

Narrowing the Demand and Supply Gap Through Rooftop Water Harvesting - a Case Study of Kutlehar Area in Shiwalik Hills of Lower Himalayas

H.P. Singh*, M.R. Sharma**, Quamural Hasan* and Naved Hasan*

*Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, Jamia Milia Islamia, New Delhi **Director, MIT College of Engineering and Management, Bani (Mehre) Hamirpur, (HP)

(Received 5 Jan., 2011, Accepted 10 Feb., 2011)

ABSTRACT : The biggest challenge of 21st century is to overcome shortage of water. Rainwater harvesting has thus regained its importance as a sustainable and cost effective alternative along with conventional water supply technologies. Though Himachal Government has provided piped drinking water facilities to all of its population in the state yet there are areas which face acute shortage of water during dry months. Rainwater available from roof tops of buildings goes waste. This water can be stored in a tank and can be used directly for non-drinking domestic purposes and also indirectly to recharge the aquifers. The paper analysis the rainfall pattern of Kutlehar area in Siwalik Himalayan region. The quantity of rainwater available from roof tops of 50, 100, 150, 200 and 250 square meters area is estimated. The month-wise percentage of total demand fulfilled from domestic rain water harvesting has also been calculated for different roof top areas.

Keywords : Domestic rooftop rainwater harvesting, Kutlehar area.

I. INTRODUCTION

Although water is important for survival of human being as much as food and air but hardly any attention is paid for its economical use and conservation of this precious resource. In our country the water availability per capita is declining. The per capita availability of water at the national level has reduced from about 5.177m³ in the year 1951 to the present level of 1,869m³. The prominent reasons behind are the increasing demand for water due to the increasing population and extensive use of water by agricultural sector, which continues to be the single largest consumer of water [1, 2].

Though, India is blessed with adequate rainfall as a whole, yet there are large swathes of dry and drought prone area. In many places the quality of groundwater is not good. In such places rainwater harvesting may provide the answer. Every year in summer water shortage problem is experienced in Himachal Pradesh [3]. In Shivalik hills of Himachal Pradesh people face acute water shortage problem every year in summer [4].

Rainwater harvesting

The human civilizations have flourished near the rivers and lakes. However rain is the ultimate source that feeds all these sources. The implication of rainwater harvesting is to make optimum use of rainwater at the place where it falls i.e. to conserve it without allowing it to drain away. It is an ancient technique enjoying a revival in popularity due the inherent quality of rainwater. Rainwater is valued for its purity and softness. It has nearly neutral pH and is free from impurities such as salts, minerals and other natural and man-made contaminants. Archeological evidence attests to the capture of rainwater as far back as 4,000 years ago. The concept of rainwater harvesting in China is as old as 6,000 years. Ruins of cisterns built as early as 2000 B.C for storing runoff from hillsides for agriculture and domestic purposes are still standing in Israel [5].

Need for rainwater harvesting

Due to over population and higher usage levels of water in urban areas. Water supply agencies are unable to cope up demand from available surface sources especially during summer seasons. This has led to digging of individual tube wells by house owners. Even water supply agencies have resorted to ground water sources by digging tube-wells in order to augment the water supply.

The replenishment of ground water is drastically reduced due to paving of open areas. Indiscriminate exploitation of ground water results in lowering of ground water table (GWT) and rendering many bore-wells dry. This has led to drilling of bore wells of greater depth. This further lowers the water table such frequent fluctuations in GWT results in presence of higher concentration of salts in ground water. In coastal areas, over exploitation of ground water results in seawater intrusion thereby rendering fresh ground water bodies saline [6]. In rural areas also, government policies on subsidizes power supply for agricultural pumps and piped water supply through bore and open dug wells are resulting into decline in GWT. The solution to all these problems is to replenish ground water bodies with rainwater by manmade means.

Benefits of rainwater harvesting

This rainwater has environmental advantage and purity over other water alternatives. This makes it the sustainable option, even though the precipitation cycle may fluctuate from year to year. The collection of rain water not only leads to conservation of water but also save energy since the energy input required to operate a centralized water system designed to treat and pump water over a vast service area is not required. Rainwater harvesting also lessens local erosion and flooding caused by runoff form impervious cover such as pavement and roofs, as some rain water is captured and stored. Rain water quality almost exceeds that of ground or surface water as it does not come into contact with soil and rocks where it dissolves salts and minerals and it is not exposed to many of the pollutants that often are discharged into surface waters such as rivers, and which can further contaminate groundwater. However, rainwater quality can be influenced by characteristics of area where it falls, since localized industrial emissions affect its purity. Thus, rainwater falling in non-industrialized areas can be superior to that in cities which are dominated by heavy industry or in agricultural regions where crop dusting is prevalent.

Rooftop water harvesting

Rooftop water harvesting is a process of collecting of runoff during rains from impermeable surfaces on houses or close to houses, its storage in water proof vessels and its subsequent use for the inhabitants of the houses. The use may be temporary (with in a day or so following a rainstorm), seasonal (throughout the rainy season) or permanent (throughout the year) except in years of exceptionally low rainfall. The rain water from the roof may also be used for recharging the ground water through nearby water sources such as open dug wells or bore wells. It may be achieved in the case of storing the harvested water from roof by diverting the excess water for ground water recharge and in absence of storing vessel by diverting all the water for ground water recharge. Rooftop rainwater harvesting for household purpose only represent a small part of the total water balances. In areas with significant variations in the annual rainfall pattern, the matching of water supply and water demand may be difficult. However, in terms of economic and human welfare it has a crucial role to play. Rainwater in many cases is the easiest to access, most reliable, and least polluted source. It can be collected and controlled by the individual household or community as it is not open to abuse by other users.

II. STUDY AREA

The study area consists of Bangana development block in Kutlehar constituency in Shiwalik hills. The block has a geographical area of 404.2 square kilometers and it lies between North latitude 310 24' 28.8" & 310 43' 18.3" and East longitude 760 10' 39" & 760 28' 44.4" and falls in survey of India topographical sheets on 1: 50,000 scale bearing No. 53 A/2, 53 A/6, 53 A/7. Towards north it is bounded by Kangra District & Amb Block of district Una, towards north & east by Hamirpur & Bilaspur districts and towards south-west by Una block of district Una. Physiographically the block is essentially a hilly area in the Siwalik ranges forming part of the lesser Himalayas. There are three major hill ranges in the block trending in northnorth westerly & south-south easterly direction. These ranges are the Solasinghi Dhar with maximum elevation of 1041 meters above mean sea level (msl) forming border with district Hamirpur, the Dhionsar Dhar with maximum elevation of 950 meters above mean sea level and the Ramagarh Dhar with maximum elevation of 997 meters above mean sea level. The slopes are steep which accentuate the surface runoff. There are numerous small streams (khads) in the area which are ephemeral in nature. The streams (khads / nallas) are generally running in linear fashions and the overall drainage of 1st to 3rd order with dendritic to sub parallel pattern in nature is observed in the block. Lunkhar khad forms the major river in the block flowing in north-south direction and submerges in Govind Sagar reservoir of Bakhara dam near village Dumkhar. Garni Khad, Takewali khad, Khurd khad and Barera khad all tributaries of the Soan river flowing in the south western direction have considerable catchment's area in the block. The ridges of Dhonsar Dhar and Ramgarh Dhar form the water divide between the catchment area of Soan river and Lunkhar khad. The southern slopes of these hills (dhars) are drained by the Soan river while the northern slopes form the catchment of Lunkhar khad. Out of the total 402.2 sq. km. around 250 sq. km. is drained by the Lunkhar khad. Most of the area of the block falls in the Sutlej river basin. The Siwalik foot-hill region comprising sandstone, conglomerate and silt have given rise to coarse to silty soil or silt soil or silt loam. The soil layer on rocks is shallow and is prone to easy removal by rains. However, due to short branches of streams with no precise stream bed hill slopes are prone to severe erosion during monsoon rains.

Climatically the area falls in the hot sub-humid tropical zone. The year is generally divided into three seasons i.e. hot season from March to May, rainy season from June to September and cold season from October to February. The temperature during summers is quite hot and mild during winters and varies from 40 C in winter to 440 C in summer. The relative humidity is generally higher during the monsoon period (June to September) and declines sharply after September. The major part of the rainfall in the study area is received during the monsoon season. Pre-monsoon and Post-monsoon showers are experienced in the area but are of erratic nature and the quantum received is low. The average annual rainfall recorded at rain gauge station Bangana from 1991-2008 is around 1338 millimeter while the average monsoon rainfall of the corresponding period is around 1010 millimeter. The average number of rainy days for the last seven years is around 53 days [7].

Geologically most of the area of the block falls in the Siwalik group of rocks. The area on the north western margin of the block comprises of alluvium deposits. This area is facing huge scarcity of water during dry months every year.

Rainfall data for Kutlehar Area

A rain gauge station is located in the central part of the study area at Bangana. The daily rainfall records from 1991 to 2008 are available and used for the purpose of analysis (Table -1).

Table 1: Monthly rainfall data of Bangana area in District Una

Source: - 1. DC Office UNA

| | Unit: MM | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|---------|
| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| 1991 | 2.10 | 70.20 | 34.60 | 10.10 | 2.00 | 30.30 | 172.10 | 426.50 | 267.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 46.30 | 1061.40 |
| 1992 | 98.10 | 70.60 | 55.10 | 0.00 | 45.20 | 104.00 | 338.00 | 770.80 | 61.00 | 2.50 | 24.60 | 0.00 | 1569.90 |
| 1993 | 27.40 | 7.30 | 34.20 | 0.00 | 5.40 | 43.10 | 476.30 | 43.30 | 91.20 | 0.00 | 3.50 | 0.00 | 731.70 |
| 1994 | 39.70 | 135.20 | 2.20 | 42.40 | 27.80 | 71.20 | 1369.10 | 421.10 | 218.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.10 | 2339.40 |
| 1995 | 75.40 | 164.50 | 76.00 | 84.50 | 0.00 | 101.10 | 812.60 | 761.50 | 384.00 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 2460.00 |
| 1996 | 34.50 | 129.20 | 30.00 | 13.70 | 11.30 | 134.60 | 586.10 | 547.60 | 88.90 | 113.00 | 0.00 | 2.10 | 1691.00 |
| 1997 | 35.20 | 17.80 | 16.20 | 35.80 | 25.90 | 47.20 | 192.60 | 644.10 | 226.60 | 12.90 | 28.60 | 66.90 | 1349.80 |
| 1998 | 10.00 | 93.90 | 64.20 | 21.10 | 6.80 | 106.40 | 383.20 | 406.40 | 282.30 | 68.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1442.50 |
| 1999 | 47.60 | 0.40 | 18.06 | 13.00 | 63.00 | 175.00 | 338.08 | 426.58 | 124.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1206.50 |
| 2000 | 63.00 | 6.03 | 24.00 | 6.08 | 70.06 | 100.06 | 403.03 | 240.02 | 123.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1035.32 |
| 2001 | 33.02 | 5.00 | 34.02 | 97.06 | 55.04 | 129.03 | 323.06 | 448.02 | 42.20 | 0.00 | 22.10 | 16.30 | 1204.85 |
| 2002 | 26.70 | 25.00 | 41.40 | 52.20 | 34.30 | 38.70 | 223.00 | 568.00 | 116.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1125.80 |
| 2003 | 77.20 | 101.00 | 93.20 | 13.00 | 0.00 | 84.00 | 552.00 | 235.00 | 366.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 1531.40 |
| 2004 | 121.00 | 15.00 | 0.00 | 47.00 | 12.00 | 167.00 | 365.00 | 199.00 | 139.00 | 155.00 | 5.00 | 27.00 | 1252.00 |
| 2005 | 65.00 | 142.00 | 23.00 | 4.00 | 70.00 | 41.00 | 292.00 | 78.00 | 52.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 767.00 |
| 2006 | 41.00 | 0.00 | 121.00 | 0.00 | 81.00 | 187.00 | 333.00 | 353.30 | 112.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 2.92 | 1247.22 |
| 2007 | 0.00 | 81.80 | 130.80 | 20.40 | 25.20 | 48.80 | 225.00 | 386.00 | 51.60 | 4.20 | 0.00 | 23.00 | 996.80 |
| 2008 | 24.80 | 14.20 | 0.00 | 20.40 | 12.20 | 246.60 | 181.20 | 394.60 | 152.20 | 25.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1072.00 |
| Monthly | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Monthly

average

59.95 44.2671 30.40 103.06 420.30 408.32 161.08 21.64

45.65 59.95 4

Around 50 houses having different rooftop area are surveyed. The DRWH potential for different sizes of rooftop is estimated.

The estimation of the size of the water tanks to fulfill drinking and cooking water demand @ 8.00 LPCD through DRWH from rooftop of different areas are done. The total demand of water for a household of 5 persons at the rate 70 liters per capita per day has also been estimated. The estimation of water to fulfill the drinking and cooking water demand @ 8.00 LPCD has been made. The month wise status of quantity of water available for use is estimated. A sample calculation for 50 m², 100 m², 150 m², 200 m² and 250 m² rooftop area is shown in Table-2 to Table 6.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The study has shown that the discharge of most of the water supply schemes, get reduced during dry months. All drinking water sources fall in the lower Shiwaliks. The Shiwaliks are the recent deposits constituting the main geological formations. They comprises conglomerate, friable micaceous sandstone, siltstone and claystone. Water holding capacity of the soils is low. Soils are susceptible to excessive soil erosion and landslides due to water. Due to irregular, undulating topography, shallow depth, steep slopes, coarse texture, poor soil structure, scanty vegetative cover and erratic rainfall, during dry periods the soil profile dries up quickly on account of evaporation and transpiration. The crops experience drought like conditions and consequently the crop yields and discharge of water sources are affected adversely. The discharge of the springs and other sources gets reduced considerably during summers. There are no surface water sources nearby and the water has to be pumped from faraway places by incurring huge expenditures. The ground water is also not available at a low depth. Thus the Rooftop rainwater harvesting can be a cheap and viable alternative.

10.92

1338.03

5.68

It has been observed that the size of roof top of houses in the area varies from around 50 square meters to 230 square meters. As the non-monsoon rainfall is almost negligible in the area, hence it is not feasible to fulfill the gross water demand throughout the year from DRWH without large storage. At the same time average number of days of rainfall is only 53 days which is another constraint. Even during the monsoon period this demand cannot be met from rooftop area less than 125 m². It is evident from Table-7 that the gross water demand for four monsoon months can be met from roof top area 125 m² and above. The surplus water can be used for ground water recharge.

The study has revealed that October, November, December, March, April and May are the most critical months. During the study it was also observed that in rural areas almost every house has a cowshed. The area of the cowshed varies from 50 to 80 square meters. This roof area can be utilized as additional catchment for rainwater harvesting. The harvested water can be stored near the cowshed itself and can be utilized to meet the demand of cattle. The extra water available during rainy season can be stored in polythene lined tanks and utilized for kitchen gardening and car washing etc..

 Table 2: Estimation of Water Tank Capacity to fulfill the Drinking & Cooking Water Demand by DRWH from run off of 50 Sqm

| Months | Days Rainfa | Average Il Cooking | Drinking Demand water Demand 8 litre/day person fo persons | Cumulative Demand @ @ // r 5 | Overall overall 70 LPCD | Cumulative DRWH demand | Rooftop Area Cumulative Vol liters | a = 50.0 Sq.1 DKWH liters | n Runoff liters | Co-efficien liters | nt = 0.85 (8) - (4) (9) - (5)% of Total can be met |
|--------|----------------|-----------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 14 |
| June | 30 | 103.06 | 1200 | 1200 | 10500 | 10500 | 4380 | 4380 | 3180 | 3180 | 41.71 |
| July | 31 | 420.3 | 1240 | 2440 | 10850 | 21350 | 17863 | 22243 | 16623 | 19803 | 164.63 |
| Aug | 31 | 408.32 | 1240 | 3680 | 10850 | 32200 | 17354 | 39596 | 16114 | 35916 | 159.94 |
| Sept | 30 | 161.06 | 1200 | 4880 | 10500 | 42700 | 6845 | 46441 | 5645 | 41561 | 65.19 |
| Oct | 31 | 21.64 | 1240 | 6120 | 10850 | 53550 | 920 | 47361 | -320 | 41241 | 8.48 |
| Nov | 30 | 5.68 | 1200 | 7320 | 10500 | 64050 | 241 | 47603 | -959 | 40283 | 2.30 |
| Dec | 31 | 10.92 | 1240 | 8560 | 10850 | 74900 | 464 | 48067 | -776 | 39507 | 4.28 |
| Jan | 31 | 45.65 | 1240 | 9800 | 10850 | 85750 | 1940 | 50007 | 700 | 40207 | 17.88 |
| Feb | 28 | 59.95 | 1120 | 10920 | 9800 | 95550 | 2548 | 52555 | 1428 | 41635 | 26.00 |
| Mar | 31 | 44.33 | 1240 | 12160 | 10850 | 106400 | 1884 | 54439 | 644 | 42279 | 17.36 |
| Apr | 30 | 26.71 | 1200 | 13360 | 10500 | 116900 | 1135 | 55574 | -65 | 42214 | 10.81 |
| May | 31 | 30.4 | 1240 | 14600 | 10850 | 127750 | 1292 | 56866 | 52 | 42266 | 11.91 |

Table 3: Estimation of Water Tank Capacity to fulfill the Drinking & Cooking Water Demand by DRWH from runoff of 100 Sqm.

| Months | Days Rainfal | Average Il Cooking | Drinking Demand water Demand 8 litre/day person for persons | Cumulative Demand @ / · 5 | Overall overall 70 LPCD | Cumulative DRWH demand | Rooftop Area Cumulative Vol liters | a = 50.0 Sq.n DKWH liters | n Runoff | Co-efficien liters | t = 0.85 (8) - (4) (9) - (5)% of Total can be met |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 14 |
| June | 30 | 103.06 | 1200 | 1200 | 10500 | 10500 | 8760 | 8760 | 7560 | 7560 | 83.43 |
| July | 31 | 420.3 | 1240 | 2440 | 10850 | 21350 | 35726 | 44486 | 34486 | 42046 | 329.27 |
| Aug | 31 | 408.32 | 1240 | 3680 | 10850 | 32200 | 34707 | 79193 | 33467 | 75513 | 319.88 |
| Sept | 30 | 161.06 | 1200 | 4880 | 10500 | 42700 | 13690 | 92883 | 12490 | 88003 | 130.38 |
| Oct | 31 | 21.64 | 1240 | 6120 | 10850 | 53550 | 1839 | 94722 | 599 | 88602 | 16.95 |
| Nov | 30 | 5.68 | 1200 | 7320 | 10500 | 64050 | 483 | 95205 | -717 | 87885 | 4.60 |
| Dec | 31 | 10.92 | 1240 | 8560 | 10850 | 74900 | 928 | 96133 | -312 | 87573 | 8.55 |
| Jan | 31 | 45.65 | 1240 | 9800 | 10850 | 85750 | 3880 | 100013 | 2640 | 90213 | 35.76 |
| Feb | 28 | 59.95 | 1120 | 10920 | 9800 | 95550 | 5096 | 105109 | 3976 | 94189 | 52.00 |
| Mar | 31 | 44.33 | 1240 | 12160 | 10850 | 106400 | 3768 | 108877 | 2528 | 96717 | 34.73 |
| Apr | 30 | 26.71 | 1200 | 13360 | 10500 | 116900 | 2270 | 111148 | 1070 | 97788 | 21.62 |
| May | 31 | 30.4 | 1240 | 14600 | 10850 | 127750 | 2584 | 113732 | 1344 | 99132 | 23.82 |

| Table 4: Estimation of Water 7 | Tank Capacity | y to fulfill t | he Drinking | & Cooking | Water | Demand b | y DRWH | from run | 1- | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|-------|----------|--------|----------|----|--|--|
| off of 150 Sa.m | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Months | Days Rainfal | Average l Cooking | Drinking Demand water Demand 8 litre/day person for persons | Cumulative Demand @ @ / r 5 | Overall overall 70 LPCD | Cumulative DRWH demand | Rooftop Area Cumulative Vol liters | a = 50.0 Sq.n DKWH liters | n Runoff liters | Co-efficien liters | t = 0.85 (8) - (4) (9) - (5)% of Total can be met |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 14 |
| June | 30 | 103.06 | 1200 | 1200 | 10500 | 10500 | 13140 | 0 | 11940 | -1200 | 125.14 |
| July | 31 | 420.3 | 1240 | 2440 | 10850 | 21350 | 53588 | 53588 | 52348 | 51148 | 493.90 |
| Aug | 31 | 408.32 | 1240 | 3680 | 10850 | 32200 | 52061 | 105649 | 50821 | 101969 | 479.82 |
| Sept | 30 | 161.06 | 1200 | 4880 | 10500 | 42700 | 20535 | 126184 | 19335 | 121304 | 195.57 |
| Oct | 31 | 21.64 | 1240 | 6120 | 10850 | 53550 | 2759 | 128943 | 1519 | 122823 | 25.43 |
| Nov | 30 | 5.68 | 1200 | 7320 | 10500 | 64050 | 724 | 129668 | -476 | 122348 | 6.90 |
| Dec | 31 | 10.92 | 1240 | 8560 | 10850 | 74900 | 1392 | 131060 | 152 | 122500 | 12.83 |
| Jan | 31 | 45.65 | 1240 | 9800 | 10850 | 85750 | 5820 | 136880 | 4580 | 127080 | 53.64 |
| Feb | 28 | 59.95 | 1120 | 10920 | 9800 | 95550 | 7644 | 144524 | 6524 | 133604 | 78.00 |
| Mar | 31 | 44.33 | 1240 | 12160 | 10850 | 106400 | 5652 | 150176 | 4412 | 138016 | 52.09 |
| Apr | 30 | 26.71 | 1200 | 13360 | 10500 | 116900 | 3406 | 153581 | 2206 | 140221 | 32.43 |
| May | 31 | 30.4 | 1240 | 14600 | 10850 | 127750 | 3876 | 157457 | 2636 | 142857 | 35.72 |

Table 5: Estimation of Water Tank Capacity to fulfill the Drinking & Cooking Water Demand by DRWH from run off of 200 Sqm

| Months | Days Rainfa | Average Il Cooking | Drinking Demand water Demand 8 litre/day person for persons | Cumulative Demand @ @ / r 5 | Overall overall 70 LPCD | Cumulative DRWH demand | Rooftop Area Cumulative Vol liters | ı = 50.0 Sq.n DKWH liters | n Runoff liters | Co-efficien liters | t = 0.85 (8) - (4) (9) - (5)% of Total can be met |
|--------|----------------|-----------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 14 |
| June | 30 | 103.06 | 1200 | 1200 | 10500 | 10500 | 17520 | 0 | 16320 | -1200 | 166.86 |
| July | 31 | 420.3 | 1240 | 2440 | 10850 | 21350 | 71451 | 71451 | 70211 | 69011 | 658.53 |
| Aug | 31 | 408.32 | 1240 | 3680 | 10850 | 32200 | 69414 | 140865 | 68174 | 137185 | 639.76 |
| Sept | 30 | 161.06 | 1200 | 4880 | 10500 | 42700 | 27380 | 168246 | 26180 | 163366 | 260.76 |
| Oct | 31 | 21.64 | 1240 | 6120 | 10850 | 53550 | 3679 | 171924 | 2439 | 165804 | 33.91 |
| Nov | 30 | 5.68 | 1200 | 7320 | 10500 | 64050 | 966 | 172890 | -234 | 165570 | 9.20 |
| Dec | 31 | 10.92 | 1240 | 8560 | 10850 | 74900 | 1856 | 174746 | 616 | 166186 | 17.11 |
| Jan | 31 | 45.65 | 1240 | 9800 | 10850 | 85750 | 7761 | 182507 | 6521 | 172707 | 71.53 |
| Feb | 28 | 59.95 | 1120 | 10920 | 9800 | 95550 | 10192 | 192698 | 9072 | 181778 | 103.99 |
| Mar | 31 | 44.33 | 1240 | 12160 | 10850 | 106400 | 7536 | 200235 | 6296 | 188075 | 69.46 |
| Apr | 30 | 26.71 | 1200 | 13360 | 10500 | 116900 | 4541 | 204775 | 3341 | 191415 | 43.24 |
| May | 31 | 30.4 | 1240 | 14600 | 10850 | 127750 | 5168 | 209943 | 3928 | 195343 | 47.63 |

| Table 6: | Estimation of | f Water | Tank | Capacity | to | fulfill | the | Drinking | and | Cooking | Water | Demand | by | DRWH | from | run |
|----------|---------------|---------|------|----------|----|---------|------|----------|-----|---------|-------|--------|----|------|------|-----|
| | | | | | | off | of 2 | 250 Sam. | | | | | | | | |

| Months | Days | Average Rainfall | Drinking Cooking water Demand 8 litre/day person fo persons | Cumulative Demand @ / r 5 | Overall Demand @ 70 LPCD | Cumulative overall demand | Rooftop Area DRWH Vol liters | e = 50.0 Sq.n Cumulative DKWH liters | n Runoff | Co-efficien liters | t = 0.85 (8) - (4) (9) - (5)% of Total can be met |
|--------|------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------|-----------------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 14 |
| June | 30 | 103.06 | 1200 | 1200 | 10500 | 10500 | 21900 | 0 | 20700 | -1200 | 208.57 |
| July | 31 | 420.3 | 1240 | 2440 | 10850 | 21350 | 89314 | 89314 | 88074 | 86874 | 823.17 |
| Aug | 31 | 408.32 | 1240 | 3680 | 10850 | 32200 | 86768 | 176082 | 85528 | 172402 | 799.71 |
| Sept | 30 | 161.06 | 1200 | 4880 | 10500 | 42700 | 34225 | 210307 | 33025 | 205427 | 325.95 |
| Oct | 31 | 21.64 | 1240 | 6120 | 10850 | 53550 | 4599 | 214906 | 3359 | 208786 | 42.38 |
| Nov | 30 | 5.68 | 1200 | 7320 | 10500 | 64050 | 1207 | 216113 | 7 | 208793 | 11.50 |
| Dec | 31 | 10.92 | 1240 | 8560 | 10850 | 74900 | 2321 | 218433 | 1081 | 209873 | 21.39 |
| Jan | 31 | 45.65 | 1240 | 9800 | 10850 | 85750 | 9701 | 228134 | 8461 | 218334 | 89.41 |
| Feb | 28 | 59.95 | 1120 | 10920 | 9800 | 95550 | 12739 | 240873 | 11619 | 229953 | 129.99 |
| Mar | 31 | 44.33 | 1240 | 12160 | 10850 | 106400 | 9420 | 250293 | 8180 | 238133 | 86.82 |
| Apr | 30 | 26.71 | 1200 | 13360 | 10500 | 116900 | 5676 | 255969 | 4476 | 242609 | 54.06 |
| May | 31 | 30.4 | 1240 | 14600 | 10850 | 127750 | 6460 | 262429 | 5220 | 247829 | 59.54 |

 Table 7: Percent of water demand fulfilled by DRWH

 from different rooftop area.

| | Roof top area in Sq. m | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Month | 50 % of to | 100 tal demar | 150 1d fulfille | 200 d from D | 250 RWH | | | | | | | | |
| June | 41.71 | 83.43 | 125.4 | 166.86 | 208.57 | | | | | | | | |
| July | 164.63 | 329.27 | 493.9 | 658.53 | 823.17 | | | | | | | | |
| Aug | 159.94 | 319.88 | 479.82 | 639.76 | 799.71 | | | | | | | | |
| Sept | 65.19 | 130.38 | 195.57 | 260.76 | 325.25 | | | | | | | | |
| Oct | 8.48 | 16.95 | 25.43 | 33.91 | 42.38 | | | | | | | | |
| Nov | 2.30 | 4.6 | 6.9 | 9.2 | 11.50 | | | | | | | | |
| Dec | 4.28 | 8.55 | 12.83 | 17.11 | 21.39 | | | | | | | | |
| Jan | 17.88 | 35.76 | 53.64 | 71.53 | 89.41 | | | | | | | | |
| Feb | 26.00 | 52 | 78 | 103.99 | 129.99 | | | | | | | | |
| Mar | 17.36 | 34.73 | 52.09 | 69.4 | 86.82 | | | | | | | | |
| Apr | 10.81 | 21.62 | 32.43 | 43.24 | 54.06 | | | | | | | | |
| May | 11.91 | 23.82 | 35.72 | 47.6 | 59.54 | | | | | | | | |

Limitations of the study and Future Scope of Study

The study covers only the Kutlehar area of the lower Himalayas. A study covering more area is required. The size and costs of water tanks for different areas having different rainfall may be estimated. All calculations are based on mean rainfall however the calculations based on median rainfall can give more realistic output. The rainfall intensity should also be taken into consideration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to I & PH department, HP Govt for providing the rainfall and other records for the study.

REFERENCE

- [1] BIS-1742-1983, Sizing of RW pipes for Roof Drainage, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- [2] CGWB, 2000, Guide on artificial recharge to ground water, Central Ground Water Board, Ministry of Water Resources, GOI, New Delhi.
- [3] Singh, H.P., Sharma, M.R., Hasan Quamural, Ahsan Naved, (2010) "Impact of Drought on Drinking water Resources of Himachal Pradesh"", Biological Forum, An International Journal, New Delhi, ISSN:0975-1130-Vol. No. 2(1): 73-77 (2010).
- Singh, H.P., Sharma, M.R., Hasan Quamural, Ahsan Naved, (2010) Potential of 'Rain Water Harvesting in Himachal Pradesh', An International Journal of Nature Environment & Pollution Technology, Vol..9 (4):837-842.
- [5] IE-NLC, 2006, Rainwater harvesting & water Management, Proceedings of 22nd National Convention of Environmental Engineers, 11-12 Nov., 2006.
- [6] LRHF 2001, 'A report on Domestic Rooftop Water Harvesting and Water security in Humid tropics', Lanka Rainwater Harvesting forum, Sri Lanka.
- [7] HP Govt., 2008, 'Rainfall Data', Deputy Commissioner, Una, HP.