

#### **Original Article**

**Open Access** 

# Fasting insulin levels, lipid profile and proteinuria an index of cardiovascular risk in euglycemic preeclampsia

# M Sathish Babu\*<sup>1</sup>, Zachariah Bobby<sup>1</sup>, S Habeebulah<sup>2</sup>, K Srilatha<sup>1</sup>, V Lalitha<sup>3</sup>, G Niranjan<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry, <sup>2</sup>Department of obstetrics and gynecology, <sup>3</sup>Department of physiology, <sup>4</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research, Pondicherry - 605 006, India.

# Abstract

Proteinuria has its value in pregnancy and cardiovascular risk assessment in diabetes, hypertension etc. Preeclampsia is subtypes of hypertensive disorder of pregnancy (HDP) with proteinuria complicating 7-10% of pregnancies in India. To evaluate the cardio metabolic risk factors as assessed by serum fasting insulin, lipids and its ratios in preeclampsia and to assess the relationship between proteinuria and insulin. Two groups; Healthy pregnant control (n=30) and preeclampsia (n=30). Statistical analysis was done by unpaired student t test with welch correction. Mean age of the pre-eclamptic mother was  $23 \pm 2.5$  SD and gestational period 31.6± 1.5 weeks and average amount of protein lost in urine for 24 hours was 447.2 mg/day, there was significant increase in mean arterial BP in preeclampsia (p=0.000), there was significant decrease in serum insulin levels but HOMA-IR was not significant. Serum ALP level, Total cholesterol, triacylglycerol (TAG), cholesterol / HDL ratio, triacylglycerol/ HDL ratio was significantly higher in proteinuric preeclamptic patients when compared to control ( $p = \langle 0.05 \rangle$ ). HDL-C was significantly lower in protenuric preeclampsia when compared to control. There was no significant difference in the level of LDL between preeclampsia and control. There was an association between plasma insulin and proteinuria in preeclampsia ('r' value = 0.440; 'p' value = 0.015). Euglycemic proteinuric hypertensive disorders of pregnancy are at risk for cardiovascular diseases and estimation of fasting insulin and proteinuria can be useful as a marker.

Key-words: Preeclampsia, Proteinuria, lipid profile and insulin

\*Corresponding Author: Dr. M. Sathish Babu, Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute. Pondicherry, India. E-mail: dr.msathish@gmail.com

Received: December 15, 2013, Accepted: January 13, 2014. Published: 20 January 2014. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

### Introduction

Estimation of protein in urine during pregnancy is vital and it an important indicator of maternal risk for obstetrics care providers [1]. Based on the presence and absence of proteinuria, Hypertensive disorder of pregnancy (HDP) can be classified as preeclampsia and gestational hypertension together accounts for major cause of maternal mortality in complicating 5-10 % pregnancy worldwide. Preeclampsia which is characterized by the presence of proteinuria  $\geq 0.3$ mg/day or more, is more likely to have severe hypertension and maternal mortality than non proteinuric hypertensive disorder of pregnancy [2][3].

Proteinuria has its own diagnostic and prognostic significance in hypertension, diabetes mellitus and renal diseases.[4,5]. Studies have documented, the diagnostic use of urine micro albumin in pregnant women during early stages of the disease thereby preventing major complication of Hypertensive disorder of pregnancy (HDP).[6]

Insulin resistance (IR) is a state has been linked in proteinuria in patients with hypertension,

Page **Z** 

diabetes mellitus etc. [7-9]. But few studies documented conflicting report on (IR) in HDP. [10-12]. Recent study showed increasing incidence of cardiac vascular mortality among women. So the present study was designed to evaluate the cardio metabolic risk factors as assessed by insulin, lipids and its ratios in protenuric preeclampsia and to assess the relationship between proteinuria and plasma insulin.

#### Material and methods

The study population includes pregnant women in and around Pondicherry. Present study was conducted after getting approval from institute ethical clearance and consent from the study participants who were recruited from Biochemistry collection Centre, antenatal ward and Outpatient department of Obstetrics. Study population included previously normotensive pregnant women who had raise in BP ≥140/90 mmHg in two separate occasions after 20 weeks of pregnancy were named as HDP and preeclampsia is subdivision with the presence of proteinuria (n= 30) (Modified from The National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Pregnancy (NHBPEP) report). Age and gestation matched nonproteinuria healthy primi-gravidae control (n= 30) were included. All the subjects were chosen between 25-30 years of age.

Exclusion criteria:

a. Patients on other surgical & medical treatments

### Results

- b. Hypertension existing before pregnancy
- c. The pregnant women with heart disease, bronchial asthma and overt diabetes mellitus.
- d. Patients with gestational diabetes mellitus

Three ml of fasting blood and 24 hour urine samples were obtained from the study subjects. Samples were collected with preservative under strict aseptic precaution. Quantification of urine protein was done using IFCC approved turbidometric Serum was obtained after centrifuging method. samples at 3000 rpm (Remi-clinical centrifuge) for biochemical analysis of fasting lipid profile and hepatobiliary enzymes in fully automated clinical chemistry analyzer [Randox-Imola] Randox laboratories limited, Crumlin, UK, reagent kits were procured from Agappe diagnostics Kerala -India. Insulin resistance calculated using Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance HOMA -IR formula by measuring fasting glucose (GOD-POD method and fasting insulin by immunoradiometric assay (IRMA) kit from (IMMUNOTECH- Prague, Czech republic). HOMA-IR = [fasting insulin  $(\mu U/ml)$  x glucose (mmol/dl) / 22.5].

**Statistical Analysis:** The data is presented as mean and standard deviation and analyzed by using student's t test. Pearson's test was used for correlation analysis. A p value less than 0.05 were considered as the level of significance for all the tests. All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 17.

Parameter	Control (n=30)	Preeclampsia (n=30) ≥ 300 mg /day	P value		
Age (Yrs)	$23 \pm 2.2$	$23 \pm 2.5$	NS		
Gestational age (Wks)	$31.8 \pm 1.6$	31.6± 1.5	NS		
Proteinuria (mg/day)	00.00±00	447.2±167.02			
MAP (mm Hg)	89 ± 3	110.33±7.6	0.000		
SBP (mm Hg)	$112 \pm 6$	$143 \pm 13^*$	< 0.0001		
DBP (mm Hg)	$77 \pm 4$	$94\pm7^{*}$	< 0.0001		

**Table 1:** Demographic characters, proteinuria, arterial blood pressure in preeclampsia subjects

Data presented are mean  $\pm$  SD. Analysis of data was done by unpaired student t test with welch correction. The \*depicts comparison with Control group.\*p < 0.05. SBP- Systolic blood pressure, DBP- Diastolic blood pressure, MAP- mean arterial blood pressure , NS= not significant .

Page 25

As depicted in Table 1. Gestational period and mean age between study groups were matched without any significant differences between them. There was a significant increase Systolic, Diastolic blood pressure and Mean Arterial Pressure in protenuric preeclampsia when compared to control.

Table	2:	Serum	fasting	insulin	level,	glucose	level,	insulin	Resistance	and	lipid	alterations	in	preeclampsia
subjects	5													

PARAMETER	Control (n=30)	Preeclampsia ≥ 300 mg /day	P value
Triacylglycerol (mg/dl)	$171 \pm 58.7$	$223 \pm 78.8*$	0.005
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	$196 \pm 46.4$	224 ± 70.3 *	0.030
HDL-C (mg/dl)	$46 \pm 6.6$	32 ± 4.4*	< 0.000
LDL-C (mg/dl)	$115 \pm 42.1$	147 ± 66	0.014
Cholesterol : HDL	4.3±1.1	7.07 ±2.5*	< 0.0001
Triacylglycerol: HDL	3.7±1.4	6.96 ±2.6*	< 0.0001
Insulin (µIU/L)	19.06± 0.4	16.9±0.5*	< 0.0001
Fasting blood glucose (mg/dl)	$70 \pm 9.6$	72.6±15.6	NS
HOMA – IR	4.26±4.2	2.8±3.2	NS

\* p = < compared to controls, NS =not significant. Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$ SD.

Serum triacylglycerol (TAG) was significantly higher in proteinuric preeclampsia than control (p= <0.05). Total cholesterol level was significantly higher in protenuric preeclampsia when compared to control. HDL-C was significantly lower in protenuric preeclampsia when compared with control. There was no significant difference in the level of LDL-C between control and study group.

Cholesterol / HDL ratio; triacylglycerol/ HDL ratio was significantly higher in proteinuric preeclampsia when compared to control (p = < 0.05). There was no significant alteration in fasting blood glucose and insulin resistance between them. There was a tendency for reduced insulin resistance in proteinuria preeclampsia subjects, when compared control. There was a significant increased fasting level of insulin in proteinuria preeclampsia subjects when compared to control. There was a positive correlation observed between proteinuria and fasting insulin level in proteinuria preeclampsia subjects. (r=0.440 p= 0.015) as depicted in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Relationship between plasma insulin and urinary protein in preeclampsia;

'r' value = 0.440; 'p' value = 0.015; p = < 0.05 considered significant





PARAMETER	CONTROL (n=30)	PREECLAMPSIA ≥ 300 mg/day (n =30)	P value		
AST (IU/L)	31.0 ± 16.2	$48.1\pm68.9$	NS		
ALT(IU/L)	33.2 ± 19.2	$36.6\pm58$	NS		
ALP (IU/L)	$119 \pm 72$	$216 \pm 73.8*$	<0.0001		

Data presented are mean  $\pm$  SD. Analysis of data was done by unpaired student t test with welch correction. The \*depicts comparison with Control group.\*p < 0.05. NS = not significant.

ALP level was significantly higher in proteinuric preeclampsia when compared to control. And other enzymes AST, ALT showed no significant alteration in protenuric preeclampsia.

#### Discussion

The mean amount of protein lost in urine for 24 hours was 447.2 mg/day in preeclampsia with mean age  $23 \pm 2.5$  years and gestational age  $31.6 \pm 1.5$  weeks. With change in the lifestyle the incidence of cardiovascular diseases are increasing in developing countries like India which is not only diabetic capital but also changing capital for cardiovascular diseases as well. With vague obscure cause on preeclampsia, we hypothesized that proteinuria in prompting the biochemical event through Insulin.

#### Insulin resistance in preeclampsia

In our study, insulin resistance as assessed by HOMA-IR formulae was not significantly higher in preeclampsia when compared to control suggesting no insulin resistance in preeclampsia. Insulin resistance is the condition where there is impaired ability of the insulin to stimulate the uptake and disposal of glucose by muscle [9]. Previous study has shown that there was considerably higher levels of insulin resistance in pregnant control than nonpregnant especially during last trimester of normal pregnancy [10]. Several studies have documented varying reports on insulin résistance among hypertensive disorder of pregnancy. In concordance with our result recent study conducted by Teimoori et in preeclampsia showed no insulin al, 2009 resistance in preeclampsia.(Table 2) Though, literature documents insulin resistance in HDP, still there are inconsistent reports on the occurrences of preeclampsia in relation to body mass index, dyslipidemia and metabolic syndrome in different geographical regions [10-12]. Recent report documents that not only pre-pregnancy weight determine maternal complications but also weight gain during the pregnancy can also signify maternal complications like preeclampsia commonly detected by the presence of significant proteinuria [12]. Moreover, some author considered proteinuria HDP(Preeclampsia) as different entity from other with different pathogenesis in groups of HDP causing endothelial dysfunction [13][8]. The studies documented on Insulin resistance in preeclampsia are listed in Table 4.

Study	Conclusion of the study
Caruso A et al 1999	Data do not support an
	association between insulin
	resistance syndrome and
	hypertension in pregnant
	women with preeclampsia
	and chronic hypertension.
	[8]
Salamalekis, E et al	Preeclampsia is not
2005	associated with
	hyperinsulinaemia and/or
	insulin resistance, in either
	the fasting or the
	postprandial state. [14]
Teimoori B et al 2008	No relevant observed
	relation between severe
	preeclampsia and
	resistance to insulin. [15]
Romero Gutiérrez G et	Insulin resistance assessed
al 2003	in the third trimester of the
	pregnancy did not have
	association with the
	pregnancy induced
	hypertension [16]
Jacober S et al 1994	Postpartum Blood Pressure
	and Insulin Sensitivity in
	African-American Women
	With Recent Preeclampsia
	suggests that the elevation
	in postpartum systolic
	blood pressure may reflect
	a persistent abnormality of
	blood pressure homeostasis
	which is not associated
<u>01</u> <u>A 1 2002</u>	with insulin resistance. [1/]
Snarma A et al 2002.	The pathophysiology of
	pre-eciampsia is not
	associated with insulin
Magurana II - ( 1	Instance. [18]
viasuyama H et al	Insum resistance (HOMA-
2011	ik) was there in
	late onset presedemasic
	wara significantly higher
	then in overweight controls
	corrying normal
	prognancies and in normal
	weight women with late
	onset presclampsia [10]
	onset preectampsia. [19]

As India is considered as the diabetic capital of the world, the insulin resistance among the Indian is slightly higher even in general population. Study by Trikudanathan S et al 2013 documented increased incidence of visceral adiposity among south Asian due genetic, nutritional and environmental factors could be contributing to the epidemic of high insulin resistance. [20] Further any form of stress like pregnancy could be further enhancing the level of insulin resistance among the Indian women as observed among the normal pregnant in our study. Even though study have documented insulin resistance in normal pregnancy due to hormonal change reason remains vague [10]. One of the major limitations in our study is involvement of nonpregnant control which could have fostered studies additional value. Experimental have documented high insulin level can independently increases blood pressure irrespective of placental factors[21].In our study when compared to controls there was significant higher mean arterial blood pressure (Table 1) there was an association between plasma insulin level and proteinuria suggesting insulin effect on renal glomerular capillary endothelium.[22], Study have also documented high grade proteinuria is common and always reversible after pregnancy and it's considered a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases and mortality [23, 24, 25]. Further studies have documented the role of altered lipid metabolism during early pregnancy is considered risk for potential preeclampsia due to endothelial dysfunctions in diseases causation and future cardiovascular risk. [20-22]. Yet another study documents potential atherogenic risk mediated by small sized LDLc .So we tried to find LDL size indirectly, by calculating ratios like Total Cholesterol/HDL ratio and triacylglycerol/HDL ratio, both the ratios were found to be higher when compared to control studies have also documented ability of small sized LDL to cross vascular endothelium suggesting us unholy increment in Total Cholesterol/HDL ratio and triacylglycerol/HDL ratio and future risk for the development of complications [26, 27].

In our study liver enzymes were normal as depicted in table 3. Suggesting normal liver function without severity like HELP syndrome, with altered insulin and lipid status. However, increased alkaline phosphatase activity in preeclampsia suggesting active milieu in placenta excluding bone and intestinal cause. Recent study from our department by Reeta R et al have documented diagnostic utility of serum placental alkaline phosphatase (PLAP) activity in hypertensive disorder of pregnancy (yet to be published). Study by Leitner K et al have documented PLAP as a morphological marker to differentiate syncytiotrophoblasts from cytotrophoblasts or as a marker enzyme for placental brush-border membranes where disease pathology could have originated [28].

## Conclusion

So, we conclude that there is dyslipidemia and a weak association between fasting insulin and proteinuria which can cause endothelial injury therein potentiate cardiovascular risk in euglycemic proteinuric hypertensive disorder of pregnancy.

### References

- Airoldi J, Weinstein L. Clinical significance of proteinuria in pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol Surv. 2007 Feb;62(2):117–124.
- 2. Homer CSE, Brown MA, Mangos G, Davis GK. Non-proteinuric pre-eclampsia: a novel risk indicator in women with gestational hypertension. J. Hypertens. 2008 Feb;26(2):295– 302.
- Leeman L, Fontaine P. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Am Fam Physician. 2008 Jul 1;78(1):93–100.
- Peixoto AJ, Orias M, Desir GV. Does Kidney Disease Cause Hypertension? Curr. Hypertens. Rep. 2013 Jan 24;
- Newman DJ, Mattock MB, Dawnay ABS, Kerry S, McGuire A, Yaqoob M, et al. Systematic review on urine albumin testing for early detection of diabetic complications. Health Technol Assess. 2005;9(30):iii–vi, xiii–163.
- 6. Bar J, Hod M, Erman A, Friedman S, Gelerenter I, Kaplan B, et al. Microalbuminuria as an early predictor of hypertensive complications in



pregnant women at high risk. Am. J. Kidney Dis. 1996 Aug;28(2):220–225.

- Choi KM, Lee KW, Kim SG, Kim NH, Park CG, Seo HS, et al. Inflammation, insulin resistance, and glucose intolerance in acute myocardial infarction patients without a previous diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2005 Jan;90(1):175–180.
- 8. Caruso A, Ferrazzani S, De Carolis S, Lucchese A, Lanzone A, De Santis L, et al. Gestational hypertension but not pre-eclampsia is associated with insulin resistance syndrome characteristics. Hum. Reprod. 1999 Jan;14(1):219–223.
- Lebovitz HE. Insulin resistance: definition and consequences. Exp. Clin. Endocrinol. Diabetes. 2001;109 Suppl 2:S135–148.
- Seely EW, Solomon CG. Insulin resistance and its potential role in pregnancy-induced hypertension. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2003 Jun;88(6):2393–2398.
- 11. Mochhoury L, Razine R, Kasouati J, Kabiri M, Barkat A. Body mass index, gestational weight gain, and obstetric complications in Moroccan population. J Pregnancy. 2013;2013:379-461.
- Hale SA, Badger GJ, McBride C, Magness R, Bernstein IM. Prepregnancy Vascular Dysfunction in Women who Subsequently Develop Hypertension During Pregnancy. Pregnancy Hypertens. 2013 Apr 1;3(2):140–145.
- Salamalekis E, Vitoratos N, Makrakis E, Mastorakos G, Eleftheriadis M, Creatsas G. No association between insulin resistance and preeclampsia. J. Matern. Fetal. Neonatal. Med. 2005 Aug;18(2):113–115.
- Teimoori B, Sakhavar N, Mirteimoori M. Evaluation of insulin resistance in severe preeclampsia. Shiraz E Medical Journal. 2009;10(1):27–31.
- Romero Gutiérrez G, Alvarez Cisneros JA, Ponce Ponce de León AL. [Association between insulin resistance and pregnancy induced hypertension. Case-control study]. Ginecol Obstet Mex. 2003 May;71:244–252.
- Jacober SJ, Morris DA, Sowers JR. Postpartum blood pressure and insulin sensitivity in African-American women with recent preeclampsia. Am. J. Hypertens. 1994 Oct;7(10 Pt 1):933–936.
- Sharma A, Haldiya SS. Insulin sensitivity in preeclampsia. J Assoc Physicians India. 2002 Aug;50:1022–1027.

- 18. Masuyama H, Inoue S, Hiramatsu Y. Retinolbinding protein 4 and insulin resistance in preeclampsia. Endocr. J. 2011;58(1):47–53.
- 19. Trikudanathan S, Raji A, Chamarthi B, Seely EW, Simonson DC. Comparison of insulin sensitivity measures in South Asians. Metab. Clin. Exp. 2013 Jul 29;
- Hodson K, Man CD, Smith FE, Thelwall PE, Cobelli C, Robson SC, et al. Mechanism of insulin resistance in normal pregnancy. Horm. Metab. Res. 2013 Aug;45(8):567–571.
- 21. Palei AC, Spradley FT, Granger JP. Euglycemic Hyperinsulinemia Increases Blood Pressure in Pregnant Rats Independent of Placental Antiangiogenic and Inflammatory Factors. Am. J. Hypertens. 2013 Aug 16;
- Gaber LW, Spargo BH, Lindheimer MD. Renal pathology in pre-eclampsia. Baillieres Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 1987 Dec;1(4):971–995.
- 23. Fisher KA, Ahuja S, Luger A, Spargo BH, Lindheimer MD. Nephrotic proteinuria with preeclampsia. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 1977 Nov 15;129(6):643–646.
- 24. Hofmeyr GJ, Belfort M. Proteinuria as a predictor of complications of pre-eclampsia. BMC Medicine. 2009;7(1):11.
- 25. Culleton BF, Larson MG, Parfrey PS, Kannel WB, Levy D. Proteinuria as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease and mortality in older people: a prospective study. Am. J. Med. 2000 Jul;109(1):1–8.
- 26. Gopal N, S AM, R SA, G M, S S, Ramasamy R. Association of levels of HbA1C with triglycerides/ high density lipoprotein ration - an indicator of low density lipoprotein particle size in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Advance Laboratory Medicine International. 2012;2(3):87–95.
- Gómez-Ambrosi J, Silva C, Catalán V, Rodríguez A, Galofré JC, Escalada J, et al. Clinical usefulness of a new equation for estimating body fat. Diabetes Care. 2012 Feb;35(2):383–388.
- Leitner K, Szlauer R, Ellinger I, Ellinger A, Zimmer K-P, Fuchs R. Placental Alkaline Phosphatase Expression at the Apical and Basal Plasma Membrane in Term Villous Trophoblasts. J Histochem Cytochem. 2001 Sep 1;49(9):1155– 1164.