Comparative Study of Structural Changes in Janusandhi in Amavat and Sandhigatvat
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Abstract

This dissertation aimed at the comparative study of structural changes in Janusandhi i.e., Knee joint with special reference to Amvat and Sandhigatvat. These days joint pain is very common complaint of the patients all over the world creating great social health concern. Amavat and Sandhigatvat are the critical diseases from treatment as well as prognosis point of view. The severity of pain is much more in these diseases due to continuous progressive degenerative changes. Ayurvedic science, the most ancient & trusted medicinal system, has explained Amvata and Sandhigatvat diseases with detailed and appropriate pathophysiology. This study includes assessment of literary as well as clinical aspects of Amavata and Sandhigatvat diseases affecting significantly knee joint i.e., Janusandhi On the basis of clinical study of 30 patients each having Amavata and Sandhigatvat and the changes occurring in affected Janusandhi the inferences are drawn. In Sandhigatvat maximum patients showed osteoporosis, osteophytes, loss of joint space whereas in Amvat maximum patients had muscle wasting.
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INTRODUCTION

Ayurved is a science of life which is based on unique concepts & principles which are established after studying about them for long time by great ancient Acharyas. This science has curative, preventive & holistic approach for disease free life. A vaidya who has sound knowledge about the sharir can understand the ayurvedic concepts & can be beneficial for society through good clinical practises. For good clinical practice, an updated knowledge of sharir is essential. Acharyas treated patients without help of any investigations in ancient era. It is beyond our imagination. Many researches are going on for improvement of the complicated and disabling diseases like Amavata and Sandhigatvat. Still it needs more enlightening for improvement of its understanding which will impart good results in its management.

Janusandhi is the largest synovial joint of the body, as it bears weight of wholebody. The commonly occurring disease of Janusandhi are Amavat and Sandhigat having the chief complaints of joint pain, joint swelling, disfigurement & disability of joint, mainly affecting the knee joint. In Ayurvedic practice the percentage of patients suffering from Amavat and Sandhigatvat is very high. The ayurvedic medicines play an important role in treating these diseases whereas the modern science has limitations in treatment of these diseases. Hence to upgrade the knowledge about these diseases from clinical & prognosis point of view this study has been designed. To understand the severity of structural changes in knee joint in these diseases for improving practical knowledge, the present subject is chosen.

AIMS & OBJECTIVES

➢ To study the Janusandhi and knee joints according to Ayurved & Modern Science.
➢ To study Amavat disease in detail according to Ayurved & Modern Science.
➢ To study Sandhigatvat disease in detail according to Ayurved & Modern Science.
➢ To study the structural changes in Janusandhi due to Amavata and Sandhigatvat.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Material-

A) Literary Research

✓ Literary review of Janusandhi from various ayurvedic texts
✓ Literary review of Knee joint from modern point of view
Literary review of Amavat from both ayurvedic & modern point of view

Literary review of sandhigatvat. from both ayurvedic & modern point of view

B) Cadaveric dissection: Cadaveric dissection was performed for detailed knowledge of structures around it.

C) Clinical Study –

a) Study design
Total 30 patients of each disease were selected irrespective of age, sex, religion.

• Inclusion criteria – Patients having complaints of Knee Joint & diagnosed as having Amavat & Sandhigatvat
  - Age- 30 to 60
  - Sex – Both Male and Female

• Exclusion criteria – Trauma, Fracture, congenital anomalies and other joint disorders

METHODS

A) Informed consent
The subject undergoing this study was informed about the nature of the study and written consent of each subject involved in the study was taken.

B) Study evaluation –

1 Special case paper was designed for diagnosis of these diseases with the help of ayurvedic samhitas.
2 Age, sex weight and address were noted of each patient
3 Past history of any pre-existing disease was noted.
4 Hereditary history (kul-vruta) was noted
5 Symptoms of said disease are verified with the help of case paper
6 Radiological evaluation i.e. X-rays of affected knee joint/s of the patients included in the study were achieved.

RESULTS

Table 1 Age wise distribution in study group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-40yrs</td>
<td>41-50yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amavat</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>20 (66.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandhigatvat</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>14 (46.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Sex wise distribution in study group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amavat</td>
<td>8 (26.6%)</td>
<td>22 (73.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandhigatvat</td>
<td>12 (40%)</td>
<td>18 (60%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Stagewise distribution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>Mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amavat</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>20 (66.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandhigatvat</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>14 (46.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Intensity of Painwise distribution in study group:
Table 5 Degree of swelling wise distribution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amavat</td>
<td>10 (33.3%)</td>
<td>14 (46.6%)</td>
<td>6 (20%)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandhigatvat</td>
<td>6 (20%)</td>
<td>8 (26.6%)</td>
<td>16 (53.3%)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 Structural deformities in study group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural Changes</th>
<th>Amavat</th>
<th>Sandhigatvat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Osteoporosis</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>16 (53.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteophytes</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>10 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of joint space</td>
<td>2 (6.6%)</td>
<td>20 (66.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle wasting</td>
<td>22 (73.3%)</td>
<td>2 (6.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyst formation</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>21 (6.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meniscus tear</td>
<td>6 (20%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>16 (53.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph No (1) Age wise distribution in study group

Graph No (2) Sex wise distribution in study group

Graph No (3) Stage wise distribution

Graph No (4) Intensity of Pain wise distribution in study group
DISCUSSION

Sandhi(., joint) is the most important structure of the body as the locomotion of the body is dependent on them\textsuperscript{8}. Bones are bound together in joints in such a manner so that different actions of body are possible\textsuperscript{8}. Janusandhi is the main weight bearing joint of the body. Due to many factors like faulty food habit and modern lifestyle, lack of physical activities, over intake of fatty food resulting in poor digestive capacity produces (Ama) means improperly digestive food in the body. This Ama along with vitiated Vatadosha moves to the different seats of Kapha including joints in the body. This aggravated Vatadosha in joints gives rise to the signs like swollen joint, stiffness and restricted movements of the joint, various actions of the joints difficult & effortful\textsuperscript{5, 6}. For the diagnosis of this Amavat and Sandhigatvat, special case paper was prepared and diagnosis of the patient’s made according to clinical examinations.

X-rays are achieved for identifying the structural changes in the knee joints of affected patients. All observations are noted and divided in to some specific groups like age, sex, stage wise distribution of cases, pain in study group, swelling in study group, and structural change in study group and tried to compare the severity & extent of damage in Amavat and in Sandhigatvat.

In amavat 13.3% patients had osteoporosis deformities, no patient had osteophytes, 6.6% patients had loss of joint space, 73.3% had muscle wasting and no patient had cyst formation, 20% patients had meniscus tear, and 13.3% patients had no change in the structure, 6.6% patients had cyst formation while none had meniscus tear.

CONCLUSION
1) It can be stated that in Sandhigatvat osteoporosis, osteophyte and loss of joint space occur more frequently than in Amavat.

2) In Amavat the muscle wasting and meniscus tear occur more frequently than in Sandhigatvat.

3) From this study it can be stated that the patients of Sandhigatvat are more prone to the structural changes in the knee joint also in early stage as compared to the patients of Amvat where structural changes are seen in chronic stage.
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