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Abstract

The article deals with the problem of creating thesaurus for the English-Ukrainian parallel legal corpus. Such a corpus enables translators and researchers to optimize their work with legal texts and their language units – legal terms, choose the best variants for translations, dictionaries, ontologies, etc. The paper describes the problem of a term conceptual structure, its functions, and relations within the special legal texts organized in the sentence-aligned corpus. The author of the manuscript demonstrates the main principles of work with the training parallel legal corpus created by the author.
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1. Introduction

The need for fast and high-quality translation of a large number of legal documents today requires translators and translation theorists to search for new approaches to improving and optimizing the process of such translation. Corpus-related studies and creation of a parallel legal corpus provide new opportunities in the field of legal translation.

One of the main tasks on the way to creating a parallel corpus is the development and creation of a term system, which includes, among other things, the terminology thesaurus of a certain subject field. Today, thesaurus modelling of a legal text is considered to be a particularly promising and efficient approach to systematizing the metalanguage of law to create a parallel legal corpus and translate legal documents. As L. Bowker states, the corpus-based translations are of higher quality in respect to subject field understanding, correct term choice, and idiomatic expression [1].

Today thesaurus is understood as a specially compiled normative vocabulary of lexical units of data-base query and natural languages, designed to search for words by their meaning [2], as well as to arrange the conceptual space of a subject area or the lexical space of a natural language according to certain structural and thematic parameters [3]. Basically, thesauri are built manually. Selected language units and constructions, their relationships and principles of interaction become
the basis for the development and creation of a thesaurus model of a particular scientific field. There are monolingual and multilingual, universal and specialized thesauri. Creating the parallel legal corpus requires the creating a bilingual specialized legal thesaurus.

2. Materials and Methods

Within the research a parallel legal corpus was created by us. It contains the texts of the decisions of the European Court in English, which are on open access on the “European Court of Human Rights” official website [4], and translations of these judgments into Ukrainian, provided on the “ECHR: Cases, Opinions, Matter. Ukrainian Aspect” website [5]. These texts are organized by us in the sentence-aligned English-Ukrainian parallel legal corpus, which consists of about 550,000 words and is used by us for the purpose of conducting research, namely for the extraction and further analysis of language units of all levels, and creation of a legal thesaurus.

Creating a bilingual thesaurus of legal texts has two main tasks: selecting texts for further processing with their indexing, and incorporating parallel legal texts into the corpus for improving the quality of translation (including automatic) of legal texts by taking into account all kinds of relationships (cohesion, coherence, associations, etc.) of language units and specifics of their functioning under the influence of contextual environment and extra-lingual factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence number</th>
<th>Source language (English)</th>
<th>Target language (Ukrainian)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>They typically should order a <strong>perpetrator</strong> to vacate the residence of the victim for a sufficient period of time and <strong>prohibit</strong> the <strong>perpetrator</strong> from entering the residence or contacting the victim.</td>
<td>Зазвичай вони повинні зобов’язувати винного звільнити місце проживання жертви протягом достатнього періоду часу та забороняти йому звільнювати жертву або контактувати з ним.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>387</td>
<td>In terms of content, protection orders may order the <strong>perpetrator</strong> to vacate the family home, <strong>stay</strong> a specified distance away from the victim and her</td>
<td>Щодо змісту, захисні приписи можуть наказати кривднику звільнити сімейний будинок, перебувати на певній відстані від потерпілої та її</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The methodology for creating a thesaurus provides for the development of a system of relations between units of a particular term system. To ensure the process of automatic replenishment of the parallel legal corpus with new documents, it is necessary to determine and systematize the criteria for selecting texts to be included in the corpus. Identification of legal descriptors (a word, phrase, or alphanumeric character used to identify an item in an information storage and retrieval system [6]), which ensures an automatic search for a unit, a construction and the whole text, provides the basis for creating the parallel legal corpus. Today, there are three main principles of classifying semantic relations between descriptors: subsumption (or hierarchical), synonymous (or equivalent) and associative [7]. A detailed analysis shows the presence of a large number of classification criteria (for example, *whole* – *part*, *object* – *subject*, *object* – *state*, *object* – *function*, *object* – *subject* – *process*, etc.). Applying the frequency criterion also plays an important role.

### 3. Results and Discussions

The development of search functions of the parallel corpus based on replacing text units with the search descriptors provides new opportunities for the analysis, indexing and selection of texts by using not only direct dictionary equivalents, but also involving subsumption relations and associative links. Thus, there is a search not only for texts containing the indicated lexical units, but also for texts that are in certain semantic relations with these units. Such an approach brings terminologists closer to solving the problem of combining diverse aspects within one term being indexed.

The process of selecting descriptors is preceded by a process of cognitive modeling of thesaurus knowledge of a particular scientific field, analysis of the content and scope of reference concepts, development of a system of their relationships and analysis of the frequency of use of units in authentic specific texts in the source and target languages. In the following steps, the thesaurus system of a certain metalanguage is being built in the aspect of combining the semantic components of linguistic units with their logical-conceptual and functional content.

The difficulty of creating a bilingual thesaurus is the difference in the lexical and semantic content and range of concepts in different languages, in synonymic and subsumption relations between units, in the points of intersection of lexical meanings, in the entry of lexemes and constructions to different numbers of semantic groups and subgroups etc. That is, when creating such a thesaurus, the first task is to analyze the semantics and semantic components of the units and their relationships in the source and target languages. Only a great number of examples of the word usage provides the translator with the material for a deep semantic analysis of related language units and making the right translation decision. In addition, such thesauri have great potential both for researchers of one language and for scholars working in the field of comparative linguistics and translation theory.
4. Conclusions and Recommendations

A significant advantage of the corpus thesaurus in comparison with the traditional dictionary is its openness for constant replenishment and changes, which ensures the timely response of the thesaurus to changes and transformations of the legal metalanguage.

Today, a parallel legal corpus with the Ukrainian language among other corpus languages is at the creation stage. One of the problems faced by the compilers of such a corpus (along with a number of objective problems such as the qualification of legal translators, the complexity, time consuming and costly characteristics, etc.) is the problem of ambiguity, duplicates (often due to the presence of several versions of translation of the same document without coordinating terminology consistency) of legal terms and discrepancies, double meaning and legislation conflicts. All these factors create an urgent basis for further research both in the field of translation of legal texts, and in the field of creating new and powerful tools for performing professional legal translations.
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