

International Journal of Mathematics And Its Applications

Vol.2 No.3 (2014), pp.73-83.

ISSN: 2347-1557(online)

A Study on Status of Mathematical Education at Degree Colleges in West Bengal

Sk. Samsul Alam<sup>†</sup>, <sup>1</sup>and Partha Karmakar<sup>‡</sup>,

 $^\dagger S$ ilut Basantapur High School, Sahapur Basantapur, West Bengal, India.

samsulalam\_s@yahoo.in

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bethune College, Kolkata, India.

partha\_math72@yahoo.co.in

**Abstract**: In this paper, an attempt has been made to study status of mathematical education at degree level in West Bengal, India. For this study, descriptive research design- normative survey research method has been applied on four degree colleges including one women's college under different universities of West Bengal. The findings of the analysis of survey data have been presented.

**Keywords :** Mathematical education, major subject, degree colleges, West Bengal, survey research, present status.

1 Introduction

In our older concept, knowledge of higher mathematics is required for studying physics. But, in the last few decades there has been a revolution in respect of applications of higher mathematics in the development of other subjects. Presently it is hard to find out a subject where the applications of mathematical

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author E-Mail: samsulalam\_s@yahoo.in (Sk. Samsul Alam)

AMS Subject Classification: 97-02, 97C99, 97D60.

concepts are not required. So teaching-learning of this subject is very important in respect to research and development of a country. Generally higher education starts at the college level. Here the subject mathematics is offered in two ways: one as an allied subject or a minor subject and the other as a major subject or honours subject. Mathematics as a minor subject naturally fulfills the purpose as a service subject and mathematics as a major is meant for the deeper understanding of the subject leading to the creations of man power who will be involved in teaching and research in the subject.

The fact that India has become the third largest country in higher education system in the world (after China and the USA) suggests that there is a great role of mathematics involved in the process (Ramanujam, R) [1]. In this regard, Curriculum Development Committee (2001) of University Grants Commission updated/framed the model curriculum of mathematics at the undergraduate and post graduate levels [2]. However, no significant study on the status of mathematical education at college level is found.

In this paper, the researchers have tried to get some idea of present status of mathematics education at the under graduate level through data analysis of four colleges in West Bengal.

## 1.1 Objective

To study present status of mathematics education at undergraduate level of four colleges in West Bengal.

# 2 Methodology

In present study Normative Survey Research Method was adopted.

### Population:

The population of the present study comprises of all degree colleges where the students studied Mathematics Honours in West Bengal.

### Sample:

For the present study, four (4) departments of mathematics of four degree colleges including one women's college under two state universities of West Bengal were selected as an incidental sample.

#### Tool:

Tool for the present study was prepared by the researchers. Tool (Please see: Annexure-A) was used for the present study to collect data from the sample degree colleges.

#### **Data Collection:**

Filled up survey research forms for the study of the present status of Mathematics Education were collected by one of the researchers from four colleges. Here the data of the each survey research form are the data for the present study.

## 3 Data Analysis and Major Findings

#### **Profile of Teachers:**

The details according to age groups of teachers and percentage of male and female of each age group are shown in Table-1.

Table-1: Age of Teachers (In percentage)

rable 1. Tigo of Teachers (in percentage)									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
S. No.	Age	No. of	Teachers	3			Remarks		
		A	В	С	D	Total			
1	Upto 30	3	2	3	_	8	40% were male and		
							13.3%were female		
2	31-35	_	_	_	_	_	0% was male and $0%$		
							was female		
3	36-40	_	_	_	_	_	0% was male and $0%$		
							was female		
4	41-45	_	1	1	_	2	13.3% was male and		
							0% was female		
5	46-50	_	_	_	_	_	0% was male and $0~%$		
							was female		
6	51-55	_	2	1	_	3	13.3% were male and		
							6.6%were female		
7	56-60	_	_	_	2	2	6.6% were male and		
							6.6%were female		

Table-1 reveals that there are no. of teachers 8, 2, 3 and 2 which belong in the age groups upto 30, 41-45, 51-55, 56-60. More than 50% of teachers are in upto 30 age group. They are all guest teachers and part time teachers. Here no. of female teacher is less than the no. of male teacher.

The highest qualification and professional qualification of the teachers and their different types and numbers and number of approved post of mathematics department of four colleges are given in Table-2.

Table-2: Educational and professional qualifications of different types of existing teachers of mathematics departments of four colleges

	T	T			1	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
College	Total no.	Highest	Male	Female	Total	Remarks
	of approved	Qualifi-	(Type of	(Type		
	post	cation	teacher)	of		
				teacher)		
A	3	Ph.D.	_	_	_	One male
		M.Phil.	_	_	_	teacher has
		M.Sc.	1(GT)	2(GT)	3	gate & one
						female teacher
						has B.Ed
В	4	Ph.D.	1(AOP)	1(AOP)	2	
		M.Phil.	_	_	_	
		M.Sc.	3(ATP-1+	_	3	
			PTT-2)			
C	4	Ph.D.	2(AOP-1+	_	2	One has B.Ed,
			ATP-1)			M.Phil & one
		M.Phil.	_	_	_	has SLET
		M.Sc.	3(GT)	_	3	One has B.Ed.
D	2	Ph.D.	1(AOP)	1(AOP)	2	
		M.Phil.	_	_	_	
		M.Sc.	_	_	_	
Total	13		11	4	15	

 $Here,\ AOP = Associate\ Professor,\ ATP = Assistant\ Professor,\ GT = Guest\ Teacher,\ PTT = Part\ Time$  Teacher

It is clear from Table-2 that no. of approved permanent teacher in the departments of mathematics of four colleges is 7 where as no. of approved post is 13. On the other hand, 6 guest teachers and 2 part time teachers are appointed in the departments except the department of college D. It is important that there is no permanent teacher in the college A. Only 40% teachers have Ph.D. Degree. There is no Ph.D. Degree holder teacher in College A.

**Profile of Students:** The students' enrolment in mathematics (honours) categorically in the departments of mathematics of four colleges in 2012 is presented in Table-3.

Table-3: Students' enrolment in mathematics (honours) in 2012

G 11	1	enronnent			`			
College	Category	$1^{st}$ Year	I	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year		3 <sup>rd</sup> Year		Remarks
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
A	General	06	01	06	02	04	01	1male
	SC	04	01	04	01	02	_	general
	ST	_	_	_	_	_	_	student
	OBC	04	_	06	01	01	_	is PH
	Total	14	02	16	04	07	01	
В	General	25	03	24	01	20	01	
	SC	05	01	01	_	_	_	
	ST	_	_	_	_	_	_	
	OBC	_	_	_	_	_	_	
	Total	30	04	25	01	20	01	
С	General	48	08	39	19	27	11	
	SC	08	_	07	02	04	_	
	ST	_	_	_	_	_	_	
	OBC	06	_	03	01	_	_	
	Total	62	08	49	22	31	11	
D	General	_	05	_	08	_	21	
	SC	_	_	_	03	_	_	
	ST	_	_	_	_	_	_	
	OBC	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Total		_	05	_	11	_	21	

Table-3 reveals that no ST male and female students took admission in mathematics (honours). There were no students of OBC category who took admission in the departments of mathematics of college B and D. It is important that the yearly rate of admission were decreasing in the department of College D i.e. Women's College. There were 345 students (male-254 &female-91) in mathematics (honours) in four colleges in 2012. Only one student was physically handicapped.

#### Profile of Students' Status:

The intake capacity, students' enrollment, students' appearance in the examination and their performance are presented in Table-4.

12	No. of	Stu-	dents	quali-	fied in	math	honours	Part III	in 2012					03	16	35	4	58
11	Total	no. of	stu-	dents	ap-	peared	in the	-iun	versity	Part-III	math	honours	in 2012	90	25	49	5	85
10	Total	no. of	stu-	dents	admit-	ted in	math	honours	$3^{rd}$ year	in 2011				20	33	49	11	100
6	No. of	Stu-	dents	quali-	fied in	math	honours	Part II	in 2011					20	25	44	8	84
8	Total	no. of	stu-	dents	ap-	peared	in the	uni-	versity	Part-II	math	honours	in 2011	80	28	64	11	111
7	Total	no. of	stu-	dents	admit-	ted in	math	honours	$2^{nd}$ year	in 2010				60	33	64	11	117
9	No. of	Stu-	dents	quali-	fied in	math.	honours	Part I	in 2010	who did	not pass	in Pass	subjects	8	3	4	5	20
5	No. of	Students	qualified in	math hon-	ours Part	I in 2010	who passed	in Pass	subjects					01	20	42	9	69
4	Total	no. of	students	appeared	in the	university	Part-1	math	honours	in 2010				33	33	64	13	143
3	Total	no. of	stu-	dents	admit-	ted in	2009							57	50	73	15	195
2	In take	capacity	(2009)											09	50	80	20	210
1	College													A	В	C	D	Total
	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12           In take         Total         No. of         No. of         Total         No. of         Total         Total         No. of         Total         No.	2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12           In take         Total         Total         No. of         No. of         Total         No. of         Total         No. of         No. of         No. of         Stu-	2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12           In take         Total         No. of         No. of         No. of         Total         No. of         Total         No. of         No. of         No. of         Stu-         No. of         Stu-         Stu-	2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12           In take         Total         Total         No. of         No. of         Total         No. of         Total         No. of         No. of         Stu-         No. of         Stu-         No. of         Stu-         Stu-	1         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         10         11         12           In take         Total         Total         No. of and	1         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12           1 <td>In take         Total         No. of         No. of         Total         No. of         No. of</td> <td>Lin take         Total         No.         of         Total         Total         No.         of         Total         Total</td> <td>1         1</td> <td>1         1</td> <td>1         1</td> <td>2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12           In take         Total         Total         No. of         No. of         Total         Total         No. of         Total         No. of         Total         No. of         Total         No. of         <t< td=""><td>2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12           In take         Total         Total         No. of         No. of         Total         No. of         <t< td=""><td>  1</td><td>line         1<td>  1</td><td>  1</td></td></t<></td></t<></td>	In take         Total         No. of         No. of         Total         No. of         No. of	Lin take         Total         No.         of         Total         Total         No.         of         Total         Total	1         1	1         1	1         1	2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12           In take         Total         Total         No. of         No. of         Total         Total         No. of         Total         No. of         Total         No. of         Total         No. of         No. of <t< td=""><td>2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12           In take         Total         Total         No. of         No. of         Total         No. of         <t< td=""><td>  1</td><td>line         1<td>  1</td><td>  1</td></td></t<></td></t<>	2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12           In take         Total         Total         No. of         No. of         Total         No. of         No. of <t< td=""><td>  1</td><td>line         1<td>  1</td><td>  1</td></td></t<>	1	line         1 <td>  1</td> <td>  1</td>	1	1

It is clear from Table-4, in 2009, total 15(210-195) seats were vacant, 52(195-143) were not appeared in part-I examination 2010. 48.25% students qualified in mathematics (honours) part-I in 2010 who passed in pass subjects and 13.98% students qualified who did not pass in pass subjects. In part-II in 2011, percentage of qualified students in respect of appeared students was 75.67%. Percentage of qualified students in respect of appeared students was 68.23% in Part-III, 2012. The rate of successful as well as qualified students in respect of intake capacity, admission and appearance in Part-I were 27.61%, 29.74% and 40.55% respectively. The rate of pass in mathematics (honours) of college A, B, C and D in respect of their admissions were 5.26%, 32%, 47.94% and 26.66% respectively. These results express that the students' achievement at degree level is poor. Only the students of college C performed the better result.

## 4 Conclusion

- 1. Deficiency of the approved permanent teacher is indicated as a problem for smooth running the department. The students' achievement of the department of mathematics of college A, which is run by the guest teacher, is very poor. So it may be concluded that the permanent teaching staff is essential in the departments.
- 2. It has been shown that only 2 permanent teachers took classes in the mathematics department of college D. The students' performance of that department was improved than that of college A but not much satisfactory. This shows that 2 permanent teachers are not sufficient for taking class load of teaching under graduate mathematics. So, sufficient number of teacher is a must for mathematics department.
- 3. No ST student was admitted in the departments. In general, the rate of admission of female students was low. Specially, in Women's College C, the rate of admission of the students was in decreasing order. This tendency is harmful for mathematics education.
- 4. The students' achievements in mathematics are not satisfactory in four colleges. Only the college C performs relatively better.

#### Further Research:

- 1. This study may be extended to large number of samples covering different universities of India.
- 2. Finding the causes of failure of students in mathematics education at degree level in detail and suggesting the proper direction to eradicate the cause of failure.

## 5 Acknowledgement

We are thankful to Prof. S. K. Samanta, Department of Mathematics, Visva-Bharati Central University, India for his kind help to make this paper.

## References

- [1] R.Ramanujam, Mathematics Education in India-An Overview, Available at http://nime.hbcse.tifr.res.in/articles/01\_Ramanujam.pdf.
- [2] UGC Model Curriculan Mathematics, University Grants Commission, New Delhi, December 2001.

This paper has partially been presented in the National Workshop on Mathematics Teaching under Semester System at Under Graduate Level: Perspectives & Strategies held at Centre for Mathematics Education, Institute of Science, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, West Bengal, India on March 1-2, 2014

## Appendix-A

Survey Research Form

Name of the College :
Year of Establishment of the College
Address of the College:
Affiliated University:

## Faculty Status (Department of Mathematics)

Year of Establishment of Mathematics Hons. In the College:

- 1. Total No. of approved posts in the dept. of Mathematics:
- 2. Total No. of existing present teachers in the department of Mathematics:

Sl.	Name	Designation	Date	Age on	Male/	Highest	Extra	Teaching
No.	of the	Asstistant Profes-	of	1.1.2013	Female	Qualifi-	Qualifi-	Experi-
	teacher	sor/ Asso. Prof./	Birth			cation	cation	ence
		PTT/ CWTT/						
		Guest Teacher/						
		Superannuated						
		Teacher						

Total No. of students in Math Hons.  $1^{st}$  year in 2012:

Male Stu-	Female Students	Total Stu-
dents		dents
General:	General:	General:
S.C.	S.C.	S.C.
S.T.	S.T.	S.T.
O.B.C.	O.B.C.	O.B.C.
PH	PH	PH
Total:	Total:	Total:

Total No. of students of  $2^{nd}$  year in 2012:

Male Stu-	Female Students	Total Stu-		
dents		dents		
General:	General:	General:		
S.C.	S.C.	S.C.		
S.T.	S.T.	S.T.		
O.B.C.	O.B.C.	O.B.C.		
PH	РН	PH		
Total:	Total:	Total:		

Total No. of students in Math Hons.  $3^{rd}$  year in 2012:

Male	Stu-	Female Students	Total Stu-		
dents			dents		
General:		General:	General:		
S.C.		S.C.	S.C.		
S.T.		S.T.	S.T.		
O.B.C.		O.B.C.	O.B.C.		
РН		РН	РН		
Total:		Total:	Total:		

Total No. of students in Math Hons. 1  $^{st}$   $+2^{nd}$  +  $3^{rd}$  year in 2012:

Status of the Students in the Department of Mathematics:

Total No. of students	Total I	No. of students	No. of Student	s qual-	No. of Students qual-	
admitted in Math	appear	ed in the Uni-	ified in Mathe	ematics	ified in Mathematics	
Hons $1^{st}$ year in 2009	versity	Part-I Math	Hons Part I in 2010		Hons Part I in 2010	
	Hons.	in 2010	who passed in	n Pass	who did not pass in	
			subjects also		Pass subjects	
			Marks obtd. in	Hons.	Marks obtd. in Hons.	
			Above 60%-		Above 60%-	
			Between 40-59	%-	Between 40-59%-	
			Total qualified	-	Total qualified-	
Total No. of students	admit-	Total No. o	f students ap-	No. of Students qualified in		
ted in Math Hons $2^{nd}$	year in	peared in the U	University Part-	Mathematics Hons Part II in		
2010		II Math Hons.	in 2011	2011		
				Marks obtd. in Hons. Above		
				60%-		
				Betwee	en 40-59%-	
				Total o	qualified-	
Total No. of students	admit-	Total No. o	f students ap-	No. of Students qualified in		
ted in Math Hons $3^{rd}$	year in	peared in the U	University Part-	Mathematics Hons. Part III		
2011		III Math Hons	. in 2012			
				Marks	obtd. in Hons. Above	
				60%-		
				Betwee	en 40-59%-	
				Total o	qualified-	

Number of students who has got chance in M.Sc. from passed

Mathematics Hons. Part III students in 2012

Percentage of students who has got chance in M.Sc. from

passed Mathematics Hons. Part III students in 2012

Percentage of students who has got chance in M.Sc.in a year (In general)

Percentage of students to qualify School Service Commission each year in Mathematics: