Genetic divergence studies for fibre yield traits in roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa l.*) In terai zone of West Bengal

N. HARI SATYANARAYANA, ¹S. MUKHERJEE, ²S. ROY, BHANU PRIYA, K. K. SARKAR AND ¹P. BANDHOPADHYAY

Department Genetics and Plant Breeding, ¹AINP on Jute & Allied Fibres, BCKV, Mohanpur-741 252, West Bengal, ²AINP on Jute & Allied Fibres, UBKV, Pundibari, Coochbehar– 736 165, West Bengal

Received:15-10-2014; Revised:24-11-2014; Accepted:05-12-2014

ABSTRACT

Sixty genotypes of roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.) were evaluated for fibre yield and its contributing characters during Kharif, 2013 for eleven characters and genotypes were grouped into seven clusters. Grouping of genotypes into different clusters was not related to their geographic origin. The genotypes from different geographic locations were grouped into one cluster while genotypes of the same geographic origin showed genetic diversity. Cluster I was the largest containing 34 genotypes followed by Cluster II (fifteen), Cluster III (four), Cluster IV, V and VI with two genotypes each and mono-genotype Cluster VII. The highest inter cluster distance was observed between Cluster VI and VII followed by Cluster VI and Cluster VI and I. Fibre yield per plant (42.54%) followed by dry stick weight per plant (22.48%) and petiole length (9.21%) have contributed maximum percent of contribution towards divergence. Cluster VII which consists of a single genotype has high cluster mean values for most of the characters. Based on per se performance of genetic diversity and cluster means, genotypes belonging to Cluster I, IV, VI and VII may be chosen for crossing programme for roselle crop improvement.

Keywords: Cluster distance, D² analysis, genetic diversity, roselle

Roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa L.) is an annual or biennial plant belonging to the large family Malvaceae and is cultivated in Tropical and Sub-Tropical regions for bast fibre, paper pulp or edible calyces, leaves and seed. In India, roselle is one of the most important bast fibre crop which occupies second place in area and production after jute and is used mainly for manufacturing of sacs, twines, carpets etc. Presence of sufficient genetic variability is a pre-requisite to formulate breeding programme aimed at improvement in yield and other characters, since the crosses made between the parents with maximum genetic divergence would more likely to yield desirable recombinants in the progeny. However, it is desirable to select suitable genetically divergent parents, based on information about the genetic variability and genetic diversity present in the available germplasm. Further, selection of diverse parents for hybridization programme will be effective by the identification of characters responsible for the total genetic diversity among the populations (Murthy and Arunachalam, 1966).

The success of any crop improvement programme essentially depends on the nature and magnitude of genetic variability present in the crop. Improvement in yield is normally attained through exploitation of the genetically diverse parents as divergent parents throw heterotic crosses and also more variability could be expected in the segregating generations. In this context Mahalanobis D^2 statistic is an effective tool in quantifying the degree of divergence at genetic level and it also provides quantitative measure of association between geographic and genetic diversity based on generalized distance (Mahalanobis, 1936). The present study was carried out to ascertain the nature and magnitude of genetic divergence among 60 roselle genotypes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sixty roselle genotypes (consisting of eleven exotic lines; four released varieties; 28 local accessions from Andhra Pradesh and 17 local accessions from West Bengal) with diverse genetic background were evaluated at Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Coochbehar, West Bengal (latitude 26° 29' N and longitude 89° 20'E) during Kharif, 2013. The experimental trial was laid out in randomized complete block design with two replications under rainfed conditions. The recommended package of practices were followed to raise a good crop. Data on the basis of five randomly selected competitive plants were recorded on plant height (cm), base diameter (mm), internodal length (cm), petiole length (cm), mid diameter (mm), top diameter (mm), number of nodes $plant^{-1}$, bark thickness (mm), green weight $plant^{-1}(g)$, dry stick weight plant⁻¹ (g) and fibre yield plant⁻¹ (g). Multivariate analysis was done as per Mahalanobis D²

Email: namburihari@ymail.com

J. Crop and Weed, 11(Special Issue)

statistic described by Rao (1952) and the genotypes were grouped into different clusters utilizing Tocher's method.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance revealed significant difference among the sixty genotypes for all the characters except for mid diameter (Table-1). Plant height, base diameter, intermodal length, petiole length, number of nodes plant⁻¹, green weight plant⁻¹, dry stick weight plant⁻¹ and fibre yield plant⁻¹ were highly significant at 1% level whereas the characters top diameter and bark thickness were significant at 5% level. This indicated the existence of significant amount of variability among the sixty genotypes for the characters studied. Based on the diversity and magnitude of D² values sixty genotypes were grouped into seven clusters by Tochers' method (Table-2). Cluster I was largest with thirty four genotypes followed by Cluster II with fifteen, Cluster III with four, Cluster IV, V and VI with two each and mono-genotype Cluster VII. The variation in the composition of individual cluster with regard to the number of genotypes indicated the presence of large amount of diversity in the population. The clustering pattern indicated that there was no relationship between distribution and genetic diversity as the genotypes from different origin were grouped into the same clusters. It is an indication for the absence of relationship between genetic diversity and geographic diversity. This suggests that there are forces other than geographical separation such as natural and artificial selection, exchange of breeding materials, genetic drift and environmental variation. The results are in accordance with the findings of Anuradha (2003), Hariram Kumar et al. (2013), Nayak et al. (2009), Pulli Bai et al. (2005) and Roy et al. (2011).

On the basis of D^2 values the minimum intra-cluster value was observed in Cluster IV (14.06) and maximum in Cluster II (43.40) (Table-3). Similarly, the minimum inter-cluster distance for D² values was observed between Cluster IV and VII (27.32) followed by Cluster IV and V (30.68) which suggests that genotypes of these clusters were closely related. Maximum inter-cluster D² was observed between Cluster VI and VII (192.08) followed by Cluster VI and IV (139.88) and Cluster I and VI (107.14) Maximum inter-cluster values indicate that these genotypes are most diverse in the present study and crossing between genotypes of these clusters would yield good recombinants. The contribution of individual character towards the divergence (Table-4) indicated that fibre yield plant⁻¹ (42.54%) contributed the maximum followed by dry stick weight plant⁻¹, petiole length (9.21%), bark thickness (7.63%) and green weight plant⁻¹ (7.63%). The contribution made by other characters in the study was comparatively low ranging from 0.51% for base diameter to 2.71% for plant height. These results are corroborating with the findings of Nayak *et al.* (2009), Pulli Bai *et al.* (2005) and Roy *et al.* (2011).

Cluster mean values for different characters (Table-4) indicated that the mean values for plant height, base diameter, mid diameter, top diameter, green weight plant⁻¹, dry stick weight plant⁻¹ and fibre yield plant⁻¹ were highest in Cluster VII which consists of only one genotype. Cluster IV which consists of two genotypes has high mean values for plant height, mid diameter, green weight plant¹, dry stick weight plant¹ and fibre yield plant⁻¹. Cluster I with thirty four genotypes has recorded high mean values for plant height, base diameter, mid diameter, bark thickness, green weight plant⁻¹, dry stick weight plant⁻¹ and fibre yield plant⁻¹. Cluster VI which comprises of two genotypes has recorded lowest mean values for most of the characters. Crosses among diverse parents are likely to yield desirable recombinants. The greater the distance between two clusters, the wider the genetic diversity among parents to be included in the hybridization programme.

The genotypes of Cluster II were highly divergent than those of Cluster I, VI indicating scope of generating high heterosis between the parents of these clusters. Maximum diversity was found between Cluster VI and VII (192.08) followed by Cluster VI and IV (139.88) and Cluster I and VI (107.14). In addition, the Clusters I, IV and VII recorded high mean values for most of the characters while Cluster VI recorded lowest for most of the characters. Selection of parents from the diverse Clusters I, IV, VI and VII for hybridization programme would help in achieving novel recombinants. Thus in the present study, roselle mesta genotypes exhibited a distinct and wide spread clustering pattern there by indicating huge amount of genetic divergence and heterogeneity. Based on the genetic distances, clustering pattern, cluster means and per se performance hybridization programme may be initiated involving genotypes of Clusters I, IV and VII i.e. AR-14, AR-81, R-225, ER-57, HS-4288 and AMV-4 for roselle crop improvement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are highly thankful to BCKV, UBKV, ANGRAU and CRIJAF (ICAR) Barrackpore, Kolkata for providing necessary help and infrastructural facilities to undertake these studies.

J. Crop and Weed, 11(Special Issue)

Table 1: Analysis of variance for eleven character	ılysis	of variance	for eleven	characters in	s in roselle							
Source	df	Plant height	Base diameter	Internodal length	Petiole length	Mid diameter	Top diameter	No. of nodes plant ⁻¹	Bark thickness	Green weight plant ⁻¹	Dry stick weight plant ⁻¹	Fibre yield plant ⁻¹
Replication	1	55.49	3.60	0.67	1.43	0.006	10.82	120.00	0.32	1373.63	14.42	0.49
Genotype	59	2757.18**	6.68**	0.82^{**}	2.73**	$2.33^{\rm NS}$	1.36*	86.38**	0.05*	20221.74**	474.40**	62.04**
Error	59	525.34	2.93	0.40	0.74	1.56	0.80	39.36	0.03	4153.26	46.96	6.29
CD (0.05)		45.98	3.43	1.28	1.73	SN	1.79	12.59	0.35	129.28	13.75	5.03
CV %		7.57	9.73	14.15	9.08	9.92	12.06	8.70	9.78	14.07	13.59	12.24
Table 2: Distribution of sixty roselle genotypes int Cluster No. No. of construction	tribu	tion of sixty	roselle gen	otypes into d	lifferent	clusters ba	to different clusters based on D ² statistic	atistic				
Cluster No.		No. of genotypes	es			Ž	Name of the genotypes	genotypes				
Ι		34	AR-14, AR-88, R-318,	AR-14, AR-19, AR-42, AR-45, A AR-88, R-16, R-29, R-30, R-37, R-318, R-322, ER-56 and ER-57	-2, AR-45 R-30, R-3 5 and ER-	, AR-48, AJ 87, R-48, R- 57	R-50, AR-5: -67, R-68, R	5, AR-66, AR -77, R-86, R	t-67, AR-71, A -134, R-180, F	AR-14, AR-19, AR-42, AR-45, AR-48, AR-50, AR-55, AR-66, AR-67, AR-71, AR-79, AR-80, AR-81, AR-85, AR-88, R-16, R-29, R-30, R-37, R-48, R-67, R-68, R-77, R-86, R-134, R-180, R-225, R-243, R-271, R-284, R-318, R-322, ER-56 and ER-57	AR-81, AR-85, 2-271, R-284,	
Π		15	ER-60, AS-81-	ER-60, ER-68, AS-80-6, AS-80-7, AS-80-A9, AS-81-9, AS-81-9, AS-81-14, AS-81-17 and AS-81-22	0-6, AS-8 AS-81-17	0-7, AS-80- 7 and AS-81	-A9, AS-80- -22,	26, AS-80-2	9, AS-81-1, AS	ER-60, ER-68, AS-80-6, AS-80-7, AS-80-A9, AS-80-26, AS-80-29, AS-81-1, AS-81-2, AS-81-3, AS-81-5, AS-81-9, AS-81-14, AS-81-17 and AS-81-22,	3, AS-81-5,	
III		4	REX-6,	REX-6, REX-14, RE	REX-45 and REX-52	REX-52						
IV		5	AMV-4	AMV-4 and AMV-5								
Λ		2	REX-63	REX-63 and AMV-7								
IV		2	REX-34	REX-34 and REX-38	~							
ПЛ		1	HS-4288	8								

Genetic divergence in roselle

J. Crop and Weed, 11(Special Issue)

92

Cluster – I Cluster – II Cluster – III	Cluster – I	Cluster -	Π	Cluster – III		Cluster - IV	Clust	Cluster – V	Cluster - VI		Cluster – VII
Cluster – II Cluster – III	40.48(6.36)	54.75(7.40)		36.67(6.06)		44.56(6.68)	40.69	40.69(6.38)	107.14(10.35)	_	46.84(6.84)
Cluster – III		43.40(6.59)		47.71(6.91)		74.84(8.65)	52.62	52.62(7.25)	57.71(7.60)		97.12(9.86)
			. ,	28.35(5.33)		38.41(6.20)	36.8(36.80(6.07)	91.87(9.59)		41.76(6.46)
Cluster – IV					1	14.06(3.75)	3.0.6	3.0.68(5.54)	139.88(11.83)		27.32(5.23)
Cluster – V							28.9	28.91(5.38)	98.19(9.91)	-	43.83(6.62)
Cluster – VI									36.48(6.04)		192.08(13.86)
Cluster – VII										0.	0.00(0.00)
Cluster	Plant height (cm)	Base diameter (mm)	Internodal length (cm)	Petiole length (cm)	Mid diameter (mm)	Top diameter (mm)	No. of nodes plant ⁻¹	Bark thickness (mm)	Green weight plant ⁻¹ (g)	Dry stick weight plant ⁻¹ (g)	Fibre yield plant ⁻¹ (g)
Cluster – I	313.97	18.79	4.62	9.26	12.83	7.32	72.34	1.84	489.24	56.08	22.05
Cluster – II	274.76	16.94	4.28	10.02	11.74	7.18	70.43	1.71	388.50	38.57	16.94
Cluster – III	320.53	18.13	4.38	10.29	12.93	7.99	78.13	1.71	501.10	55.28	22.45
Cluster – IV	333.35	17.74	3.76	7.98	13.18	7.61	72.50	1.58	459.20	54.70	22.61
Cluster – V	300.05	17.74	5.58	7.90	13.04	7.76	65.75	1.64	448.35	45.60	20.51
Cluster – VI	227.05	15.94	3.70	11.62	12.39	8.24	75.00	1.74	314.10	21.10	11.09

Satyanarayana et al.

28.21

76.00

582.20

1.82

72.00 2.04

8.44

13.81

8.30

5.10 1.41

20.26 0.51

358.50

Cluster – VII

2.71

Contribution (%)

42.54

22.48

7.63

7.63

1.86

1.98

9.21

J. Crop and Weed, 11(Special Issue)

Genetic divergence in roselle

REFERENCES

- Anuradha, T. 2002. Genetic divergence in roselle. J. Res. ANGRAU. 31: 122-26.
- Hariram, K. B., Appalaswamy, A., Durga Prasad, A. V. S. and Ismail, S. 2013. Genetic divergence studies in roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.). *Madras Agril. J.*, 100: 20-22.
- Mahalanobis, P. C. 1936. On the generalized distance in statistics. *In: Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci.* (India), **2**: 49-55.
- Murthy, B. and Arunachalam, V. 1966. The nature of genetic divergence in relation to breeding systems in crop plants. *Indian J. Gene. Plant Breed.*, **26**: 188-89.

- Nayak, B. K., Baisakh, B. and Bastia, D. 2009. Genetic divergence among genotypes of tossa jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.). *Env. Eco.*, 27: 86-88.
- Pulli B. P., Razia S., Rao C. P. and Srinivasa Rao, V. 2005. Multivariate analysis in Roselle hemp (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.). *The Andhra Agril. J.*, **52**: 86-92.
- Rao, C. R. 1952. Advanced Statistical Methods in Biometrical Research. Edn. 1. John Wiley and Sons., Inc. New York; p 390.
- Roy, S. K., Das, B., Kale, V. A. and Haque, S. 2011. Genetic divergence study for yield and quality traits in tossa jute. *J. Crop Weed.* 7: 130-32.