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#### Abstract

In this paper by using some conditions, we show that the weak amenability of (2n)-th dual of a Banach algebra $A$ for some $n \geqslant 1$ implies the weak amenability of $A$.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a normed space and $X^{\prime}$ be the topological dual space of $X$; the value of $f \in X^{\prime}$ at $x \in X$ is denoted by $\langle f, x\rangle$. We set $\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=X^{\prime \prime}$ and so on, and we regard $X$ as a subspace of $X^{\prime \prime}$ by natural mapping $\iota: X \rightarrow X^{\prime \prime}(x \longmapsto \widehat{x})$ where $\langle\widehat{x}, f\rangle=\langle f, x\rangle\left(f \in X^{\prime}\right)$. We denot the $n$-th dual of $X$ by $X^{(n)}$. The weak topology on $X$ is denoted by $w=\sigma\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and weak*-topology on $X^{\prime}$ is dented by $w^{*}=\sigma\left(X^{\prime}, X\right)$.
New let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be normed spaces and let $f: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous bilinear map. Arens in [1] offers two extensions $f^{* * *}$ and $f^{t * * * t}$ of $f$ from $X^{\prime \prime} \times Y^{\prime \prime}$ to $Z^{\prime \prime}$ as following:
(1) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}f^{*}: Z^{\prime} \times X \longrightarrow Y^{\prime} \\ \left\langle f^{*}\left(z^{\prime}, x\right), y\right\rangle=\left\langle z^{\prime}, f(x, y)\right\rangle \quad\left(x \in X, y \in Y, z^{\prime} \in Z^{\prime}\right) .\end{array}\right.$
(2) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}f^{* *}: Y^{\prime \prime} \times Z^{\prime} \longrightarrow X^{\prime} \\ \left\langle f^{* *}\left(y^{\prime \prime}, z^{\prime}\right), x\right\rangle=\left\langle y^{\prime \prime}, f^{*}\left(z^{\prime}, x\right)\right\rangle \quad\left(x \in X, z^{\prime} \in Z^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime} \in Y^{\prime \prime}\right) .\end{array}\right.$
(3) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}f^{* * *}: X^{\prime \prime} \times Y^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow Z^{\prime \prime} \\ \left\langle f^{* * *}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right), z^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle x^{\prime \prime}, f^{* *}\left(y^{\prime \prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \quad\left(z^{\prime} \in Z^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in X^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime} \in Y^{\prime \prime}\right) .\end{array}\right.$

The mapping $f^{* * *}$ is the unique extension of $f$ such that $x^{\prime \prime} \longmapsto f^{* * *}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ from $X^{\prime \prime}$ into $Z^{\prime \prime}$ is $w^{*}-w^{*}$-continuous for every $y^{\prime \prime} \in Y^{\prime \prime}$.
Let now $f^{t}: Y \times X \rightarrow Z$ be the transpose of $f$ defined by $f^{t}(y, x)=f(x, y)$ for $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. We can extend $f^{t}$ as above to $f^{t * * *}$ and then we have the mapping $f^{t * * * t}: X^{\prime \prime} \times Y^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow Z^{\prime \prime}$, If $f^{* * *}=f^{t * * * t}$ then $f$ is called Arens regular. The mapping $y^{\prime \prime} \longmapsto f^{t * * * t}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ from $Y^{\prime \prime}$ into $Z^{\prime \prime}$ is $w^{*}-w^{*}-$ continuous for

[^0]every $x^{\prime \prime} \in X^{\prime \prime}$. Arens regularity of $f$ is equivalent to the following
$$
\lim _{i} \lim _{j}\left\langle z^{\prime}, f\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\rangle=\lim _{j} \lim _{i}\left\langle z^{\prime}, f\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\rangle,
$$
whenever both limits exist for all bounded nets $\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $\left(y_{j}\right)$ in $X$ and $Y$, respectively and for evrey $z^{\prime} \in Z^{\prime}$.
Throughout this paper $A$ is a Banach algebra. This algebra is called Arens regular if its multiplication as a bilinear map $\pi: A \times A \rightarrow A(\pi(a, b)=a b)$ is Arens regular. We shall frequently use Goldstine's theorem: for each $a^{\prime \prime} \in A^{\prime \prime}$, there is a net ( $a_{i}$ ) in $A$ such that $a^{\prime \prime}=w^{*}-\lim _{i} \widehat{a_{i}}$. Now let $a^{\prime \prime}=w^{*}-\lim _{i} \widehat{a_{i}}$ and $b^{\prime \prime}=w^{*}-\lim _{j} \widehat{b_{j}}$ be elements of $A^{\prime \prime}$. The first and second Arens products on $A^{\prime \prime}$ are denoted by symbols $\square$ and $\diamond$ respectively and defined by
$$
a^{\prime \prime} \square b^{\prime \prime}=\pi^{* * *}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad a^{\prime \prime} \diamond b^{\prime \prime}=\pi^{t * * * t}\left(a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right) .
$$

It is easy to show that

$$
a^{\prime \prime} \square b^{\prime \prime}=w^{*}-\lim _{i} w^{*}-\lim _{j} \widehat{a_{i} b_{j}}, \quad a^{\prime \prime} \diamond b^{\prime \prime}=w^{*}-\lim _{j} w^{*}-\lim _{i} \widehat{a_{i} b_{j}} .
$$

On the other hand we can define above Arens products in stages as following. Let $a, b \in A, f \in A^{\prime}$ and $F, G \in A^{\prime \prime}$.
(1) Define $f . a$ in $A^{\prime}$ by $\langle f . a, b\rangle=\langle f, a b\rangle$, and $a . f$ in $A^{\prime}$ by $\langle a . f, b\rangle=\langle f, b a\rangle$.
(2) Define F.f in $A^{\prime}$ by $\langle F . f, a\rangle=\langle F, f . a\rangle$, and $f . F$ in $A^{\prime}$ by $\langle f . F, a\rangle=\langle F, a . f\rangle$.
(3) Define $F \square G$ in $A^{\prime \prime}$ by $\langle F \square G, f\rangle=\langle F, G . f\rangle$, and $F \diamond G$ in $A^{\prime \prime}$ by $\langle F \diamond G, f\rangle=\langle G, f . F\rangle$.
Then $\left(A^{\prime \prime}, \square\right)$ and $\left(A^{\prime \prime}, \diamond\right)$ are Banach algebras, see $[1,5]$ for further details. Now let $E$ be a Banach $A$-bimodule, then $E^{\prime}$ is a Banach $A$-bimodule under actions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle a . f, x\rangle=\langle f, x a\rangle,\langle f . a, x\rangle=\langle f, a x\rangle \quad\left(a \in A, x \in E, f \in E^{\prime}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $E^{\prime \prime}$ is a Banach $A^{\prime \prime}$-bimodule under actions

$$
\begin{equation*}
F . \Lambda=w^{*}-\lim _{i} w^{*}-\lim _{j} \widehat{a_{i} x_{j}}, \quad \Lambda . F=w^{*}-\lim _{j} w^{*}-\lim _{i} \widehat{x_{j} a_{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=w^{*}-\lim _{i} \hat{a}_{i}$ and $\Lambda=w^{*}-\lim _{j} \hat{x}_{j}$ such that $\left(a_{i}\right) \subset A$ and $\left(x_{j}\right) \subset E$ are bounded nets.
For a Banach $A$-bimodule $E$, the continuous linear map $D: A \rightarrow E$ is called derivation if $D(a b)=a \cdot D(b)+D(a) \cdot b,(a, b \in A)$. For $x \in E$ the derivation $\delta_{x}: A \rightarrow E$ by $\delta_{x}(a)=a . x-x . a$ is called inner derivation. The Banach alge$\operatorname{bra} A$ is called amenable if every derivation $D: A \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is inner, for each Banach $A$-bimodule $E$, [7]. If every derivation $D: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is inner, $A$ is called weakly amenable, see also $[2,4]$ for details.

Theorem 1.1 Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and $E$ be a Banach A-bimodule and $D: A \rightarrow E$ is a continuous derivation, then $D^{\prime \prime}: A^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime}$ is a continuous derivation[5, Theorem 2.7.17].

Remark $1 A^{\prime \prime}$-bimodule structures on $E^{\prime \prime}$ in above theorem are as in formula (2).

In [8] it was shown that if $A$ is complete Arens regular and every derivation $D: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ be weakly compact, then weak amenability of $A^{(2 n)}$ for some ( $n \geqslant 1$ ) implies weak amenability of $A$. In this paper we always use the first Arens product $\square$ on Banach algebra $A^{(2 n)}(n \geqslant 1)$. In section 2 we shall frequently use formulas (1) and (2) and we investigate following actions
$\triangleright$ two $A^{\prime \prime}$-module actions on $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$,
$\triangleright$ two $A^{(4)}$-module actions on $A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$,
$\triangleright$ two $A^{(6)}$-module actions on $A^{(7)}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and on $A^{(7)}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$,
and we will extend our results to two different $A^{(2 n)}$-module actions on $A^{(2 n+1)}$ by induction. In each case we find conditions to make these two different actions equal. In a similar work in [6] two different $A^{\prime \prime}$-module actions on $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ have been studied. Finally in section 3 we investigate the innerness of second, fourth... and $(2 n)-$ th dual of a derivation $D: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$. By using some conditions we will show that weak amenability of $A^{(2 n)}$ for some $(n \geqslant 1)$ implies weak amenability of $A$.

## 2. $A^{(2 n)}$-module actions on $A^{(2 n+1)}$

We shall frequentey use formulas (1) and (2) to construct two different $A^{(2 n)}$-module actions on $A^{(2 n+1)}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{(2 n+1)}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$.

Remark 1 There are many other $A^{(2 n)}$-module actions on $A^{(2 n+1)}$ that we don't need to mention.

First for $\mathrm{n}=1$ we consider two $A^{\prime \prime}$-module actions on $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$. Let $a^{(3)}=w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha} \widehat{a_{\alpha}^{\prime}} \in A^{(3)}$ and $a^{\prime \prime}=w^{*}-\lim _{\beta} \widehat{a_{\beta}}, b^{\prime \prime}=w^{*}-\lim _{i} \widehat{b_{i}}$ in which $\left(a_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(a_{\beta}\right),\left(b_{i}\right)$ are bounded nets in $A^{\prime}$ and $A$ respectively. For left $A^{\prime \prime}$-module action on $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ as second dual of $A^{\prime}$ we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle a^{\prime \prime} \cdot a^{(3)}, b^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle & =\lim _{\beta} \lim _{\alpha}\left\langle b^{\prime \prime}, a_{\beta} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\rangle \quad \text { (by formula (2)) } \\
& =\lim _{\beta} \lim _{\alpha} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{\prime}, b_{i} \cdot a_{\beta}\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and for left $A^{\prime \prime}$-module action on $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ as dual of $A^{\prime \prime}$ we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle a^{\prime \prime} \cdot a^{(3)}, b^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle & =\left\langle a^{(3)}, b^{\prime \prime} \square a^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{\alpha}\left\langle b^{\prime \prime} \square a^{\prime \prime}, a_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\rangle  \tag{4}\\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{i} \lim _{\beta}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{\prime}, b_{i} \cdot a_{\beta}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that two left $A^{\prime \prime}$-module actions on $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ and $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ are not equal. Similarly for right $A^{\prime \prime}$-module action on $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle a^{(3)} \cdot a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle & =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta}\left\langle b^{\prime \prime}, a_{\alpha}^{\prime} \cdot a_{\beta}\right\rangle \quad \text { (by formula (2)) } \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{\prime}, a_{\beta} \cdot b_{i}\right\rangle \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and for right $A^{\prime \prime}$-module action coincide on $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle a^{(3)} \cdot a^{\prime \prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle & =\left\langle a^{(3)}, a^{\prime \prime} \square b^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{\alpha}\left\langle a^{\prime \prime} \square b^{\prime \prime}, a_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\rangle  \tag{6}\\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{\prime}, a_{\beta} \cdot b_{i}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that two right $A^{\prime \prime}$-module actions on $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ and $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ are equal.

Proposition 2.1 Let A be a Banach algebra with following conditions
(i) $A$ is Arens regular,
(ii) the map $A \times A^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}\left(\left(a, a^{\prime}\right) \longmapsto a . a^{\prime}\right)$ is Arens regular.

Then two $A^{\prime \prime}$-module actions on $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ coincide.
Proof It is enaugh to prove that left module actions in (3) and (4) coincide. We begin with equation (3)

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\left\langle a^{\prime \prime} \cdot a^{(3)}, b^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle & =\lim _{\beta} \lim _{\alpha}\left\langle b^{\prime \prime}, a_{\beta} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta}\left\langle b^{\prime \prime}, a_{\beta} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\rangle & \text { (by (ii)) } \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\beta} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{\prime}, b_{i}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{\prime}, b_{i} \cdot a_{\beta}\right\rangle & \text { (by formula (1)) } \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{i} \lim _{\beta}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{\prime}, b_{i} \cdot a_{\beta}\right\rangle & \text { (by (i)) }
\end{array}
$$

this proves the equality of (3) and (4).
Now for $n=2$ we consider two $A^{(4)}$-module actions on $A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$. Let $a^{(5)}=w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha} \widehat{a_{\alpha}^{(3)}} \in A^{(5)}$ and $a^{(4)}=w^{*}-\lim _{\beta} \widehat{a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}}, b^{(4)}=$ $w^{*}-\lim _{i} \widehat{b_{i}^{\prime \prime}}$ in $A^{(4)}$ where $\left(a_{\alpha}^{(3)}\right)$ and $\left(a_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right),\left(b_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are bounded nets in $A^{(3)}$ and $A^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. For left $A^{(4)}$-module action on $A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle a^{(4)} \cdot a^{(5)}, b^{(4)}\right\rangle & =\lim _{\beta} \lim _{\alpha}\left\langle b^{(4)}, a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{(3)}\right\rangle \quad \text { (by formula (2)) } \\
& =\lim _{\beta} \lim _{\alpha} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{(3)}, b_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

and for left $A^{(4)}$-module action on $A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\left\langle a^{(4)} \cdot a^{(5)}, b^{(4)}\right\rangle & =\left\langle a^{(5)}, b^{(4)} \square a^{(4)}\right\rangle & \quad \text { (by formula (1)) } \\
& =\lim _{\alpha}\left\langle b^{(4)} \square a^{(4)}, a_{\alpha}^{(3)}\right\rangle  \tag{8}\\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{i} \lim _{\beta}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(3)}, b_{i}^{\prime \prime} \square a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

For right $A^{(4)}$-module action on $A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle a^{(5)} \cdot a^{(4)}, b^{(4)}\right\rangle & =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta}\left\langle b^{(4)}, a_{\alpha}^{(3)} \cdot a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \quad \text { (by formula (2)) } \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(3)} \cdot a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}, b_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle, \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and for right $A^{(4)}$-module action on $A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle a^{(5)} \cdot a^{(4)}, b^{(4)}\right\rangle & =\left\langle a^{(5)}, a^{(4)} \square b^{(4)}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{\alpha}\left\langle a^{(4)} \square b^{(4)}, a_{\alpha}^{(3)}\right\rangle  \tag{10}\\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(3)}, a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime} \square b_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

We need the equality of two $A^{\prime \prime}$-module actions on $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ and $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ to prove the equality of above $A^{(4)}$-module actions on $A^{(5)}$, so we need the following lemma whose proof is streightforward.

Lemma 2.2 Let A be a Banach algebra with following conditions
(i) $A^{\prime \prime}$ is Arens regular,
(ii) the map $A^{\prime \prime} \times A^{\prime \prime \prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{(3)}\right) \longmapsto a^{\prime \prime} . a^{(3)}\right)$ is Arens regular.

Then the conditions of Proposition 2.1 hold.
Proposition 2.3 Let A be a Banach algebra with conditions
(i) $A^{\prime \prime}$ is Arens regular,
(ii) the map $A^{\prime \prime} \times A^{\prime \prime \prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\left(a^{\prime \prime}, a^{(3)}\right) \longmapsto a^{\prime \prime} . a^{(3)}\right)$ is Arens regular.

Then two $A^{(4)}$-module actions on $A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ coincide.
Proof By Lemma 2.2 the conditions of Proposition 2.1 hold, so two $A^{\prime \prime}$-module actions on $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ are equal. We begin with equality (7)

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\left\langle a^{(4)} \cdot a^{(5)}, b^{(4)}\right\rangle & =\lim _{\beta} \lim _{\alpha}\left\langle b^{(4)}, a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{(3)}\right\rangle & \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta}\left\langle b^{(4)}, a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{(3)}\right\rangle & & \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{(3)}, b_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle & & \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(3)}, b_{i}^{\prime \prime} \square a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle & & \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{i} \lim _{\beta}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(3)}, b_{i}^{\prime \prime} \square a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle, & & \text { (by Py Pemma 2.2) of Lemma 2.2) }
\end{array}
$$

this proves the equality of (7) and (8). For equality of right-module actions, we continue equality 9

$$
\left\langle a^{(5)} \cdot a^{(4)}, b^{(4)}\right\rangle=\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(3)} \cdot a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}, b_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle
$$

$$
=\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(3)}, a_{\beta}^{\prime \prime} \square b_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \quad \text { (by Proposition 2.1) }
$$

and this proves the equality of (9) and (10).
Now suppose that $n=3$, we consider two $A^{(6)}$-module actions on $A^{(7)}=$ $\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{(7)}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$. Let $a^{(7)}=w^{*}-\lim _{\alpha} \widehat{a_{\alpha}^{(5)}} \in A^{(7)}$ and $a^{(6)}=w^{*}-\lim _{\beta} \widehat{a_{\beta}^{(4)}}, b^{(6)}=w^{*}-\lim _{i} \widehat{b_{i}^{(4)}} \in A^{(6)}$ where $\left(a_{\alpha}^{(5)}\right)$ and $\left(a_{\beta}^{(4)}\right),\left(b_{i}^{(4)}\right)$ are bounded nets in $A^{(5)}$ and $A^{(4)}$, respectively. For left $A^{(6)}$-module action on $A^{(7)}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle a^{(6)} \cdot a^{(7)}, b^{(6)}\right\rangle=\lim _{\beta} \lim _{\alpha} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\beta}^{(4)} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{(5)}, b_{i}^{(4)}\right\rangle \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for left $A^{(6)}$-module action on $A^{(7)}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle a^{(6)} \cdot a^{(7)}, b^{(6)}\right\rangle=\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{i} \lim _{\beta}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(5)}, b_{i}^{(4)} \square a_{\beta}^{(4)}\right\rangle \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For right $A^{(6)}$-module action on $A^{(7)}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle a^{(7)} \cdot a^{(6)}, b^{(6)}\right\rangle=\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(5)} \cdot a_{\beta}^{(4)}, b_{i}^{(4)}\right\rangle \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for right $A^{(6)}$-module action on $A^{(7)}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle a^{(7)} \cdot a^{(6)}, b^{(6)}\right\rangle=\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(5)}, a_{\beta}^{(4)} \square b_{i}^{(4)}\right\rangle \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need the equality of two $A^{\prime \prime}$-module actions on $A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ and $A^{(5)}=$ $\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ to prove the equality of above $A^{(6)}$-module actions on $A^{(7)}$, so we need the following Lemma that is similar to Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.4 Let A be a Banach algebra with following conditions
(i) $A^{(4)}$ is Arens regular,
(ii) the map $A^{(4)} \times A^{(5)} \rightarrow A^{(5)}\left(\left(a^{(4)}, a^{(5)}\right) \longmapsto a^{(4)} . a^{(5)}\right)$ is Arens regular.

Then the conditions of Proposition 2.3 hold.
Proposition 2.5 Let $A$ be a Banach algebra with conditions
(i) $A^{(4)}$ is Arens regular,
(ii) the map $A^{(4)} \times A^{(5)} \rightarrow A^{(5)}\left(\left(a^{(4)}, a^{(5)}\right) \longmapsto a^{(4)} \cdot a^{(5)}\right)$ is Arens regular.

Then two $A^{(6)}$ - module actions on $A^{(7)}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ and $A^{(7)}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ coincide.

Proof By Lemma 2.4 the conditions of Proposition 2.3 hold, so two $A^{(4)}$-module actions on $A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ are equal. We begin with equality (11)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle a^{(6)} \cdot a^{(7)}, b^{(6)}\right\rangle & =\lim _{\beta} \lim _{\alpha}\left\langle b^{(6)}, a_{\beta}^{(4)} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{(5)}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta}\left\langle b^{(6)}, a_{\beta}^{(4)} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{(5)}\right\rangle  \tag{ii}\\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\beta}^{(4)} \cdot a_{\alpha}^{(5)}, b_{i}^{(4)}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(5)}, b_{i}^{(4)} \square a_{\beta}^{(4)}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{i} \lim _{\beta}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(5)}, b_{i}^{(4)} \square a_{\beta}^{(4)}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

$$
=\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(5)}, b_{i}^{(4)} \square a_{\beta}^{(4)}\right\rangle \quad \quad \text { (by Proposition 2.3) }
$$

(by (i) of Lemma 2.4)
this prove the equality of (11) and (12). For equality of right-module actions, we continue equality (13)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle a^{(7)} \cdot a^{(6)}, b^{(6)}\right\rangle & =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(5)} \cdot a_{\beta}^{(4)}, b_{i}^{(4)}\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{\alpha} \lim _{\beta} \lim _{i}\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{(5)}, a_{\beta}^{(4)} \square b_{i}^{(4)}\right\rangle \quad \text { (by Proposition 2.3) }
\end{aligned}
$$

and this proves the equality of (13) and (14).
Now by induction process we have the following extended result.

Proposition 2.6 Let A be a Banach algebra with following conditions for some $n \geqslant 1$
(i) $A^{2 n-2}$ is Arens regular,
(ii) the map $A^{(2 n-2)} \times A^{(2 n-1)} \rightarrow A^{(2 n-1)}((a, f) \longmapsto a . f)$ is Arens regular.

Then two $A^{(2 n)}$-module actions on $A^{(2 n+1)}=\left(\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ and $A^{(2 n+1)}=$ $\left(\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ coincide.

## 3. Main results

In this section we consider the transposes $D^{\prime \prime}, D^{(4)}, \cdots, D^{(2 n)}$ of a continuous dervation $D: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$. We know by Theorem 1.1 that the following maps will be continuous derivations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D^{\prime \prime}: A^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime} \\
& D^{(4)}: A^{(4)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow A^{(5)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime} \\
& D^{(6)}: A^{(6)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow A^{(7)}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime} \\
& \vdots \\
& D^{(2 n)}: A^{(2 n)}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots\right)^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow A^{(2 n+1)}=\left(\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdots\right)^{\prime \prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.1 Let $A$ be a Banach algebra with hypothesis of Proposition 2.1. If the second transpose $D^{\prime \prime}$ of continuous derivation $D: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is inner, then $D$ is inner.

Proof Let $D: A \longrightarrow A^{\prime}$ be a dervation, then by Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.1, $D^{\prime \prime}: A^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is also a derivation. Since $D^{\prime \prime}$ is inner, there exists $a^{\prime \prime} \in A^{\prime \prime}$ such that $D^{\prime \prime}\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right)=a^{\prime \prime} \cdot a^{(3)}-a^{(3)} \cdot a^{\prime \prime},\left(a^{(3)} \in A^{(3)}\right)$. Let $a^{\prime}=\iota^{*}\left(a^{(3)}\right)$, where $\iota: A \longrightarrow A^{\prime \prime}$ is the natural map. Then for each $a, b \in A$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle D(a), b\rangle & =\left\langle D^{\prime \prime}(\widehat{a}), \widehat{b}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\widehat{a} \cdot a^{(3)}-a^{(3)} \cdot \widehat{a}, \widehat{b}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a^{(3)}, \widehat{b} \square \widehat{a}-\widehat{a} \square \widehat{b}\right\rangle \quad(\text { by Proposition 2.1 ) } \\
& =\left\langle a^{(3)}, b \cdot \widehat{a-a} \cdot b\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a^{(3)}, \iota(b \cdot a-a \cdot b)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\iota^{*}{ }^{*}\left(a^{(3)}\right), b \cdot a-a \cdot b\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a^{\prime}, b \cdot a-a \cdot b\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a \cdot a^{\prime}-a^{\prime} \cdot a, b\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $D(a)=a \cdot a^{\prime}-a^{\prime} \cdot a$ and so $D$ is inner.

Proposition 3.2 Let $A$ be a Banach algebra with hypothesis of Proposition 2.3. If the fourth transpose $D^{(4)}$ of continuous derivation $D: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is inner, then $D$ is inner.

Proof Let $D: A \longrightarrow A^{\prime}$ be a dervation, then by Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.3, $D^{(4)}:\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)$ is also a derivation. Since $D^{(4)}$ is inner, there exists $a^{(4)} \in A^{(4)}$ such that $D^{(4)}\left(a^{(4)}\right)=a^{(4)} \cdot a^{(5)}-a^{(5)} \cdot a^{(4)},\left(a^{(5)} \in A^{(5)}\right)$. Let $a^{\prime}=\iota^{*} \circ \iota^{* * *}\left(a^{(5)}\right)$, where $\iota: A \longrightarrow A^{\prime \prime}$ is the natural map. Then for each $a, b \in A$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle D(a), b\rangle & =\left\langle D^{\prime \prime}(\widehat{a}), \widehat{b}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\widehat{D^{\prime \prime}(\widehat{a})}, \widehat{\widehat{b}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle D^{(4)}(\widehat{\widehat{a}}), \widehat{\widehat{b}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\widehat{\widehat{a}} \cdot a^{(5)}-a^{(5)} \cdot \widehat{\widehat{a}}, \widehat{\widehat{b}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a^{(5)}, \widehat{\hat{b}} \square \widehat{\widehat{a}}-\widehat{\widehat{a}} \square \widehat{b}\right\rangle \quad(\text { by Proposition } 2 \cdot 3) \\
& =\left\langle a^{(5)}, \widehat{b} \square \widehat{a}-\widehat{a} \square \widehat{b}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a^{(5)}, \iota^{* *}(\widehat{b} \square \widehat{a}-\widehat{a} \square \widehat{b})\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\iota^{* * *}\left(a^{(5)}\right), b \cdot a-a \cdot b\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\iota^{* * *}\left(a^{(5)}\right), \iota(b \cdot a-a \cdot b)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\iota^{*} \circ \iota^{* * *}\left(a^{(5)}\right), b \cdot a-a \cdot b\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a^{\prime}, b \cdot a-a \cdot b\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a \cdot a^{\prime}-a^{\prime} \cdot a, b\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $D(a)=a \cdot a^{\prime}-a^{\prime} . a$ and so $D$ is inner.
Proposition 3.3 Let $A$ be a Banach algebra with hypothesis of Proposition 2.5. If the sixth transpose $D^{(6)}$ of continuous derivation $D: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is inner, then $D$ is inner.

Proof Let $D: A \longrightarrow A^{\prime}$ be a dervation, then by Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.3, $\left.D^{(6)}: A^{(6)}=\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(\left(\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}=A^{(7)}$ is also a derivation. Since $D^{(6)}$ is inner, there exists $a^{(6)} \in A^{(6)}$ such that $D^{(6)}\left(a^{(6)}\right)=a^{(6)} . a^{(7)}-$ $a^{(7)} \cdot a^{(6)},\left(a^{(7)} \in A^{(7)}\right)$. Let $a^{\prime}=\iota^{*} \circ \iota^{* * *} \circ \iota^{* * * * *}\left(a^{(7)}\right)$, where $\iota: A \longrightarrow A^{\prime \prime}$ is the natural map. Then for each $a, b \in A$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle D(a), b\rangle=\left\langle D^{\prime \prime}(\widehat{a}), \widehat{b}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\widehat{D^{\prime \prime}(\widehat{a})}, \widehat{b}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\widehat{D^{(4)}(\widehat{\widehat{a}})}, \widehat{\hat{\widehat{b}}}\right. \\
& =\left\langle D^{(6)}(\widehat{\widehat{\widehat{a}}}), \widehat{\hat{\widehat{b}}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\widehat{\hat{a}} \cdot a^{(7)}-a^{(7)} \cdot \widehat{\hat{\widehat{a}}}, \widehat{\hat{\hat{b}}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a^{(7)}, \widehat{\hat{\hat{b}}} \square \widehat{\hat{a}}-\widehat{\hat{a}} \square \widehat{\hat{\hat{b}}}\right\rangle \quad \text { ( by Proposition } 2.5 \text { ) } \\
& =\left\langle a^{(7)}, \widehat{\hat{b}} \square \widehat{\widehat{a}}-\widehat{\widehat{a}} \square \widehat{\widehat{b}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a^{(7)}, \iota^{* * * *}(\widehat{\hat{b}} \square \widehat{\widehat{a}}-\widehat{\widehat{a}} \square \widehat{\widehat{b}})\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\iota^{* * * * *}\left(a^{(7)}\right), \iota^{* *}(\widehat{b} \square \widehat{a}-\widehat{a} \square \widehat{b})\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\iota^{* * *} \circ \iota^{* * * * *}\left(a^{(7)}\right), b \cdot \widehat{a-a} \cdot b\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\iota^{* * *} \circ \iota^{* * * * *}\left(a^{(7)}\right), \iota(b . a-a . b)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\iota^{*} \circ \iota^{* * *} \circ \iota^{* * * * *}\left(a^{(7)}\right), b . a-a . b\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a^{\prime}, b . a-a . b\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle a \cdot a^{\prime}-a^{\prime} \cdot a, b\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $D(a)=a \cdot a^{\prime}-a^{\prime} . a$ and so $D$ is inner.
Using the similar reasoning as in the proof of previous lemmas we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4 Let $A$ be a Banach algebra with hypothesis of Proposition 2.6. If the $(2 n)$-th transpose $D^{(2 n)}$ of continuous derivation $D: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is inner, then $D$ is inner.

Proposition 3.5 Let A be a Banach algebra with hypothesis of Proposition 2.1. If $A^{\prime \prime}$ is weakly amenable, then $A$ is weakly amenable.
Proof Suppose that $D: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ be a continuous derivation. Then $D^{\prime \prime}: A^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ is a continuous derivation by Theorem 1.1. But two $A^{\prime \prime}$-module actions on $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ are equal by Proposition 2.1, hence $D^{\prime \prime}: A^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is also a continuous derivation in which $A^{(3)}=\left(A^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is considered as dual of $A^{\prime \prime}$. Since $A^{\prime \prime}$ is weakly amenable, then $D^{\prime \prime}$ is inner. Therefore $D$ is inner by Proposition 3.1. This completes the proof.

Using the same reasoning as in the proofs of previous propositions we have next results, so we omit the details in proofs.

Proposition 3.6 Let A be a Banach algebra with hypothesis of Proposition 2.3. If $A^{(4)}$ is weakly amenable, then $A$ is weakly amenable.

Proof This is a consequence of Proposition 3.2.
Proposition 3.7 Let A be a Banach algebra with hypothesis of Proposition 2.5. If $A^{(6)}$ is weakly amenable, then $A$ is weakly amenable.

Proof This is a consequence of Proposition 3.3.
Finally by Propositions 2.6 and 3.4 we have the following extended result.
Proposition 3.8 Let A be a Banach algebra with hypothesis of Proposition 2.6. If $A^{(2 n)}$ is weakly amenable, then $A$ is weakly amenable.
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