RÉSUMÉ
Appendicite aigue après coloscopie- série des cas et revue de la littérature

La coloscopie est une procédure de diagnostic courante pour le côlon. La perforation du côlon est une complication iatrogène bien connue de la coloscopie diagnostique. Toutefois, les atteintes appendiculaires avec inflammation ou perforation sont extrêmement rares et seuls quelques cas d’appendicite aiguë après coloscopie ont été rapportés jusqu’à présent. Nous décrivons une série de quatre cas opérés dans notre clinique d’appendicite aiguë, qui se sont développés à un jeune âge, peu après la coloscopie d’une autre pathologie (syndrome de l’intestin irritable, maladie diverticulaire du côlon, douleur à la fosse iliaque gauche et au flanc gauche).
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INTRODUCTION

Acute appendicitis has been sporadically reported in literature as a possible complication after colonoscopy (until 2007 only 12 cases were reported\(^2\)). Acute appendicitis following colonoscopic examination is a rare complication, with a considered incidence of 0.038%, according to another research performed in 2007, either because of under-publication or under-recognition due to simultaneous conservative treatment\(^2\).

A study published in 2013 identified 27 previously reported cases of post-colonoscopy appendicitis in the peer-reviewed literature\(^3\).

CASES PRESENTATION

In a retrospective study period of 20 years (between 1998-2017), performed in the General Surgery Clinic of the Emergency Clinical Hospital „Sfântul Pantelimon“, Bucharest, Romania, we identified four cases of acute appendicitis which could be related to a previously colonoscopic examination. The colonoscopy was performed, in our cases, between 12 and 48 hours before the clinical onset of acute appendicitis. In three cases, the procedure was performed in our center, and in one case in the ambulatory.

The physical signs were: lower right abdominal pain gradually intensified, accompanied by nausea, vomiting, fever, diffusely tender abdomen, especially in the lower right quadrant, with rebound tenderness and guarding.

No one of the patients had symptoms that could suggest acute appendicitis at the colonoscopy time or previously, so we could exclude an exacerbation of a preexisting condition.

On the other hand, it seems possible that the development of appendicitis after colonoscopy could be a coincidence and that could not be totally excluded scientifically. But the most probable supposition is that of chronological succession and of causal link to colonoscopy. Impaction of stool by barotraumas, or direct appendicular trauma could be the etiological mechanism.

From the four cases, there was a woman, 26 years of age, and three men with ages: 37, 42 and respectively 45 years of age. The ages of our patients are lower compared to medium ages reported in literature.

The colonoscopy was performed, in all cases, for left flank and left iliac fossa pain. Colonoscopy findings were two cases of sigmoid diverticular disease, one associated to sigmoid endometriosis (the patient of female gender), confirmed by histopathological exam – the only biopsy performed in our series.

For the other two cases (two men), the established diagnosis was irritable bowel syndrome, since no other pathologic condition was found to explain the symptomatology (so the diagnostic was an exclusion one). No significant abnormalities were found through the colonoscopy and no biopsy was performed for these two patients.

There were no signs of inflammation in the caecum or around the appendiceal orifice for all colonoscopies.

The diagnosis was suspected by clinical findings, suggested by high white blood count in all cases and confirmed by computed tomography scan in three cases (that also excluded a post-colonoscopy perforation).

All the cases were operated in our clinic, three open and one laparoscopically (the patient of female gender). Intraoperatively, we found one case of gangrenous appendicitis (a patient of male gender), that required also a drain, and three cases of phlegmonous appendicitis that underwent simple appendectomy, subsequently confirmed by histopathological examination. Macroscopically, we found a coprolith in two cases, that confirmed the impaction theory.

Postoperative outcome was very good in all cases, with discharge in 48 hours after procedure.

DISCUSSION

The two known causes of iatrogenic appendicitis are barium-contrast examination and colonoscopy. Impaction of barium in lumen could be the trigger of inflammation\(^4\).

The theories of post-colonoscopy appendicitis include fecalith impaction into the appendiceal lumen and/or direct appendiceal trauma by gas insufflation or direct instrumentation and appendiceal trauma during procedure\(^5\). Appendiceal trauma lead to lumen obstruction by intraluminal bleeding or edema (Figure 1).

**Figure 1.** Computed tomography scan. Hyper-inflated appendix and hydroaeric images in a case of acute gangrenous appendicitis post-colonoscopy.
Another theory includes exposure of the mucosa to the residual glutaraldehyde-type solution used in cleaning the endoscope, leading to inflammation⁶.

In 1988, Houghton and Aston first reported appendicitis as a rare complication of colonoscopy⁷.

Another particular type of iatrogenic appendiceal inflammation is stump appendicitis, due to an incomplete previously appendiceal resection (in most cases post laparoscopic resection)⁷.

Diverticular colonic disease could be, on the one hand, the reason of colonoscopic examination, and, on the other hand, it could represent an important point in differential diagnosis. A caecum diverticulitis could mimic an acute appendicitis⁸ and appendiceal diverticulosis could be at the origin of this organ inflammation⁹.

There are several cases reported in the literature that associate inflammatory bowel disease, such as ulcerative colitis¹⁰. There are also many other causes that may cause adjacent inflammatory processes (eg. cardiac pathologies, various pathogen infections, patient medication, etc.)¹¹–¹⁸.

One case presented with high level of total bilirubin, which could be a marker of severe appendicitis¹⁹, and one case (the 26-year-old woman) also confirmed an endometriosis tissue isle developed on sigmoid diverticular disease²⁰.

Conclusions

In our opinion, acute post-colonoscopy appendicitis may be an incidental finding or a direct consequence of the procedure. The mechanism of developing appendiceal inflammation is rather unknown, than theoretical assumptions. However, acute appendicitis should be considered in the differential diagnosis of a post-colonoscopy abdominal pain, in order to avoid a delayed diagnosis and complications such as perforation of the appendix²¹,²² and peritonitis. The clinical awareness of post-colonoscopy appendicitis must be increased.

The best diagnostic method is computed tomography, which has high sensitivity and specificity to detect acute appendicitis²³ and is more accurate than ultrasonography²⁴.
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