



A Common Fixed Point Theorem Using A-Compatible and S-Compatible Mappings

V. Srinivas*, B.V.B. Reddy* and R. Umamaheshwar Rao*

*Department of Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology, Ghatkesar, Andhra Pradesh, India.

(Received 05 March, 2013, Accepted 16 May, 2013)

ABSTRACT: The aim of this paper is to generalize common fixed point theorem proved by Bijendra singh by introducing the two types of weak reciprocally continuous mappings. The concepts of compatibility and complete metric space are replaced by two different types of weak reciprocally continuous mappings along with some weaker conditions.

Keywords: Fixed point, self maps, compatible mappings, weakly compatible, mappings, associated sequence, reciprocally continuous mappings, A-compatible mapping, S-compatible. Mapping, A-Weak reciprocally continuous mappings, S-Weak reciprocally continuous mappings,

AMS (2000) Mathematics Classification: 54H25, 47H10

I. INTRODUCTION

G. Jungck [1] introduced the concept of compatible maps which is weaker than weakly commuting maps. G.Jungck [1] generalized the concept of compatible mappings by introducing the notion of compatible mappings of type (A). Pathak extended the concept of compatibility to two analogous definitions namely A-compatible and S-compatible. After wards Jungck and Rhoades [4] defined weaker class of maps known as weakly compatible maps. Pant [2] introduced a new notion of continuity namely reciprocal continuity for a pair of self maps and proved some common fixed point theorems. Further Pant [2] *et al* introduced the concept of weak reciprocally continuity.

1.1. 1 Definitions and Preliminaries Compatible mappings: Two self maps A and S of a metric space (X,d) are said to be compatible mappings [1] if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SAx_n) = 0$, whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t \text{ for some } t \in X.$$

1.1. 2 Weakly compatible mappings: Two self maps A and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to be weakly compatible [4] if they commute at their coincidence point. i.e. if $Au = Su$ for some $u \in X$ then $ASu = SAu$.

1.1.3 Reciprocally continuous mappings: Two self maps A and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to be reciprocally continuous [2] if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = At$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = St$ when ever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

1.1.4 Weak reciprocally continuous mappings: Two self maps A and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to be Weak reciprocally continuous [11] iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = At$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = St$ when ever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

Now we define the weak reciprocally continuous mappings by introducing into two analogous definitions.

1.1.5 A-Weak reciprocally continuous mapping: Two self maps A and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to be A-Weak reciprocally continuous iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = At$ whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

1.1.6 S-Weak reciprocally continuous mapping: Two self maps A and S of a metric space (X, d) are said to be A-Weak reciprocally continuous iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = St$ when ever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

1.1.7 A-Compatible mappings

Two self maps S and T of a metric space (X, d) are A-compatible iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SSx_n) = 0$, when ever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

1.1.8 S-Compatible mappings

Two self maps S and T of a metric space (X, d) are S-compatible iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(AAx_n, SAx_n) = 0$, when ever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

It is clear that every compatible pair is weakly compatible but its converse need not be true.

Singh and Chauhan [6] proved the following theorem.

2. Theorem (A): Let A, B, S and T be self mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the following conditions

$$A(X) \subseteq T(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subseteq S(X) \tag{2.1.1}$$

$$\text{One of } A, B, S \text{ or } T \text{ is continuous} \tag{2.1.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Ax, By)]^2 \leq k_1 [d(Ax, Sx)d(By, Ty) + d(By, Sx)d(Ax, Ty)] \\ + k_2 [d(Ax, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Ty)d(By, Sx)] \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.3}$$

where $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, k_1, k_2 \geq 0$

$$\text{The pairs } (A, S) \text{ and } (B, T) \text{ are compatible on } X \tag{2.1.4}$$

$$\text{Further if } X \text{ is a complete metric space} \tag{2.1.5}$$

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Now we use definition of associated sequence [10] that plays a vital role in proving our theorem

2.1 Associated Sequence: Suppose AB,S and T are self maps of a metric space (X, d) satisfying the condition (2.1.1) Then for an arbitrary $x_0 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$ and for this point x_1 , there exist a point x_2 in X such that $Bx_1 = Sx_2$ and so on. Proceeding in the similar manner, we can define a sequence $\langle y_n \rangle$ in X such that $y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}$ for $n \geq 0$. We shall call this sequence as an “Associated sequence of x_0 ” relative to the four self maps A, B, S and T.

Now we prove a lemma which plays an important role in our main Theorem.

2.2 Lemma: Let A, B, S and T be self mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the conditions (2.1.1) and (2.1.3) Then the associated sequence $\{y_n\}$ relative to four self maps is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Proof: From the conditions (2.1.1), (2.1.3) and from the definition of associated sequence we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})]^2 &= [d(Ax_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n})]^2 \\ &\leq k_1 [d(Ax_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}) d(Bx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}) + d(Bx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1}) d(Ax_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n})] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Ax_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n+1}) d(Ax_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}) + d(Bx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}) d(Bx_{2n}, Sx_{2n+1})] \\ &= k_1 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + 0] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}) + 0] \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \leq k_1 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + k_2 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})]$$

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \leq h d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})$$

where $h = \frac{k_1 + k_2}{1 - k_2} < 1$

For every integer $p > 0$, we get

$$d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p})$$

$$\leq h^n d(y_0, y_1) + h^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + h^{n+p-1} d(y_0, y_1)$$

$$\leq (h^n + h^{n+1} + \dots + h^{n+p-1}) d(y_0, y_1)$$

$$\leq h^n (1 + h + h^2 + \dots + h^{p-1}) d(y_0, y_1)$$

Since $h < 1$, $h^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that $d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \rightarrow 0$. This shows that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and since X is a complete metric space, it converges to a limit, say $z \in X$.

The converse of the Lemma is not true, that is A, B, S and T are self maps of a metric space (X, d) satisfying (2.1.1) and (2.1.3), even if for any $x_0 \in X$ the associated sequence converges, the metric space (X, d) need not be complete. The following example establishes this.

2.3. Example: Let $X = (0,1)$ with $d(x,y) = |x - y|$

$$Ax = Bx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } 0 < x < \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad Sx = Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } 0 < x < \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} - x & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Then $A(X) = B(X) = \left\{ \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4} \right\}$ while $S(X) = T(X) = \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{4}, 0 \right] \cup \left[\frac{1}{8}, 1 \right) \right\}$ so that the conditions $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and

$B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ are satisfied. Clearly (X, d) is not a complete metric space. It is easy to prove that the associated sequence $Ax_0, Bx_1, Ax_2, Bx_3, \dots, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, \dots$, converges to the point $\frac{1}{4}$ if $\frac{1}{4} \leq x < \frac{1}{2}$, but X is not a complete metric space.

Now we generalize the above Theorem (A) in the following form.

3. MAIN THEOREM

3.1 Theorem (B): Let A, B, S and T are self maps of a metric space (X, d) satisfying the conditions

(i). $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$

(ii) $[d(Ax, By)]^2 \leq k_1 [d(Ax, Sx)d(By, Ty) + d(By, Sx)d(Ax, Ty)]$
 $+ k_2 [d(Ax, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Ty)d(By, Sx)]$

for all x, y in X where $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, k_1, k_2 \geq 0$

- (iii) The pair (A, S) is A-weak reciprocally continuous and A-compatible
or
The pair (A, S) is S- weak reciprocally continuous and S compatible and
(iv) The pair (B, T) is weakly compatible.
(v) For any $x_0 \in X$ The associated sequence relative to four self maps A ,B, S and T such that the
sequence $Ax_0, Bx_1, Ax_2, Bx_3, \dots, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, \dots$ converges to $z \in X$. as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point z in X.

Proof: Using the condition (v),

$$\text{We have } Ax_{2n} \rightarrow z, Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z, Bx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z, Sx_{2n} \rightarrow z \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \dots(3.1.1)$$

Case 1:

Since S is weak reciprocally continuous then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$

since the pair (A, S) is S compatible then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(SAx_{2n}, AAx_{2n}) = 0$ giving that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} AAx_{2n} = Sz \quad \dots(3.1.2)$$

Put $x = Ax_{2n}$ $y = x_{2n+1}$ in condition (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(AAx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(AAx_{2n}, SAx_{2n}) d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, SAx_{2n}) d(AAx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(AAx_{2n}, SAx_{2n}) d(AAx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) d(Bx_{2n+1}, SAx_{2n})] \end{aligned}$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides and using the conditions (3.1.1), (3.1.2) then we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Sz, z)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(Sz, Sz) d(z, z) + d(z, Sz) d(Sz, z)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Sz, Sz) d(Sz, z) + d(z, z) d(z, Sz)] \end{aligned}$$

$$[d(Sz, z)]^2 \leq k_1 [d(Sz, z)]^2$$

$$[d(Sz, z)]^2 (1 - k_1) \leq 0 \text{ since } 0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, k_1, k_2 \geq 0 \text{ we have}$$

$$d(Sz, z) = 0 \text{ giving that } Sz = z$$

Put $x = z$, $y = x_{2n+1}$ in condition (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Az, Bx_{2n+1})]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(Az, Sz) d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Sz) d(Az, Tx_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Az, Sz) d(Az, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) d(Bx_{2n+1}, Sz)] \end{aligned}$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides and using the conditions $Sz = z$, (3.1.1), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Az, z)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(Az, z) d(z, z) + d(z, z) d(Az, z)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Az, z) d(Az, z) + d(z, z) d(z, z)] \end{aligned}$$

$$[d(Az, z)]^2 \leq k_2 [d(Az, z)]^2$$

$$(1 - k_2) [d(Az, z)]^2 \leq 0 \text{ since } 0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, k_1, k_2 \geq 0 \text{ we have}$$

$$d(Az, z) = 0 \text{ giving that } Az = z$$

Since $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ implies there exists $u \in X$ such that $z = Az = Tu$.

To prove $Bu = z$, put $x = z$, $y = u$ in condition (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Az, Bu)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(Az, Sz)d(Bu, Tu) + d(Bu, Sz)d(Az, Tu)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Az, Sz)d(Az, Tu) + d(Bu, Tu)d(Bu, Sz)] \end{aligned}$$

Using $Az = Sz = z$, and $z = Az = Tu$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(z, Bu)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(z, z)d(Bu, z) + d(Bu, z)d(z, z)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(z, z)d(z, z) + d(Bu, z)d(Bu, z)] \end{aligned}$$

$$[d(Bu, z)]^2 \leq k_2 [d(Bu, z)]^2$$

$$(1 - k_2)[d(Bu, z)]^2 \leq 0 \text{ Since } 0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, \text{ where } k_1, k_2 \geq 0 \text{ we have}$$

$$d(Bu, z) = 0 \text{ giving that } Bu = z$$

Hence we have $Az = Sz = Bu = z$.

Since (B, T) is weakly compatible $Btu = TBu$ this implies $Bz = Tz$

Now we prove $Bz = z$

Put $x = x_{2n}$, $y = z$ in condition (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Ax_{2n}, Bz)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(Bz, Tz) + d(Bz, Sx_{2n})d(Ax_{2n}, Tz)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(Ax_{2n}, Tz) + d(Bz, Tz)d(Bz, Sx_{2n})] \end{aligned}$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides and using the conditions $Tz = Bz$, (3.1.1) then we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(z, Bz)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(z, z)d(Bz, z) + d(Bz, z)d(z, z)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(z, z)d(z, z) + d(Bz, z)d(Bz, z)] \end{aligned}$$

$$[d(Bz, z)]^2 \leq k_2 [d(Bz, z)]^2$$

$$(1 - k_2)[d(Bz, z)]^2 \leq 0 \text{ Since } 0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, \text{ where } k_1, k_2 \geq 0 \text{ we have}$$

$$d(Bz, z) = 0 \text{ giving that } Bz = z.$$

$$\text{Hence } Bz = Tz = z$$

Case2:

Since A is weakly reciprocally continuous then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_{2n} \rightarrow Az$

since the pair (A, S) is A compatible then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}) = 0$ giving that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} AAx_{2n} = Az \quad \dots(3.1.3)$$

Put $x = Sx_{2n}$ $y = x_{2n+1}$ in condition (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(ASx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}) d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, SAx_{2n}) d(ASx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(ASx_{2n}, SAx_{2n}) d(ASx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) d(Bx_{2n+1}, SSx_{2n})] \end{aligned}$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides and using the conditions (3.1.1), (3.1.3) then we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Az, z)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(Az, Az) d(z, z) + d(z, Az) d(Az, z)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Az, Az) d(Az, z) + d(z, z) d(z, Az)] \end{aligned}$$

$$[d(Az, z)]^2 \leq k_1 [d(Az, z)]^2$$

$$[d(Az, z)]^2 (1 - k_1) \leq 0 \text{ since } 0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, k_1, k_2 \geq 0 \text{ we have}$$

$d(Az, z) = 0$ giving that $Az = z$

Since $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ implies there exists $v \in X$ such that $z = Az = Tv$

Put $x = x_{2n}$, $y = u$

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Ax_{2n}, Bv)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d(Bv, Tv) + d(Bv, Sx_{2n}) d(Ax_{2n}, Tv)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d(Ax_{2n}, Tv) + d(Bv, Tv) d(Bv, Sx_{2n})] \end{aligned}$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides and using the conditions $Tv = Az = z$ and (3.1.1) then we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(z, Bv)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(z, z) d(Bv, z) + d(Bv, z) d(z, z)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(z, z) d(z, z) + d(Bv, z) d(Bv, z)] \end{aligned}$$

$$[d(Bv, z)]^2 \leq k_2 [d(Bv, z)]^2$$

$$(1 - k_2) [d(Bv, z)]^2 \leq 0 \text{ since } 0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, k_1, k_2 \geq 0 \text{ we have}$$

$[d(Bv, z)] = 0$ giving that $Bv = z$

Since (B, T) is weakly compatible implies $BTv = TBv \Rightarrow Bz = Tz$

Now we prove $Bz = z$

Put $x = x_{2n}$, $y = z$ in condition (ii) we have

$$[d(Ax_{2n}, Bz)]^2 \leq k_1 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(Bz, Tz) + d(Bz, Sx_{2n})d(Ax_{2n}, Tz)] \\ + k_2 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(Ax_{2n}, Tz) + d(Bz, Tz)d(Bz, Sx_{2n})]$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides and using the conditions $Tz = Bz$, (3.1.1) then we get

$$[d(z, Bz)]^2 \leq k_1 [d(z, z)d(Bz, z) + d(Bz, z)d(z, z)] \\ + k_2 [d(z, z)d(z, z) + d(Bz, z)d(Bz, z)]$$

$$[d(Bz, z)]^2 \leq k_2 [d(Bz, z)]^2$$

$(1 - k_2)[d(Bz, z)]^2 \leq 0$ Since $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1$, where $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$ we have

$d(Bz, z) = 0$ giving that $Bz = z$.

Hence $Bz = Tz = z$.

$B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ implies there exists $w \in X$ such that $Bz = z = Sw$.

since the pair (A, S) is A compatible then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}) = 0$ implies $d(ASw, SSw) = 0$ implies $ASw = SSw$ implies $Az = Sz = z$.

Since $Az = Bz = Sz = Tz = z$, we get z in a common fixed point of A, B, S and T . The uniqueness of the fixed point can be easily proved.

3.2. Remark: From the example given above, clearly the pair (A, S) is S weak reciprocally continuous and S compatible and (B, T) is weakly compatible as they commute at coincident points $\frac{1}{4}$. But the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are not compatible and not reciprocally continuous.

For this, take a sequence $x_n = \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 1$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = \frac{1}{6}$

also $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = \frac{1}{4}$. So that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SAx_n) \neq 0$. Also note that none of the mappings are continuous and the

rational inequality holds for the values of $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1$, where $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$. Clearly $\frac{1}{4}$ is the unique

common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

3.3 Remark: Theorem (B) is a generalization of Theorem (A) by virtue of the weaker conditions such as S -weak reciprocally continuous and S compatible in the pair (A, S) and (B, T) is weakly compatible, which are weaker conditions than compatibility of the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) assumed in theorem (A); The continuity of any one of the mappings is being dropped and the convergence of associated sequence relative to four self maps A, B, S and T in place of the complete metric space assumed in theorem (A).

REFERENCES

- [1]. G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.* **9**, (1986), 771-778.
- [2]. R.P. Pant, A Common fixed point theorem under a new condition, *Indian J. of Pure and Appl. Math.*, **30**(2), (1999), 147-152.
- [3]. G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2), *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.* **11**, (1988), 285-288.
- [4]. Jungck.G. and Rhoades. B.E. Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math.*, **29** (3), (1998), 227-238.

- [5]. Sessa. S, On weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, *Publ. Inst.Math.* **32** (46), 149-153, 1980.
- [6]. Bijendra Singh and S. Chauhan, "On common fixed points of four mappings", *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* **88**, (1998), 301-308.
- [7] . B. Fisher, Common fixed points of four mappings, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, **11**, (1983), 103.
- [8]. V.Srinivas and R.Umamaheshwar Rao, A fixed point theorem for four self maps under weakly compatible maps, *Proceeding of world congress on engineering*, vol. **2**, (WCE 2008), London, U.K.
- [9]. Umamaheshwar Rao.R and V.Srinivas, A generalization of Djoudi's common fixed point theorem "International. J. of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls.", Vol.**1**(2), (2007), 229-238.
- [10]. Umamaheshwar Rao.R ,V.Srinivas and P. Srinanth Rao, A fixed point theorem on reciprocally continuous self maps" *Indian Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, Vol.**3**, No.2, (2007),207-215.
- [11]. Pant R.P., Bisht R.K and Arora D), "Weak reciprocal continuity and fixed point theorems", *Ann Univ Ferrara (Springer)*, **57**, (2011),181-190.
- [12]. Pathak H.K and Khan M.S,"A comparison of various types of compatible maps and common fixed points " ,*Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **28**(4), (1997), 477-485.