ATRIAL FIBRILLATION IN PATIENT WITH DIABETES MELLITUS 2 TYPE: CO-EXISTANCE AND THERAPEUTIC APPROACHES
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On the example of the clinical case of atrial fibrillation in patient with diabetes mellitus type 2, were discussed molecular mechanisms and therapeutic perspectives, anticoagulation treatment and anti-arrhythmic treatment strategies benefit. Recommendations on lifestyle modification and medicament treatment tactics are described.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the most frequent cardiac arrhythmias in therapeutic practice is atrial fibrillation (AF). This type of arrhythmias is associated with increased cardiovascular and cerebrovascular complication’s risk and mortality. Despite, the relationship between diabetes and AF is unclear [1], nowadays medical world focuses mostly on increased risk of thromboembolic events in patients with co-existed AF and DM but there are significant evidences of an increased AF prevalence in patients with diabetes. Insulin resistance, impaired glucose tolerance, immunity alternations with hypercoagulability, increased angiogenesis and extracellular matrix turnover in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) as a result will lead to possible endothelial dysfunction, abnormal activation of the reninangiotensin-aldosterone system and pathological atherogenesis , as AF appearance
factors [2]. Also the mail triggers in AF occurrence can be remodeling of the left atrium, atrial dilatation and interstitial fibrosis seen in patients with diabetes [3]. Some studies in patients with diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance with treatment approach of radiofrequency ablation for paroxysmal AF have shown significantly decreased right and left atria voltages due to atrial electrical remodeling and atrial fibrosis [4]. The influence of diabetes on the autonomic nervous system – sympathetic and parasympathetic – leads to increased uptake choline and release acetylcholine with shortened atrial effective refractory period and increased dispersion [5–6]. Not all authors are agreeing with this hypothesis. Thus, Frost et al. found any difference in AF rate between diabetic men and women, whereas other ones (Benjamin et al., Nichols et al.) revealed greater risk of AF in women with DM than in male population. In Ruigomez et al. study with 1035 DM + AF patients comparing with 5000 non-diabetic patients with AF were found no association between DM and AF occurrence. A large meta-analysis made in 2011 patients with diabetes had a nearly 40% greater risk of AF comparing to non-diabetic patients (relative risk 1.39, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.10–1.75; P ≤ 0.001) [1]. So influence of DM on AF occurrence and re-occurrence after cardioversion is still a matter of discussion.

CLINICAL CASE

A 78-year old female was admitted by ambulance in the emergency department of 5 Kharkiv clinical hospital with complains on palpitation, episodic pressing pain in left part of chest, no clear connection with provoking factors; dyspnea on mild physical exertion and labile blood pressure.

ANAMNESIS MORBI

Patient has a 2-year history of arterial hypertension and atrial fibrillation with an average blood pressure (BP) level of 170/100 mm Hg, which was unsuccessfully ambulatory treated with amiodarone, digoxin, aspirin, spironolactone, and Lisinopril. Hyperglycemia for several years without specific treatment. She was admitted to the hospital following deterioration in her health due to unsuccessful previous therapy.

ANAMNESIS VITAE

Childhood infections, injuries, tuberculosis, sexually transmitted diseases were denied by patient. Hereditary diseases are not identified. Allergic history is burdened (allergic dermatitis for digoxin). Smoking – denied, not an alcohol abuser. Family history of DM and cardiovascular disease: mother had DM and AH.

OBJECTIVE EXAMINATION

Consciousness: clear; state: moderate severity; body position: active. Temperature: 36.7°C. Patient can orientate herself in place, time and personality. Moves with a walker only. Appearance: pale skin, acrocyanosis. Thyroid gland: within normal limits. Musculoskeletal: deformation of hip and knee joints, patient can only walk with support. Obesity II degree (BMI – 35.0), Respiratory rate – 22/min. Lung percussion: no clinically significant changes, resonance. Lung auscultation: weakened breathing in the lower parts of both lungs. Pulse: arrhythmic, 90 bpm; BP – 160/80 mm Hg. Borders of the heart: left border is displaced 2 cm outwards from the left mid clavicular line. Heart auscultation: heart rate (HR) – 97/min, arrhythmic, atrial fibrillation. Muffled heart tones, accentuation of the second tone on the pulmonary trunk point of auscultation, diffuse systolic murmur in all points with epicenter at the apex of the heart. Abdomen: soft and painless, enlarged due to deposition of adipose tissue. Liver: near the rib edge, no pain on palpation of the right hypochondriac region. Spleen: not palpated. Pasternatsky symptom: negative on both sides. Stool and diuresis: normal. Bilateral pitting edema of lower extremities.

LABORATORY AND INSTRUMENTAL TESTS

Complete blood count data showed: high levels of hemoglobin (152 g/l) within the normal ranges [120–140], red blood cells (5.06 within the normal ranges 3.9–4.7*10⁸/l) and hematocrit (43 % within the normal ranges 34–42 %). Urinalysis: microalbuminuria (0.03 g/l), hematuria as signs of diabetic nephropathy; leukocyturia (½ of field), bacteria (many) as chronic pyelonephritis presentation. In biochemistry analysis data significant were: hyperglycemia (7.23 mmol/l), hypercreatinemia (94 mmol/l), low GFR (79.42 %) as confirmation of diabetes mellitus 2 type present
and its complication diabetic nephropathy. Glycemic profile 5.1 – 5.8 – 5.5 – 10.7 – 4.6 – 6.2 mmol/l. Lipid profile showed dyslipidemia with elevated concentrations of total cholesterol till 5.66 (normal ranges – < 5.2mmol/l), lipoproteins of low density till 4.05 mmol/l (N – < 3.5), lipoproteins of high density till 1.08 mmol/l (N – ≥ 0.9), index of atherogenicity was 4.24 within normal limits < 3.0. Patient didn’t take any constant hypolipidemic therapy before hospitalization.

ECG during admission showed atrial fibrillation, left ventricle (LV) myocardium hypertrophy, alternation of repolarization as ST-depression in V2–V6, I, II – I mm.

In our patient chest X-ray was found signs of pulmonary hypertension: enlarged pulmonary arteries enlarged right atrium, elevated cardiac apex due to right ventricular hypertrophy. Enlargement of heart shadow to the left. Sclerotic changes of the aortic arch. Widening of the upper mediastinum to the right side.


**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**


**TREATMENT RECEIVED IN HOSPITAL**

Clopidogrel 75 mg 1 time/day, nebivolol 5 mg 1 time/day, valsartan 80 mg 1 time/day, atorvastatin 80 mg 1 time/day, torasemide 10 mg 1 time/day, cardioargin 5 ml IV 1 time/day for 5 days, metformine initial – 500 mg PO every 12 hr, after adjustment: 1500 mg/day.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

Despite good progress in the management of patients with atrial fibrillation, it remains one of the major causes of stroke, heart failure, sudden death, and cardiovascular morbidity in the world. Reflecting the multidisciplinary input into the management of patients with AF, especially in patients with diabetes mellitus, for our patient could be recommended:

1. Diabetes is a risk factor of AF complications. Prolongation of diabetes duration in patients with AF, connected with risk of thrombo-embolism, anticoagulation therapy-related bleeding [5]. Treatment with metformin [7] seems to be associated with a decreased long-term risk of AF in diabetic patients and may even be associated with a lower long-term stroke risk [8], also for patient with established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease therapy should begin with lifestyle
management and metformin (+ in future empagliflozin and liraglutide if needed proven to reduce major adverse cardiovascular events). Behavioral therapy designed to achieve > 5% weight loss should be prescribed. With the body mass index (BMI) progression increases the risk for AF. Predisposing factors for obese patients may are LV diastolic dysfunction, increased sympathetic activity and inflammatory changes, and abnormal atrial fatty infiltration [9]. Management of risk factors along with weight loss recommendations will be useful in reducing of AF burden and symptoms [10].


3. In patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) in our patient with AF it’s hard to separate symptoms of HF from symptoms caused by AF appearance itself. In this case will be useful echocardiography and natriuretic peptide levels as evidence of relevant structural heart disease. The management of patients with AF and concomitant HFpEF should focus on the control of fluid balance and concomitant conditions such as hypertension and myocardial ischemia [12–13]. In adults with HFpEF who present with symptoms of volume overload, diuretics should be prescribed to control hypertension. Adults with HFpEF and persistent hypertension after management of volume overload should be prescribed angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or angiotensin receptors blockers (ARBs) and beta blockers titrated for treatment goal of systolic BP level below than 130 mm Hg [14].

4. Uncontrolled high blood pressure induces increased risk of stroke and bleeding events and sinus rhythm conversion to AF. That’s why, strict blood pressure control should be an integral part of the AF patients’ management [12]. Despite the fact that ACE inhibitors or ARBs have a beneficial effect on the occurrence of overt AF, but in patients with established AF, but without LV dysfunction (our patient) or heart failure, ARBs do not prevent recurrent AF better than placebo. Further structural remodeling and sinus rhythm conversion to AF can be prevented by inhibition of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system [10]. In adults with DM and hypertension, antihypertensive drug treatment should be initiated at a BP of 130/80 mm Hg or higher with a treatment DBP: goal of less than 130/80 mm Hg. ACE inhibitors and ARBs have the best efficacy among the drug classes on urinary albumin excretion [14].

5. The CHA2DS2-VASc score is recommended for stroke risk prediction in patients with AF by latest AF management guidelines [10]. Vitamin K antagonists (VKA) therapy are useful in AF for stroke, systemic embolism, myocardial infarction, and cardiac death prevention, effectiveness of this therapeutic strategy is higher than single or dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel (annual risk of 5.6% for aspirin and clopidogrel vs. 3.9% with VKA therapy). Also VKA therapy has decreased bleeding risk, comparing with dual antiplatelet therapy. That’s why, antiplatelet therapy shouldn’t be recommended for stroke prevention in AF patients [13].

6. Rate control is an integral part of the management of AF patients, and is often sufficient to improve AF-related symptoms. The aim of antiarrhythmic drug therapy is improvement in AF-related symptoms. Amiodarone wouldn’t be a drug of choice for our patient due to increased risk of myopathy with statins and potentiating of VKAs therapy. Propafenone is contra-indicated in ischemic heart disease. Sotalol is contra-indicated in the presence of significant LV hypertrophy, systolic heart failure. Digoxin is proarrhythmic and can aggravate heart failure. Non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers are contra-indicated in LV failure with pulmonary congestion. Betablockers with ability to improve symptomatic and functional heart conditions through the rate control, lack of harm (from investigations published), and good tolerability in all ages [9] are useful as first-line rate control drugs in AF patients.

CONCLUSIONS

Atrial fibrillation and diabetes mellitus are very common comorbidities and with high expectance they will co-exist together in the future because of the both conditions prevalence especially in older patients group. Therefore, establishing of the most effective
and safe treatment it is very important to the subpopulation of patients with AF and DM. New studies with larger numbers of patients from different age and rage groups with diabetes and AF are needed to investigate the mechanisms of this relationship and all possible therapeutic approaches in order to determine the best possible individual management of both conditions.
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