relation (3.1) can be reformulated in terms of $s$ and $t=s a_{m+2}-r$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{2}\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right|=\left(t+\frac{s}{\alpha_{m+3}}\right)\left|s-\frac{t+\frac{s}{\alpha_{m+3}}}{\alpha_{m+2}+\beta_{m+2}}\right| . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $s=2$. This implies $r$ is odd, since we assume $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$. We claim that for $1<r \leqslant k-1$ (for $r=1$ see [2]), where $k \geqslant 3,\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right|<\frac{k}{b^{2}}$ holds. For $x \geqslant 1$, we consider the number $\alpha=\sqrt{(3 x)^{2}+3}$. Its continued fraction expansion has the form

$$
\sqrt{(3 x)^{2}+3}=[3 x ; \overline{2 x, 6 x}]
$$

(see e.g. [10, p.297]). For $m \geqslant 1$ we have $\alpha_{2 m-1}=[2 x, 6 x, 2 x, 6 x, \ldots]$ and $\alpha_{2 m}=$ $[6 x, 2 x, 6 x, 2 x, \ldots]$, and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x+\frac{1}{6 x+1} & <\alpha_{2 m-1}<2 x+\frac{1}{6 x} \\
6 x+\frac{1}{2 x+1} & <\alpha_{2 m}<6 x+\frac{1}{2 x} \\
6 x+\frac{1}{2 x+1} & <\frac{1}{\beta_{2 m+1}} \leqslant 6 x+\frac{1}{2 x} \\
\frac{1}{6 x+\frac{1}{2 x+1}} & >\beta_{2 m+1} \geqslant \frac{1}{6 x+\frac{1}{2 x}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we take $m=-1$ then we have the rational number

$$
\frac{a}{b}=\frac{r \cdot p_{0}+2 \cdot p_{-1}}{r \cdot q_{0}+2 \cdot q_{-1}}=\frac{3 r x+2}{r} .
$$

We claim that for $r \leqslant k-1,\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right|<\frac{k}{b^{2}}$ holds. By (3.1) this is equivalent to

$$
\left(2-\frac{r}{\alpha_{1}}\right) r<k .
$$

It suffices to check that

$$
\left(2-\frac{r}{\alpha_{1}}\right) r<\left(2-\frac{r}{2 x+\frac{1}{6 x}}\right) r<k .
$$

If we take $x=\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor$, since $k$ is a positive integer, we have only two possibilities: $x=\frac{k}{2}$ or $x=\frac{k-1}{2}$. Thus, we have

$$
\left(2-\frac{r}{2 x+\frac{1}{6 x}}\right) r \leqslant\left(2-\frac{r}{k+\frac{1}{3(k-1)}}\right) r=\frac{6 k^{2}-6 k+2-(3 k-3) r}{3 k^{2}-3 k+1} \cdot r<k
$$

which implies

$$
(3 k-3) r^{2}-\left(6 k^{2}-6 k+2\right) r+\left(3 k^{3}-3 k^{2}+k\right)>0
$$

This condition is satisfied for $r \leqslant k-1$.
The same result for pairs $(r, s)=(r, 2)$ holds also if $m \geqslant 1$ is odd. From (3.1), for $r \leqslant k-1$, we have that is suffices to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(2 \alpha_{m+2}-r\right)\left(r+2 \beta_{m+2}\right)}{\alpha_{m+2}+\beta_{m+2}}<\frac{\left(2\left(2 x+\frac{1}{6 x}\right)-r\right)\left(r+2 \cdot \frac{1}{6 x+\frac{1}{2 x+1}}\right)}{2 x+\frac{1}{6 x+1}+\frac{1}{6 x+\frac{1}{2 x}}}<k . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take again $x=\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor$. In the case $x=\frac{k}{2}$, the condition (3.3) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(81 k^{6}+108 k^{5}+81 k^{4}+45 k^{3}+18 k^{2}+3 k\right) r^{2}- \\
& -\left(162 k^{7}+216 k^{6}+162 k^{5}+90 k^{4}+54 k^{3}+12 k^{2}+6 k+2\right) r+ \\
& +\left(81 k^{8}+108 k^{7}+27 k^{6}-36 k^{5}-54 k^{4}-81 k^{3}-33 k^{2}-16 k-4\right)>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is satisfied for $r \leqslant k-1$.
In the case $x=\frac{k-1}{2}$, the condition (3.3) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(81 k^{6}-378 k^{5}+756 k^{4}-819 k^{3}+504 k^{2}-168 k+24\right) r^{2}- \\
& -\left(162 k^{7}-918 k^{6}+2268 k^{5}-3150 k^{4}+2664 k^{3}-1392 k^{2}+432 k-64\right) r+ \\
& +\left(81 k^{8}-459 k^{7}+1080 k^{6}-1278 k^{5}+639 k^{4}+126 k^{3}-279 k^{2}+86 k\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is satisfied for $r \leqslant k-1$, too.
We have $t$ is odd, since we assume $\operatorname{gcd}(s, t)=1$. Let us consider pairs $(2, t)$. We claim that for $1<t \leqslant k-1$ (for $t=1$ see [2]), where $k \geqslant 3,\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right|<\frac{k}{b^{2}}$ holds.

Again, for $x \geqslant 1$ we consider the number $\alpha=\sqrt{(3 x)^{2}+3}$.
Take first $m=-1$. We have the rational number

$$
\frac{a}{b}=\frac{2 \cdot p_{1}-t \cdot p_{0}}{2 \cdot q_{1}-t \cdot q_{0}}=\frac{12 x^{2}+2-3 x t}{4 x+t}
$$

We claim that for $t \leqslant k-1,\left|\alpha-\frac{a}{b}\right|<\frac{k}{b^{2}}$ holds. By (3.2) this is equivalent to

$$
\left(t+\frac{2}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\left(2-\frac{t+\frac{2}{\alpha_{2}}}{\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}}\right)<k
$$

It suffices to check that

$$
\left(t+\frac{2}{\alpha_{2}}\right)\left(2-\frac{t+\frac{2}{\alpha_{2}}}{\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}}\right)<\left(t+\frac{2}{6 x+\frac{1}{2 x+1}}\right)\left(2-\frac{t+\frac{2}{6 x+\frac{1}{2 x}}}{2 x+\frac{1}{6 x}}\right)<k .
$$

If we take $x=\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor$, then for $x=\frac{k}{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(27 k^{5}+27 k^{4}+18 k^{3}+9 k^{2}+3 k\right) t^{2}- \\
& -\left(54 k^{6}+54 k^{5}+18 k^{4}+6 k^{2}+2\right) t+ \\
& +\left(27 k^{7}+27 k^{6}-9 k^{5}-18 k^{4}-3 k^{3}-9 k^{2}-3 k-4\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is satisfied for $t \leqslant k-1$.
In the case $x=\frac{k-1}{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(27 k^{5}-108 k^{4}+180 k^{3}-153 k^{2}+66 k-12\right) t^{2}- \\
& -\left(54 k^{6}-270 k^{5}+558 k^{4}-612 k^{3}+384 k^{2}-138 k+26\right) t+ \\
& +\left(27 k^{7}-135 k^{6}+261 k^{5}-216 k^{4}+24 k^{3}+72 k^{2}-36 k\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is satisfied for $t \leqslant k-1$, too.
The analogous result for pairs $(s, t)=(2, t)$ holds for all odd $m \geqslant 1$. By (3.2) we have that, for $t \leqslant k-1$, is sufficiently to check

$$
\left(t+\frac{2}{6 x+\frac{1}{2 x+1}}\right)\left(2-\frac{t+\frac{2}{6 x+\frac{1}{2 x}}}{2 x+\frac{1}{6 x}+\frac{1}{6 x+\frac{1}{2 x+1}}}\right)<k .
$$

Again, if we take $x=\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor$, then in the case $x=\frac{k}{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(81 k^{7}+162 k^{6}+162 k^{5}+108 k^{4}+54 k^{3}+18 k^{2}+3 k\right) t^{2}- \\
& \left(162 k^{8}+324 k^{7}+324 k^{6}+216 k^{5}+126 k^{4}+72 k^{3}+36 k^{2}+12 k+2\right) t+ \\
& \left(81 k^{9}+162 k^{8}+108 k^{7}-63 k^{5}-99 k^{4}-75 k^{3}-51 k^{2}-27 k-4\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

and in the case $x=\frac{k-1}{2}$, we have
$\left(81 k^{7}-405 k^{6}+891 k^{5}-1107 k^{4}+837 k^{3}-387 k^{2}+102 k-12\right) t^{2}-$
$\left(162 k^{8}-972 k^{7}+2592 k^{6}-3996 k^{5}+3906 k^{4}-2484 k^{3}+1008 k^{2}-240 k+26\right) t+$

$$
\left(81 k^{9}-486 k^{8}+1242 k^{7}-1674 k^{6}+1125 k^{5}-117 k^{4}-354 k^{3}+216 k^{2}-36 k\right)>0 .
$$

Both inequalities are satisfied for $t \leqslant k-1$.
We have proved the Theorem 1.3.

## 4. A Diophantine application

In [4], Dujella and Jadrijević considered the Thue inequality

$$
\left|x^{4}-4 c x^{3} y+(6 c+2) x^{2} y^{2}+4 c x y^{3}+y^{4}\right| \leqslant 6 c+4,
$$

where $c \geqslant 3$ is an integer. Using the method of Tzanakis [11], they showed that, for $c \geqslant 5$, solving the Thue equation $x^{4}-4 c x^{3} y+(6 c+2) x^{2} y^{2}+4 c x y^{3}+y^{4}=\mu$, $\mu \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, reduces to solving the system of Pellian equations

$$
\begin{align*}
(2 c+1) U^{2}-2 c V^{2} & =\mu  \tag{4.1}\\
(c-2) U^{2}-c Z^{2} & =-2 \mu, \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $U=x^{2}+y^{2}, V=x^{2}+x y-y^{2}$ and $Z=-x^{2}+4 x y+y^{2}$. It suffices to find solutions of the system (4.1) and (4.2) which satisfy the condition $\operatorname{gcd}(U, V, Z)=1$. Then $\operatorname{gcd}(U, V)=1$, and $\operatorname{gcd}(U, Z)=1$ or 2 , since $4 V^{2}+Z^{2}=5 U^{2}$.

Using the result of Worley [14, Corollary, p. 206], in [4, Proposition 2] they proved that if $\mu$ is an integer such that $|\mu| \leqslant 6 c+4$ and that the equation (4.1) has a solution in relatively prime integers $U$ and $V$, then

$$
\mu \in\{1,-2 c, 2 c+1,-6 c+1,6 c+4\} .
$$

Analysing the system (4.1) and (4.2), and using the properties of convergents of $\sqrt{\frac{2 c+1}{2 c}}$, they were able to show that the system has no solutions for $\mu=-2 c, 2 c+$ $1,-6 c+1$.

In [2], Dujella and Ibrahimpašić, applying results for $k=9$ to the equation (4.2), gave a new proof of this result for $c \geqslant 5$, based on the precise information on $\mu$ 's for which (4.2) has a solution in integers $U$ and $Z$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(U, Z) \in\{1,2\}$.

But, from [4, Lemma 4] we have the inequality given in the following lemma.
Lemma 4.1. Let $c \geqslant 3$ be an integer. All positive integer solutions $(U, V, Z)$ of the system of Pellian equations (4.1) and (4.2) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sqrt{\frac{c-2}{c}}-\frac{Z}{U}\right|<\frac{6 c+4}{U^{2} \sqrt{c(c-2)}}<\frac{13}{U^{2}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the result from Section 2, it is now easy to prove that for $c \geqslant 3$, system (4.1) and (4.2) has solutions only for $\mu \in\{1,6 c+4\}$. Using results for $k=3,4, \ldots, 13$, from $[\mathbf{2}]$ and from Section 2, Ibrahimpašić $[\mathbf{6}]$ completely solved the family of quartic Thue inequalities

$$
\left|x^{4}-2 c x^{3} y+2 x^{2} y^{2}+2 c x y^{3}+y^{4}\right| \leqslant 6 c+4,
$$

where $c$ is a nonnegative integer.
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