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Abstract
Focus of this research is the relationship between the motor abilities. The interest in this topic is dictated from the fact that there isn’t enough information about it in elite female football. For the purpose of the research we made tests to define the level of development of the motor abilities of 68 elite female football players. We also made a descriptive statistics and correlation analysis and we received the following results: descriptive statistics shows that the researched indicators are highly homogeneous (in range of V% =2.67 to 3.05); the correlation between accelerative abilities and maximal sprinting abilities is moderate and positive ($r= 0.634$); the correlation between power abilities with explosive character and other researched abilities is moderate and negative ($r=-0.430$, $r=-0.494$, $r=-0.455$); the speed endurance abilities have moderate to strong and positive correlation with the accelerative and maximal sprinting abilities ($r= 0.600$, $r= 0.809$). Conclusions: 1) Improving the power abilities with explosive character creates beneficial conditions in development of the other motor abilities; 2) Improving of the speed abilities is a major component of the conditioning in female soccer; 3) The most important factor for improving the speed endurance is creating a “speed reserve”.
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Introduction
The main characteristic that defines the football game for both sexes is its intermittent character of the match-play and training process. The data about the motor activity in female football shows some similarities with male football but only in relative values (Mohr et al., 2008; Datson, 2014). Systematic review of the literature shows the authors’ interest in disclosing the question about relationship of the motor abilities of male and youth football (Chamari et al., 2004; Stølen et al., 2005; Kirkevall, 2007; Mujika et al., 2009; Martinez-Lagunas et al., 2014; Rajkumar, 2015; Datson et al., 2017; Milanović et al., 2017, Peev, 2017). However, we cannot observe the same interest in female football (Mujika et al., 2009, McCurdy et al, 2010). We can even say that the data about their relationship in football is scarce. From theoretical point of view, the knowledge about the relationship between motor abilities is an important part of the conditioning and their correlation can optimize the training process (Polman et al, 2004; Manso et al., 2014). That knowledge is a precondition for structuring and defining an algorithm for methodical development of motor abilities in conditioning. This knowledge about transfer and transformation of motor ability and their critical values takes main place in the methodology but it is not so deeply researched. As we know all of the researched motor abilities are connected and dependable on each other. That is the reason to research their connections but sometimes the over increase of the values of one of the abilities can affect the others in negative ways. If we find exact
model of development of the motor abilities, we can boost the training process and optimize the conditioning. All these statements are well discussed in Gadev’s (2013) thesis about conditioning in football.

In order to optimize the conditioning of the women football we defined the purpose of the present study as: Disclosing the relationship between main motor abilities of elite female football players.

When we accomplished our goal, we solved the following tasks:

- Research of the variability of the indicators that characterizes speed, power and speed endurance motor abilities;
- Determination of the structural relationship between the researched motor abilities.

**METHODS**

Description of the methods

To solve the tasks of the research we used the following methods – testing, optometry, chronometry, statistical analysis (descriptive and correlative analysis). The tests that characterize the motor abilities and their forms of presence are in Table 1.

**Table 1. Tests that characterize the motor abilities and their forms of performance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of test</th>
<th>Name of the test</th>
<th>Measurement units</th>
<th>Precision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>20 m running form standing start</td>
<td>sec</td>
<td>0,01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>20 m running with flying start</td>
<td>sec</td>
<td>0,01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Standing triple jump</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0,01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>3x50 m shuttle run from standing start</td>
<td>sec</td>
<td>0,01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When we researched the speed abilities, and their two forms of presence, we used the following tests: 20 m running from standing start (20 m s.s.) for accelerative abilities and 20 m running from flying start (20 m f.s.) for maximal sprinting ability. The test 20 m f.s. we made with 10 meters approach before the first photocell. The test is in agreement of the research of Benton (2000) Young et.al. (2008,) Turner et.al. (2011) and Kutlu et. al. (2017) about the optimal distance for optimal sprinting distance for defining the maximal springing abilities. The track was measured with manual tape and marked with two cone and photocell on the start line and the final line. All the players start when they are ready and confident from a line, 30 centimeters behind the first photocell. The procedures of the test are done by standard methodology for this type of research with electronic timing system (Newtest Oy, Finland). We used these tests because the average sprint distance in team sports is between 15 and 21 meters (Gabbett, 2012; Andrzejewski, et. al., 2013 and 2015). Also, they are commonly used, and their validity and reliability are widely researched. The research done by Haugen et. al. (2012, 2013) showed that the real difference of sprint abilities could be found in 20 meters section.

We researched the level of power abilities with explosive character with the test standing triple jump (TJ). The tested subjects do 3 consequent jumps from standing posi-
tion with two legs on the ground. The athlete starts like she will do a standing long jump. The first phase is a hop from a stand still behind a starting line, which requires the athlete to take-off from a two-footed stand, split in midair, and land on the convenient foot (depends on the strength of the legs and the preference of the athlete). The next phase is a long-stretched step, and the athlete lands on the opposite foot. The last phase is the jump, where the athlete lands on both feet. There are two combinations of execution of this test: two legs, the right or left foot then left or right foot then landing on two legs on the ground. The distance of the jump is measured with manual tape on the closest mark toward the starting line (usually on the heels). Every subject of research makes two jumps. The best trial is taken into account. Usually for defining the level of development of the power abilities with explosive character researchers use counter movement jump (Haugen et al. 2012) but we think that we can use the jump test in horizontal plane because there is high correlation in the two types of jumps and it is closer to the running locomotion (Rohr, 1992).

We measured the level of development of the speed endurance with 3x50 m shuttle run from standing start (3x50), that was used before by Gadev (2013, 2014) and Peev et al. (2017). The test was validated before by Peev (2017) with 14 years old football players. The test is performed on a track with length of 50 m that is marked with two cones. The requirement for all the subject is to pass the distance with two turns for shorter time. The time (speed) is measured with electronic stopwatch, Model - HS-80TW-1DF (Casio Computer Co, LTD, Shibuya, Tokyo, Japan). We can see the performance of the test in figure 1.

**Figure 1. How to perform the test 3x50 m shuttle run from standing position**

All tests were held in one day, three times a year as part of the control of the physical performance of the players. Our experimental approach was the following:

1. All of the testing procedures were made on a football field with natural grass.
2. Warm up that consisted of 8 minutes of running; 6 minutes of exercises for whole body; 6 minutes of stretching, 3 accelerations of 20 m.
3. First, we held the standing triple jump. All the participant made 2 consequent jumps
with 1 minute between them.

4. After 12 minutes we made the two sprinting tests (20 m running from standing start and 20 m running with flying start). We made two attempts for each with 6-8 minutes’ recovery between them. The best attempt was taken into account. All of the participant ran alone.

5. After 15 minutes of active recovery with stretching exercises we held the 3x50m shuttle run test. We made one attempt on the test. All of the participant ran two by two.

All statistical analyses were processed by SPSS Statistics 19 (Chicago, Illinois, IBM, USA). The research was done among 68 elite women football players from WFC “Rossiyanka”, Russia.

### RESULTS
The data from the test and the descriptive statistics are presented in table 2. The average result for 20 m s.s. is 3,17 seconds with maximal value of 3,39 and minimal of 2,91 seconds. The average time for 20 m f.s. is 2,77 seconds with maximal value of 3,12 and minimal of 2,51 seconds. The average result for TJ are 6,45 meters with individual values between 4,49 and 7,71 meters. The average time for 3x50 is 25,44 seconds with maximal value of 27,10 and minimal of 23,97 seconds. It is visible that all the research indicators are homogenous with coefficient of variation (V%) between 2,67 to 5,05 %. This fact gives us a prediction for correct results and allows us to make a correlative analysis.

#### Table 2. Variability of indicator that characterizes motor abilities of women football players

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical indicator</th>
<th>20 m running form standing start</th>
<th>20 m running with flying start</th>
<th>Standing triple jump</th>
<th>3x50 m shuttle run from standing start</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(seconds)</td>
<td>(seconds)</td>
<td>(centimeters)</td>
<td>(seconds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>3,17</td>
<td>2,77</td>
<td>6,45</td>
<td>25,44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m_s</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0,02</td>
<td>0,04</td>
<td>0,08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>3,19</td>
<td>2,76</td>
<td>6,38</td>
<td>25,37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>3,20</td>
<td>2,66</td>
<td>6,20</td>
<td>25,76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0,11</td>
<td>0,14</td>
<td>0,29</td>
<td>0,68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>-0,04</td>
<td>0,37</td>
<td>0,64</td>
<td>0,24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex</td>
<td>-0,27</td>
<td>-0,29</td>
<td>-0,34</td>
<td>-0,22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>0,48</td>
<td>0,61</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>3,13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X min</td>
<td>2,91</td>
<td>2,51</td>
<td>5,95</td>
<td>23,97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X max</td>
<td>3,39</td>
<td>3,12</td>
<td>7,10</td>
<td>27,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V %</td>
<td>3,47</td>
<td>5,05</td>
<td>4,49</td>
<td>2,67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the base of the data from the descriptive statistics, we disclose correlative interrelation between the researched motor abilities. Their values are presented in Table 3.

The correlation between accelerative abilities and maximal sprinting abilities is moderate and positive ($r = 0,634$); the correlation between power abilities with explosive character and other researched abilities are moderate by strength and negative by direction as it follows speed endurance abilities ($r = -0,430$), maximal sprinting abilities ($r = -0,494$) and accelerative abilities ($r = -0,455$). The speed endurance abilities have moderate to strong and positive correlation with the accelerative and maximal sprinting abilities ($r = 0,600$; $r = 0,809$).
Table 3. Correlative matrix of the correlation between motor abilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>20 m standing start</th>
<th>20 m flying start</th>
<th>Triple jump</th>
<th>3 x 50 m shuttle run</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 m standing start</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 m flying start</td>
<td>0,634*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple jump</td>
<td>-0,455*</td>
<td>-0,494*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 x 50 m shuttle run</td>
<td>0,600**</td>
<td>0,809**</td>
<td>-0,430*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significance at 0.05 level, **Significance at 0.01 level

DISCUSSION

The data that is presented in tables 2 and 3 are an objective chance to analyze concrete relationship between the indicators that characterize speed endurance abilities with speed and power abilities. From theoretical point of view, there is no doubt that we must define the relationship between one of the most important ability in football, speed endurance abilities and the ones mentioned above.

We can observe from table 3 that the two forms of speed abilities greatly influence the performance of speed endurance (r=0,600 and r=0,809) with positive correlation. The strength of the correlation of accelerative abilities and the speed endurance abilities is moderate (r=0,600). Meanwhile the correlation between speed endurance abilities and maximal sprinting abilities is with strong significance (r=0,809). This fact means that improving the speed abilities is a precondition to improve the speed endurance. In conclusion we can say that the level of development of the speed endurance to the greatest extent depends on the development of the different forms of speed abilities and most of all on the maximal sprinting abilities and creating a “speed reserve”.

We found moderate and positive correlation between maximal sprinting abilities and accelerative abilities (r=0,634). The improvement of one of them leads to the improvement of the other. That relationship is not surprising because the two form of speed abilities are connected and dependable on anaerobic energy supply system (Turner et.al. 2011). This fact is in unison with the conception of Gadev (1997) and Vescovi and McGuigan (2008). Of course, this is not the only way of improving the result in the test. Other important component of sprint running is its technique of execution.

Another field of interest for sports practice is the correlation between speed and power abilities. As we can notice from table 3, the correlation between power abilities and accelerative and maximal sprinting abilities is negative and moderate by strength (r=-0,455 and r=-0,494). In other words, the speed abilities are moderately affected of the power abilities. This correlation is surprisingly low for us because the power is a main component in running and affects the ability to apply force on the ground. As a consequence of this when athletes can generate more power it is normal for them to sprint faster (improve the time in the tests). That is in unison with the results and statement of Peev (2017) and Bachvarov et al. (2008). Other researchers found that this relationship was moderate to strong, but they used jumps in the vertical plain. According to McCurdy et al. (2010) this fact can be a consequence of the lower validity of the jump in the
horizontal plane and sprint running, because of the specific technique that is required. The moderate correlation that is discovered in the present study between speed and power abilities is lower than the correlation that authors found in male football (Peev, 2017). In our opinion, the lack of higher correlation between power abilities of elite women football players with other types of motor abilities is due to the difference of the power characteristics of the two sexes. Another reason may be the technique of the power test. This conclusion is confirmed by Mujika et al. (2009), Kirkendall and O’Malley (2002). In conclusion, we should use tests in vertical plane which are with better validity in football.

Interesting for the theory of the sport science is the issue of the influence of the power abilities on the speed endurance abilities. We can see in table 3 that the coefficient of correlation between speed endurance and power abilities with explosive character is moderate and negative ($r=-0.430$). That means that the bigger values of power abilities will cooperate with weak effect for improving the level of speed endurance. When we increase the result in tests that characterizes the power abilities with explosive character this influences indirectly the speed endurance and improves the speed abilities (Peev, 2014).

CONCLUSIONS

The data from the research give us a ground to make the following conclusions and recommendations about development of the motor abilities of elite women football players:

Improving the power abilities with explosive character creates beneficial conditions in development of the other motor abilities;

Improving of the speed abilities is a major component of the conditioning in female soccer;

The most important factor for improving the speed endurance is creating a "speed reserve".
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