Myeloid sarcoma of maxillary sinus
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Abstract
We report a case of isolated myeloid sarcoma (MS) of maxillary sinus in a patient who had no evidence of leukemia at the time of diagnosis of MS. A 39 year old healthy woman presented with right cheek swelling of one year duration. CT scan of paranasal sinuses showed an illdefined, heterogenously enhancing soft tissue density lesion in relation to right maxilla. CT findings suggested the possibility of inflammatory phlegmon with osteomyelitis. Biopsy showed a malignant round cell neoplasm which on immunohistochemistry was confirmed to be myeloid sarcoma. On follow up, one month later her peripheral blood and bone marrow showed features of Acute Myeloid Leukemia which was confirmed to be AML- M2 on Flow cytometry. The diagnosis of myeloid sarcoma has always been difficult especially when it is presenting in an unusual location in a non leukemic patient.
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Introduction
Myeloid sarcoma is a tumor mass consisting of myeloid blasts with or without maturation occurring at an anatomical site other than the bone marrow1. Almost every site of body can be involved. Frequently affected sites are skin, lymphnode, bone, soft tissue and testis.2 Isolated myeloid sarcoma of paranasal sinuses are rare.7 Diagnosis tends to be difficult especially if the tumor does not occur in the context of AML and manifests in a very rare site. We are presenting such a rare case.

Case History
A 39 year old female presented to our dental department complaining of right cheek swelling of one year duration. On examination she had a hard well defined swelling measuring 3x2 cm in relation to right maxilla obliterating the vestibule and extending to infraorbital margin. Routine laboratory investigations showed Haemoglobin-11.5gm/dl, Total count- 8400/cmm, Platelet count- 2.88Lakhs. Differential count was within normal limits. CT scan of paranasal sinuses done elsewhere showed an ildefined, heterogenously enhancing, soft tissue density lesion measuring 1.4x1x0.4cms. Microscopy showed a diffusely infiltrating neoplasm arranged in diffuse sheets and cords separated by hyalinised fibrous stroma and infiltrating walls of vessel and fat lobules (Fig. 1). Indian file pattern was seen in some areas. The cells were small to medium sized with vesicular nucleus, prominent nucleolus and moderate amount of eosinophilic cytoplasm. A report was given as Malignant round cell neoplasm and advised immunohistochemistry for further categorization. Our differentials were Lymphoma and undifferentiated carcinoma. A remote possibility of myeloid sarcoma was also considered as the morphology was not typical of the above conditions and as the tumor showed an indian file pattern. In the first panel of Immunohistochemistry, we included Pan CK, CD 20, CD 3, CD 5, and myeloperoxidase (MPO). The tumor cells were positive for MPO (Fig. 2) and were negative for CD 20, CD 3, CD 5, and Pan CK. In the second panel we added CD 117, CD 43, CD 34 (Fig. 3) to support the diagnosis and to know the blastic nature and CD 68 to rule out monocytic differentiation. The tumor cells were positive for CD 117, CD 43, CD 34(Fig. 3) to support the diagnosis and to know the blastic nature and CD 68 to rule out monocytic differentiation. The tumor cells were positive for CD 117, CD 43, CD 34 and negative for CD 68. With these immunohistochemistry findings, a diagnosis of myeloid sarcoma was made. We advised oncology consultation, peripheral blood and bone marrow evaluation.
One month later we received her peripheral smear and bone marrow aspirate for evaluation. At the time of bone marrow evaluation, the haemogram showed: Haemoglobin-10.4 gm/dl, total count- 5500 / cmm and platelet count -1.67 Lakhs. Peripheral smear and bone marrow aspirate showed many blasts with increased N:C ratio, fine chromatin, two to three nucleoli. Some of these cells had Auer rods in the cytoplasm. A diagnosis of Acute myeloid leukemia was given. Flow cytometry showed gated leucocytes in blast region highly positive for CD33, CD34, CD45, CD117, HLADR, MPO, and moderately positive for CD13. Flow cytometry confirmed the diagnosis as AML –M2. Cytogenetics showed t (8;21) (q22;q22). Inversion 16 (p13;q22) and t (15,17)(q21;q22) was not detected.

The patient was put on Cytarabine based chemotherapy. She completed chemotherapy. Her cheek swelling disappeared. Her peripheral blood counts are within normal limits. She is on regular follow up and is doing well.

**Discussion**

Myeloid sarcoma may occur denovo, precede AML or coincide with AML or occur after the diagnosis of AML.\(^2\,8\) It may represent an acute blastic transformation of myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), myeloproliferative neoplasm (MPN) and MDS/MPN.\(^2\) MS may also be the initial manifestation of relapse in a patient with previously diagnosed AML.\(^2\)

Paranasal sinuses are very rare sites for myeloid sarcoma especially MS preceding AML.\(^6\,9\) Our case highlights such a rare presentation. Clinically our case presented as cheek swelling and radiologically interpreted as inflammatory lesion. The clinical diagnosis of myeloid sarcoma is difficult and the definitive diagnosis can only be made after histological and immunohistochemical analysis. In cases lacking a history of any known hematological abnormality, it may be misdiagnosed since MS can mimic lymphoma or undifferentiated carcinoma on histology. A correct diagnosis requires a high index of suspicion. A diagnosis of MS should be thought of in any unusual, odd-looking or difficult to classify tumor and in tumors showing a prominent Indian file pattern of infiltration of stroma.\(^1\) Currently the most sensitive and specific immunohistochemical marker is Myeloperoxidase.\(^1\) For poorly differentiated MS, Myeloperoxidase may be negative and the diagnosis can be supported by positive staining for CD 117 and CD 34.\(^1\) For monocytic type of MS, Myeloperoxidase is negative, whereas CD 68 and CD 163 are usually positive.\(^1\) In our case, biopsy done to exclude malignancy, helped to arrive at a diagnosis of MS. This was followed by peripheral blood and bone marrow evaluation. Our case is isolated MS preceding AML.

Myeloid sarcoma has been described in association with a variety of chromosomal abnormalities like t (8; 21) and inv 16.\(^5\) Our case showed t (8; 21). Some studies have shown that interaction of some chemokine receptors (CXCR4, CCR5, CXCR7 and CX3CR1) results in homing and retention of the blasts in other sites.\(^3\) The current treatment recommendation for isolated Myeloid sarcoma and Myeloid sarcoma occurring in AML patients is AML- type chemotherapy.\(^3\) Diagnosis of Myeloid sarcoma in a nonleukemic patient in an unusual site is often a challenge for pathologist.
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