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**Abstract**

**Background:** Small scale sunflower oil industries are industries which extract oil from sunflower seed. Apart from its contribution to the economic development of the nation, during extraction process much dust concentration produced and respiratory symptoms are unknown. The aim of study was to assess dust exposure and associated respiratory health symptoms among small scale sunflower oil industries workers in Singida Tanzania.

**Methods:** A cross sectional study was conducted and 233 participants were interviewed using a modified American Thoracic Society questionnaire to assess the respiratory health symptoms. A Side Kick Casella Pump was used to collect respirable dust from a sub sample of 66 workers from the sieving section.

**Results:** Respirable dust exposure levels for mean, and Geometrical mean was 10.45 mg/m³ (SD 13.90) and GM=5.25 mg/m³ (GSD 0.51) respectively, and 46% of the dust samples (n=66) were above the TLV of 5 mg/m³. Logistic regression analysis between measured dust and respiratory symptoms found to be statistically significant. Higher prevalence of respiratory health symptoms were seen in those with cough (33%), cough with phlegm (46.8%) and wheezy (41.6%).

**Conclusion:** The study concludes that, respiratory symptoms were high and dust exposure was above recommended levels of 5 mg/m³. We recommend use of appropriate RPE.
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1. Introduction

Small scale sunflower oil industries are industries which extract oil from sunflower seed. This crop (Helianthus annuus lenticularis) is one of the most important oilseed crops in Tanzania and other many countries for industrial purposes. Current statistical data in Tanzania on sunflower shows that local production of both factory and home extracted oils contributes to about 40% of the national cooking oil requirement and its gaining popularity with the remaining 60% being imported (Strategy, 2008)

Apart from its contribution to the economic development of the nation, during extraction process much dust and other debris are produced, which are harmful to human health. Studies show that many occupational diseases which cause death arise due to workers exposure to dust or particulates at work in many different working conditions depending on the nature of work they are performing ((Tim Driscoll, Steenland K, Nelson, 2005) and many of these workers develop respiratory complications because of the lack of knowledge of potential hazards posed by industrial dust at their working environment(Michael D. Shaw, 2004). The study shows that, as the economic activities increases also the occupational death and injuries increases at workplaces (Mrema, Ngowi, & Mamuya, 2015).

Workers working in small and large scale industries have been exposed to different types of dust during production process. Different cases of respiratory problems have been reported which are associated with occupational dust exposure and the magnitude of problems influenced by dust itself and duration of workers working in dusty conditions (Mohammadien, Hussein, & El-Sokkary, 2013). Furthermore different studies have shown relationship between dust exposures level and respiratory health effect of workers working in grain and flour mills and its prevalence was reported to be higher (Ghosh, Gangopadhyay, & Das, 2014). Worldwide occupational exposure to particulates are the key factors for death and disability due to its major effects, the study shows that about 1.6 million of deaths are caused by asthma, 3.8 million deaths are caused by Chronic Obstructive pulmonary diseases (COPD) and 1.3 million deaths are caused by pneumoconiosis each year(Tim Driscoll, Steenland K, Nelson DI, 2005),(Tim Driscoll, Steenland, et al, 2004).

Study done on Occupational chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases concluded that, 15% of COPD is caused by occupational exposure of dusts, gas, fumes and vapour(Pedersen, Schlünnsen, Sigsgaard, Cs, & Viskum, 2014).

In Least Developing Country (LDC) health and safety are not given much attention and millions of workers are victims of work related diseases and illness because of much higher exposure to hazardous working environment and unable to report the actual problems because of the fear for loosing job (Rabiul, 2001).

However, workers' protection against dust and other occupational hazards is a major challenge in Tanzania, particularly in small-scale industries which have been shown to be neglected, unregulated, and left without any form of preventive, protective or health promotion action (L. M. Rongo, G. Msamanga, et al. 2004), (Buhlebenkosi, Sibanda, et al. 2013).

In Tanzania, there is limited information on dust exposure concentration and associated respiratory health symptoms among small-scale sunflower oil industry workers.

Hence, this study aimed to determine the concentration of dust exposure and associated respiratory health symptoms among small-scale sunflower oil industries workers in Singida, Tanzania.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Population and Setting

A cross-sectional study design was conducted in Singida, Tanzania among small-scale sunflower oil industries between May and June 2017.

The study population involved workers working in small-scale sunflower oil industries for 12 months and above in the study area.

2.2. Sample Size Estimation and Sampling Technique

We obtained a sample of 233 workers using a single standard proportion formula Kirkwood (Kirkwood, 2003). Since no national data on the prevalence of respiratory health symptoms in small-scale sunflower oil mill industries, prevalence (P) of 27% on coffee industries workers study at Kilimanjaro – Tanzania (Sakwari, Bråtveit, Mamuya, & Moen, 2011) were used for the purpose of this study.

A multistage sampling technique was used to select study participants from the study area. At first stage, simple random sampling was used to select three wards out of sixteen wards in Singida Municipality. At the second stage, simple random sampling was used to select ten small-scale industries each from the selected three wards making a total of thirty (30) small-scale industries. Thereafter from thirty (30) industries, a simple random sampling was used to select five (5) industries to be included on study and all workers who were eligible and had worked for more than one year or equal were selected until a total number of 233 study participants were obtained.

2.3. Sample Size for Respirable Dust

Selection of workers to be sampled for respirable dust were selected from 30 small-scale industries operating in the area, a systematic randomly sampling was used to select 7 representative small-scale industries from which a NIOSH guideline was used to select Homogeneous Exposure Group from a job section who perform similar tasks different from other workers. Given the number of workers in small-scale industries from the owners, six industries with N= 6 and one industry with N = 7 were included, and for ensuring validity of study,
repeated sample was carried out where by half of the participants were involved at each industries (6 x3) and (1x4) by randomly sampling. Therefore total of 66 study participants for respirable dust exposure assessment as per NIOSH guideline were included (Leidel A. et al NIOSH, 1997). Also one cassette with filter were taken after each 10 samples as dummy for ensuring if there is weight gain or loss for filters which will be computing during calculation of dust concentration.

2.4. Exposure Assessment

Personal dust exposure was sampled throughout the work shift for 8 hours in the breathing zone of participants who agreed to participate in the study. Sampling was done by using Side Kick Casella (SKC) pumps operated at a flow rate of 2.0 L/minutes attached to closed cassettes fitted with 37mm of Poly Vinyl Chloride (PVC) filters of 0.5 micrometer (um) pore size. Humidity in the filters was removed using desiccators for 24 hours in the conditioned room before and after field work. Also the filters were weighted by a Mettler Toledo weighing balance before and after sampling at MUHAS Laboratory. Thereafter the cassettes with filter taken to the field for dust sampling, and the sampling time varied from 300 to 480 minutes.

Dust concentration for each exposed worker was calculated and means dust concentration was obtained. The results were compared to the standard, TLV of 5 mg/m3.

For field information control, dust sampler was labeled each day of dust sampling. Dust collection form was used to collect information such as name, date, pump number, filter number, total time sampled and pre-and post-calibration.

2.5. Assessment of Reported Respiratory Health Symptoms

Questionnaire guided to assess respiratory health symptoms among workers. The questions were adopted from a standardized questionnaire for assessing respiratory symptoms from the American Thoracic Society (ATS). In Tanzania, the questionnaire was adopted, modified and used by Mamuya et al., (2007) on their study to assess respiratory symptoms among coal mines workers, and the results were successful. The questions were modified to suit the Tanzanian environment. The modified questions were translated to Swahili and then back to English by different person. The back translated questionnaire was then compared to the original English version and corrections were made in the translated questionnaire. Interviews were conducted in Swahili language, and observation checklists were used to assess the use of personal respiratory protective equipment at each industry.

2.6. Data Collection

Data was collected using a structured interview questionnaire and observation checklist, which was constructed in English and translated into Swahili language and back to English, comprise closed ended questions, with predefined answers. The questionnaires were piloted in a small sample of the 15 workers in small scale sunflower oil industries in Dodoma region. Pretesting of the study helped to review the questions and to improve those which seemed to be difficult to the
respondents, but these data were excluded in the analysis. Information was collected based on demographic, occupational history and respiratory health symptoms.

On the days of data collection prior to interview, the research team introduced themselves and the purpose of the research was outlined to them.

The respondents who were accessible, meeting criteria and willing to participate in the study were provided the consent form. The interview was carried out during working day; thereafter respondents were required to wear the dust sampling pump. Machine was positioned at each respiratory zone (within 30 cm from the nose) of respondents for 8 working hours and thereafter machines were removed for laboratory analysis. To ensure confidentiality researchers were informed the participants not to write their names instead the number could be written on the questionnaires.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 was used to process the data. The data entered in the computer was cleaned by running frequency percentages. Cleaned data for descriptive analysis were analyzed where frequency distribution and percentages were presented in bar chart, pie chart and cross tabulation tables. Chi - square tests were used to assess the statistical significance of the association between categorical variables. Ficher’s exact test was used when any expected value was less than 5. P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Logistic regression analysis was employed for controlling of confounders such as age, smoking, previous dust exposure, duration of employment.

2.8. Ethical Clearance

Ethical approval was obtained from Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Directorate of Research ethics and publication committee with reference number MU/PGS/SAEC/Vol.IX/85

3. Results and Discussions

In this study all 233 participants agreed to be interviewed and respirable dust was obtained from 66 workers.

3.1. Socio Demographic Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 25</td>
<td>52(22.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-35</td>
<td>100(42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>52(22.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 46</td>
<td>29(12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>107(45.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. Respiratory Health Symptoms

Table 2: Proportions of respiratory health symptoms among workers (n =233)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Frequency(n)</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cough</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually cough</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On getting up or first thing in the morning</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During day or night</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 6 times a day</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 days more out of a week</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On most days for as much 3 months each year</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phlegm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bring phlegm from chest</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On getting up or first thing in the morning</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twice a day, or four days more out of a week?</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wheezy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound wheezy</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheezy when cold</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chest tightness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest feel tight</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic lung condition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphysema</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Use of Respiratory Protective Equipment (RPE)

The uses of respiratory protective equipment were higher among 67.8% of participants while 32.2% reported not using any form of respiratory equipment. Among those responded using respiratory equipment 55.8% of participants use a piece of clothes as their RPE and 14.6% use both a piece of clothes and modern mask to cover their mouth and nose during the 8 hours of working and the equipment were not classified according to the quality of protection.
3.4. Sunflower Dust Exposure (N = 66)

The study showed that, the mean personal dust exposure level is 10.45 mg/m3 (SD 13.90) for workers in small scale oil industries included in the study for a mean sampling time of 8 hours. The Geometric mean (GM) was 5.24 mg/m3 (GSD 0.50). About 46% of the workers were exposed to dust above the acceptable recommended limit of 5 mg/m3 of respirable dust of American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienist (ACGIH).

3.5. Logistic Regression of Sunflower Dust Concentration and Respiratory Health Symptoms

Table 3: Show Logistic regression of Sunflower dust and Respiratory health symptoms (n = 66)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DUST CONCENTRATION</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>2.567</td>
<td>1.673 - 3.937</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phlegm</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>1.264</td>
<td>0.917 - 1.742</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheezing</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>1.742</td>
<td>1.223 - 2.481</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult breathing</td>
<td>1.761</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>5.819</td>
<td>2.891 - 11.714</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breathlessness</td>
<td>1.761</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>5.819</td>
<td>2.891 - 11.714</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Odds ratio (OR), 95%, P< 0.05, Standard error (SE)

3.6. Logistic Regression of Risk Factors of Respiratory Health Symptoms

Table 4: Logistic regression of risk factors of respiratory health symptoms (n = 233)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COUGH</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.016</td>
<td>1.009 -1.024</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment duration</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>1.909</td>
<td>1.490- 2.447</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cigarette</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>1.471</td>
<td>1.272- 1.701</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous dust job</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>1.457</td>
<td>1.260- 1.686</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHLEGH</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>0.994- 1.008</td>
<td>0.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment duration</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>0.881- 1.388</td>
<td>0.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cigarette</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>1.111</td>
<td>0.970- 1.274</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous dust job</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>1.042</td>
<td>0.909- 1.194</td>
<td>0.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WHEEZING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>1.001- 1.016</td>
<td>0.024*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment duration</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>1.396</td>
<td>1.106- 1.761</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cigarette</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>1.232</td>
<td>1.073- 1.415</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous dust job</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>1.160</td>
<td>1.011-1.332</td>
<td>0.035*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIFFICULT BREATHING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.073</td>
<td>1.057- 1.089</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment duration</td>
<td>2.085</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>8.044</td>
<td>5.300-12.209</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cigarette</td>
<td>1.169</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>3.217</td>
<td>2.547- 4.064</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Discussion

The general objective of the present study was to assess the dust exposure and associated respiratory health symptoms among workers in small scale sunflower oil industry in Singida Tanzania, with the purpose to develop strategies for control of sunflower dust exposure and prevent respiratory health symptoms such as (cough, phlegm, wheezing, chest tightness and breathlessness) to workers. One of the reasons for the assessment of sunflower dust exposure in Singida was about the risk of respirable dust to human health. The findings of this study could lay foundation for the development of strategies to prevent and control sunflower dust exposure to small scale sunflower oil industry not only in Tanzania but also in other African countries using the same technology.

4.1. Respiratory Health Symptoms Among Workers in Sunflower Oil Industries

This study shows low respiratory symptoms prevalence ranging from 9% to 47% similar to the findings shown by (Ghasemkhani., M.Kumashiro M. 2006),(Shamssain, 1995) among workers in industries of Tehran Iran and workers in Umtata Southern Africa respectively.

These results are inconsistent with those of (Mohammadien HA., Hussein MT., El-Sokkary RT., 2013) who study on the effect of exposure to flour dust on respiratory symptoms and pulmonary function of mill workers in Sohag Governorate, Southern Egypt and showed higher prevalent of respiratory health symptoms ranging from 7% to 73%. The observed difference could perhaps be explained by setting in which the study was done.

This study also showed that, there is no association of employment and respiratory symptoms. This could perhaps be explained by the health workers effect; workers who are already serious sick are exempted from work.

4.2. Dust Exposure

The study showed that 46% of samples exceeded permitted dust levels according to the limit set by ACGIH. The results are different from the study of (Zuskin, Skuric, & Kanceljak, 1988) Respiratory symptoms and ventilator capacity in soy bean workers in United State which shows, dust exposure for respirable dust exceed the recommended limit which is low compare to sunflower dust exposure.
The observed difference could be due to the study setting, but also it could be due to measures used for dust control, large industry might have used engineering measure for dust control as compared to small scale.

The results are also different to the findings shown by (Nelson, Easter, Jimmy., et al, 2015), (Bhatti P., Newcomer L., Onstad L., 2010) among wood dust in United State, wood dust in Nigeria showed higher 86.4% of participants exposed to wood dust and 20 mg/m3 found in compressed air cleaning respectively. This may be because of small sample size in our study.

4.3. Use of Respiratory Protective Equipment

This study shows that, the increase of respiratory symptoms is due to the dust. Higher use of PPE was associated with less symptoms, this was due to the fact that the higher percent of workers in small scale sunflower oil industries found using the RPE which are not classified or recommended for the quality of protection. Only few workers observed wearing both piece of clothes and the modern mask at the same times when exposing to higher levels of dust. Even if the workers provided with modern mask were not comfortable with the quality standard of the RPE.

These findings are similar to the study done in Nigeria on occupational exposure to wood dust, where workers did not use personal protective equipment such as respiratory protective equipment (Nelson O., Easter CO., Jimmy CE, 2015) This is also revealed to the study done in Tanzania in wood dust where only 6.5% of workers were found using a piece of clothes as the RPE for dust control and which is not effective for dust control (L. M. B. Rongo., G. Msamnga., et al. 2005).

Also it was observed that, 55.8% of the participants wearing a piece of clothes as the RPE and 32% of the participants not using any form of RPE. This is similar to the study done in Tanzania on respiratory symptoms among street sweepers which show 61.8% of participants wearing piece of cloth and only 47.1% of the participants not wearing RPE and concluded that the lack of RPE lead to the increase of respiratory symptoms (Stambuli, 2012).

These finding are in line with the study done on dust exposure during small scale mining in Tanzania which showed that working without using RPE, workers are exposed to high risk of developing respiratory symptoms (Mohammadien HA., Hussein MT. et al, 2013).

Following the results shown above, workers in small scale industries found in Singida were not using RPE as recommended by OSHA the reason could be provided or inadequate provision of RPE from their employers.

4.4. Predictor of Respiratory Health Symptoms

This study showed that those smoking cigarette were more likely to have cough, phlegm and wheezing, and previous dusty job are three times more likely to have difficulty breathing and breathlessness as the respiratory health symptoms. This study support the finding of organic dust
study showing that cigarette smoking was the contributory factor of respiratory health symptoms (Laakkonen, 2008).

Also the finding was similar to the study done on respiratory impairment in coffee factory workers in Papua New Guinea and exposure to wood dust to sawmill workers in Nigeria which show that, smoking and previous dusty exposure are the predictor of respiratory health symptoms (Smith, Brott, & Koki, 1985), (Tobin Ea., Ediagbonya Tf, Okojie Oh, et al, 2016) respectively.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

Study shows that workers in small scale sunflower oil industry are exposed to respirable dust which is higher than the recommended TLV. These effects can be reduced by proper dust control measures. The results indicate that the higher proportion of workers in small scale sunflower oil industries found using clothes and linen as the RPE which are for protection.

6. Recommendations

1) Frequently Spirometry test could be conducted to determine the effect of lung function.
2) Workers working in sunflower oil industry are exposed to higher dust level, therefore they should prevent themselves on cigarette smoking alone increases the respiratory health symptoms.
3) Engineering controls to reduce dust exposure at workplace should be applied as the first measure of dust control.
4) Workers should use recommended RPE because of dust levels of dust exposure.
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