Evaluation of microalbuminuria in patients with hypertension from North Indian population: A case-control study
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Abstract

Introduction: Microalbuminuria has recently emerged as an early marker of hypertension induced target organ damage. Microalbuminuria in hypertensive patients may act as a potential risk factor for cardiovascular and kidney diseases.

Objective: The aim of the study is to evaluate the levels of microalbuminuria in hypertensive (HT) patients and normotensive (NT) control subjects.

Materials and Methods: In this case-control study, 42 HT patients and 42 age and gender matched NT controls were enrolled. Body mass index (BMI), systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DBP) was measured by standard protocol. Urinary microalbumin and creatinine were estimated in spot urine sample of all subjects using commercially available kit. Chi-square test, unpaired t test and Pearson correlation coefficient were used for Statistical analysis. The p-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: In this study, results showed that more than half of the HT patients have smoking habits (59.3%), alcoholic habits (71.4%) and family history of HT (73.8%), which is significantly higher as compared to NT controls (p=0.02, p=0.004, p=0.02, respectively). Anthropometric parameters height, weight and BMI were found significantly higher in HT patients as compared to NT controls (p=0.01, p=0.007, p=0.001, respectively). Mean of SBP and DBP were also found significantly higher in HT patients than NT controls (p=0.001, p=0.001, respectively). Urinary microalbumin, urinary creatinine and albumin creatinine ratio (ACR) were found significantly higher in HT patients as compared to NT controls (p=0.02, p=0.01, p=0.02, respectively). Correlation of various anthropometric and clinical parameters showed that ACR have significantly positive correlation with age in HT patients (r=0.364, p=0.05). Although, Urinary creatinine levels have negative correlation with age in HT patients, but not significant (r=-0.027). Similarly, ACR also have negative correlation with BMI, SBP and DBP in HT patients (r= -0.068, r= -0.145, r= -0.219, respectively), but these are not significant.

Conclusions: Interestingly, more than half of the hypertensive cases have family history of HT in this study population. Urinary microalbumin, urinary creatinine and ACR were found significantly higher in HT patients than NT controls. ACR has significantly positive correlation with age in HT patients.
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Introduction

Hypertension is one of the most challenging health problems in the world. It has been estimated that, globally, almost one billion individuals have hypertension.¹ By 2025, that number is estimated to increase by 60%, which means that 1.56 billion people could be hypertensive.² WHO statistics report showed that hypertension is the leading cause of mortality worldwide and responsible for 13% of global deaths.³

Several studies reported that the prevalence of microalbuminuria in hypertensive subjects ranges from 4.7% to 58.4%.⁴ ⁵ In another hand, the prevalence of microalbuminuria in different populations with the same clinical condition varies significantly. The prevalence of microalbuminuria in British population is about 11.8%,⁴ US population 7.1% to 8.2%⁷ and Asian populations 31%.⁸ A comparison between South Asian and white European populations living in the UK revealed that microalbuminuria is significantly more common in Asians (31% versus 20%).⁵ Prevalence of microalbuminuria was 6.6% in non-diabetic, non-hypertensive individuals. Similarly, 6.2% prevalence of microalbuminuria was reported by the Kidney Evaluation and Awareness Program in Sheffield (KEAPS).⁹

Microalbuminuria has recently emerged as an early marker of hypertension induced target organ damage. A large number of studies all over the world have found a strong correlation between onset of microalbuminuria and biochemical markers of atherosclerosis (high LDL, TG and reduced HDL) and have found a strong association between microalbuminuria and severity and duration of hypertension; micro vascular changes in kidneys, retina, and heart.¹⁰

Microalbuminuria has been associated with a number of unfavourable bio humoral risk factor as well as with subclinical organ damage in non diabetic patients with primary hypertension. Microalbuminuria reported in 10-40% of non- diabetics with hypertension, may serve as an early indicator of risk to develop subsequent proteinuria and progressive renal impairment.¹¹ Until late in the course of hypertensive nephropathy, renal damage is asymptomatic and
laboratory findings are subtle. The first objective sign of renal involvement is a small increase in the amount of albumin in urine. The normal range for urinary ACR is less than 30mg/gm; ACR in the range of 30-300mg/gm, which can be missed with routine clinical laboratory methods (e.g. Dipstick) is referred to as “Microalbuminuria”.11

The Joint National Commission on Hypertension (JNC VII) report includes microalbuminuria as evidence for the presence of target organ damage. Target Organ Damage indicates the need for more aggressive control of blood pressure (BP). However, routine evaluation of microalbuminuria is not recommended by international guidelines as part of the diagnostic workup of every hypertensive patient.12 Klag et al. had reported that essential hypertension strongly associated with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) in the African-American,13 whether such finding can be extended to other population (e.g. Caucasian cohort) is still unclear.

The aim of our study was to detect microalbuminuria in essential hypertension and its relation to severity of hypertension, BMI, age and potential risk factors for target organ damage such as hypertensive nephropathy in north Indian population.

Materials and Methods

Subject Selection: This case-control study was approved by the institutional ethical committee of the University. Subjects with HT patients and NT controls were enrolled from the outpatients Department of Medicine, IIMS&R, Integral University, Lucknow aged between 18 to 70 years based on inclusion/exclusion criteria. Written informed consent was taken from each subject and all procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of this university and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

The selected subjects have given their medical history, their family history, personal information and also undergone relevant investigations. Hypertensive patients were selected as per the Joint National Committee-7th definition of high blood pressure.14

Anthropometric parameters
Blood pressure measurement: After resting for 10 minutes in a sitting position, SBP and DBP were measured twice by the same two investigators using the same manual sphygmomanometer for all subjects. Mean blood pressures of two measurements were recorded.

BMI Estimation: Weight was recorded to the nearest kilogram (kg) with the subject standing on the weighing machine without shoes and using minimum of clothing. The same weighing machine was used for all the study subjects and the machine was tested with a known set of weights for any error.15 Height was recorded with the subject erect; bare footed; feet together; back and heels against the upright bar of height scale; head upright in Frankfort horizontal plane “look straight ahead”.16 Body Mass index was calculated from the formula; [BMI= Weight in Kilogram/ (Height in meters)2]

Laboratory investigations: 5 ml urine sample is collected in to sterile container on the same day and estimated for urinary microalbumin and creatinine. Laboratory standard operation procedures are maintained for all laboratory analysis. Urinary microalbumin and creatinine were investigated in all subjects by using commercially available kit on Siemens’ RXL fully chemistry Auto-analyzer.

Statistical Analysis
All the analysis was carried out by using SPSS 16.0 version (Chicago, Inc., USA). The results are presented in mean ± SD and percentage. Chi-square test is used to compare the categorical variables between cases and controls. Unpaired t-test is used to compare the study parameters between cases and controls. The Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated among the study parameters. The p-value<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Fig. 1: Scatter diagram showing correlation between age and ACR among HT cases
Table 1: Demographical characteristics of HT cases and NT control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>HT (n=42)</th>
<th>NT (n=42)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>7 (16.7)</td>
<td>5 (11.9)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40</td>
<td>35 (83.3)</td>
<td>37 (88.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>25 (59.5)</td>
<td>26 (61.9)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>17 (40.5)</td>
<td>16 (38.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking habit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>25 (59.5)</td>
<td>14 (33.3)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>17 (40.5)</td>
<td>28 (66.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic habit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>30 (71.4)</td>
<td>16 (38.1)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>12 (28.6)</td>
<td>26 (61.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of HT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>31 (73.8)</td>
<td>20 (47.6)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>11 (26.2)</td>
<td>22 (53.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as Number (Percentage)
*Significant considered as P<0.05.
HT: Hypertensive, NT: Normotensive

Table 2: Anthropometric and clinical parameters of HT cases and NT control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>HT (n=42)</th>
<th>NT (n=42)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>48.43±9.76</td>
<td>51.02±9.90</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>155.86±6.21</td>
<td>158.83±4.54</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>60.40±8.34</td>
<td>56.05±5.82</td>
<td>0.007*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.92±3.62</td>
<td>22.25±2.52</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>147.62±6.46</td>
<td>112.26±2.09</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>87.21±7.82</td>
<td>68.88±4.16</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary microalbumin level (mg/mmol)</td>
<td>26.09±4.66</td>
<td>24.03±3.50</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary creatinine level (mg/dl)</td>
<td>1.26±0.26</td>
<td>1.10±0.26</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACR (mg/dl)</td>
<td>26.09±4.66</td>
<td>24.03±3.50</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as Mean ± Standard Deviation
*Significant considered as P<0.05.

Table 3: Correlation of anthropometric and clinical parameters in HT cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>SBP</th>
<th>DBP</th>
<th>ACR</th>
<th>Urinary creatinine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.182</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.364*</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>-0.341*</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.850**</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>-0.068</td>
<td>-0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.656**</td>
<td>-0.145</td>
<td>-0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.219</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary creatinine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)
**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)
In this case-control study, 42 HT patients and 42 age and gender matched NT controls were enrolled. Out of this, 59.3% HT patients have smoking habits, which is significantly differed from NT controls (p=0.02). Similarly, 71.4% HT patients have alcoholic habits, which is also significantly differed from NT controls (p=0.004). In addition, 73.8% HT patients have family history of HT, which is found significantly higher than NT controls (p=0.02) shown in Table 1.

Table 2 shows that the mean age of HT patients (48.43±9.76 years) and NT controls (51.02±9.90 years) in this study. Anthropometric parameters height, weight and BMI were found significantly higher in HT patients as compared to NT controls (p=0.01, p=0.007, p=0.001, respectively). Mean of SBP and DBP were also found significantly higher in HT patients than NT controls (p=0.001, p=0.001, respectively). Urinary microalbumin, urinary creatinine and ACR were found significantly higher in HT patients as compared to NT controls (p=0.02, p=0.01, p=0.02, respectively). Correlation of various anthropometric and clinical parameters showed that ACR have significantly positive correlation with age in HT patients (r=0.364, p<0.05). Although, Urinary creatinine levels have negative correlation with age in HT patients, but not significant (r=-0.027). ACR also have negative correlation with BMI, SBP and DBP in HT patients (r=-0.068, r=-0.145, r=-0.219, respectively), but these are not significant (Table 3).

Discussion

In this study, results showed that more than half of the HT patients have smoking habits (59.3%), alcoholic habits (71.4%) and family history of HT (73.8%), which is significantly higher as compared to NT controls. Several studies previously reported with accordance of our findings. Virdis et al. reported that hypertensive patients with smoking habits are more likely to develop severe hypertension, which including malignant and renovascular hypertension, an effect likely due to an accelerated atherosclerosis. Findings identify an increase in BP of active smokers or non-smokers exposed to passive smoking, while a lot of others refer a lowering of BP due to smoking. This discrepancy could be explained as follows. In initial phase of smoking, a vasoconstriction mediated by nicotine causes acute but transient elevation in SBP. This phase is followed by a decrease in BP as a consequence of depressant effects played chronically by nicotine itself. Concurrently, carbonmonoxide is acting directly on the arterial wall and causing structurally irreversible alterations in the long time exposure. At this time, there is a change in BP that increases again, and often constantly, its levels following chronic exposure. In HT patients with smoking habits, it has been observed that response of antihypertensive drugs altered, because smoking influences metabolic steps of the drugs.

Puddey et al. previously had reported that alcohol consumption may play a major role in the elevation of BP in NT controls also, which can be reversed when normal drinking habits were resumed. Arkwright et al. had reported that alcohol consumption was largely responsible for the 3-4 folds excess of hypertension in moderate to heavy drinkers. In a recent study, Roercke et al. suggested that a reduction of alcohol intake reduces BP in a dose-dependent manner and implementation of effective alcohol interventions would substantially reduce the disease burden from both alcohol and raised BP.

An increase in the number of family members with hypertension was associated with an increasing prevalence of hypertension and BP in the probands, independent of conventional risk factors for hypertension. Family members of hypertensive subjects may need to be treated in primary prevention efforts related to hypertension. Ranasinghe et al. showed that the prevalence of hypertension was significantly higher in those with a family history (FH) of hypertension. FH of hypertension was also associated with the prevalence of obesity, central obesity and metabolic syndrome. Individuals who have FH of hypertension can be easily identified and benefitted from targeted interventions.

Results showed that anthropometric parameters height, weight and BMI were found significantly higher in HT patients as compared to NT controls. Yu et al. reported that increased BMI was an increased risk for hypertension in Chinese adult population. In an epidemiological study, Bovet et al. observed that prevalence of high BP was elevated with excess body weight in the urban population in an early stage of the epidemiological transition of a country.

Mean of SBP and DBP were also found significantly higher in HT patients than NT controls in our study. This indicates that the HT patients needed drug intervention to reduce the progression and development of cardiovascular diseases (CVD) with increasing age. A Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure provided key messages that those older than age 50, SBP of >140 mmHg is a more important CVD risk factor than DBP; beginning at 115/75 mmHg, CVD risk doubles for each increment of 20/10 mmHg; those who are normotensive at 55 years of age will have a 90 percent lifetime risk of developing hypertension; prehypertensive individuals (SBP 120–139mmHg or DBP 80–89 mmHg).

Urinary microalbuminuria, Urinary creatinine and ACR were found significantly higher in HT patients as compared to NT controls in our study population. Gupta et al. studied that the microalbuminuria positive cases had significantly higher SBP. Microalbuminuria positive HT patients had significantly higher level of serum creatinine, because microalbuminuria positive
HT patients had significantly lower creatinine clearance and a higher uric acid and cholesterol, which are leading cause of coronary artery disease and retinopathy in microalbuminuria positive HT patients.\textsuperscript{10}

Correlation of various anthropometric and clinical parameters showed that ACR have significantly positive correlation with age in HT patients. Although, ACR have negative correlation with BMI, SBP and DBP in HT patients, but these are not significant. Higher ACRs, even within the normal range, are independently associated with increased risk for development of hypertension among women without diabetes.\textsuperscript{26} Sung et al. observed that low levels of ACR (below 30 mg/g) and albuminuria are linked with increased risk of hypertension and CVD mortality at follow-up, but are not associated with increased risk of diabetes mellitus.\textsuperscript{27} A multiple regression analysis showed that age was an independent predictor of spot ACR.\textsuperscript{28} Similarly, the ACR distribution exhibited a highly skewed pattern, with approximately 60% of untreated, non-diabetic hypertensive patients exhibiting a high-normal or larger ACR. Both hypertension and age are independent risk factors that increase the ACR. The present study indicated that a significant percentage of HT patients require anti-hypertensive drugs with antiproteinuric effects at the start of treatment.\textsuperscript{29}

Conclusion

Interestingly, more than half of the hypertensive cases have family history of HT in this study population. Urinary microalbumin, urinary creatinine and ACR were found significantly higher in HT patients than NT controls. ACR has significantly positive correlation with age in HT patients.
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