Zoo animals' disease pattern in a university zoological garden, Ibadan, Nigeria
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ARTICLE INFO

Objective: To investigate wildlife diseases in Nigeria spanning across 20 years, highlighting various conditions diagnosed in zoo/wild animals using conventional and ancillary pathological techniques.

Methods: The animals were closely examined for signs of illness by the attending veterinarian and clinical samples were taken as appropriate. Carcasses were submitted for detailed necropsy by the experienced pathologists and diagnostic samples were taken for cytological, microbial isolation, parasitic identification and histopathology.

Results: Between 1991 and 2014 about 262 carcasses of zoo animals were presented for postmortem comprising ruminants (12.2%), primates (16.8%), carnivores (11.5%), reptiles (20.6%), Equidae (4.2%), rodents (5%) and aviary (29.7%). Pasteurellosis and other forms of respiratory diseases were common in ruminants; pneumonia, trichuriasis and endocarditis were common in primates; tuberculosis and helminthiasis (ancylostomiasis) were common in carnivores; enteritis and impaction were common in reptiles; cholera, salmonellosis and Newcastle diseases were common in aviary.

Conclusions: It is important to know the causes of death in zoo animals and wildlife for purposes of preservation and conservation.

1. Introduction

Zoological gardens exhibit wild animals for aesthetic, educational and conservation purposes[1]. Information on diseases of wildlife and zoo animals is very scarce in Nigeria probably due to emphasis on the livestock industry needed to provide meat for her population. The majority of spontaneous cases either remain unpublished or often left in pathology files and laboratory archives. Such information is therefore not readily accessible to veterinarians, policy makers and others whose responsibility is the diagnosis of disease in both captive and wild animals. Access to information on captive and wild animals shall support development plans for the management of wildlife populations, this has been termed a 'sorta situa' approach[2].

Zooological gardens provide an opportunity of a whole new world of hope to threatened species for the purpose of conservation and possible breeding from captivity to repopulate the natural environment. Zoos also provide opportunities for studying the best practices in conservation, animal management and genetic resource of the threatened populations[3,4]. As a habitat becomes more compressed, with migration routes cut off, small species gene pools are stranded in isolated, habitat fragments. These make wildlife more vulnerable than ever to the possibility of new or emerging diseases capable of wiping out a local population. The health problems observed in free-ranging wildlife today resemble those seen in captive wildlife[5]. Species are now vulnerable to encroachment, malnutrition, environmental pollutants, and epidemics from domestic animals and humans. Furthermore, the continuous degradation of ecosystems is leading to increased stress, immunosuppression and, therefore, greater susceptibility to disease[6,7].

The effects of captivity on the behavior of wild and domestic animals have been relatively well studied[8,9]. However, a few of the pathological conditions have been studied[10-15] and very little is known of the pathology and pattern of zoo and wildlife diseases in our environment. Emergence of key zoonotic and production-animal diseases derived from and within wildlife populations has increased awareness worldwide of the importance of zoological medicine and study of their diseases in protecting both livestock and public health[4].
Disease investigation in wildlife in Nigeria is often unattended to probably due to funding priorities and financial structural support primarily for studies on commercial livestock and companion animals. This report highlights various conditions diagnosed in zoo/wild animals using both gross and histopathological examinations and ancillary techniques when needful.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals in the university zoo

Animals used for this study were those kept at the University of Ibadan Zoological Garden. The University of Ibadan Zoo was established as a menagerie in 1948 and became a full-fledged zoo in 1974. Apart from displaying animals for teaching, research and the entertainment of visitors, the University of Ibadan Zoo is primarily for the conservation of endangered species. The garden is home to a wide array of animals comprising mammals, birds, reptiles and amphibians.

2.2. Retrospective and pathological studies

History of sick animals which died or were put to sleep in the zoo, complete necropsy and histological findings of major organs performed in the veterinary diagnostic laboratory were compiled. Secondary data and/or records from the zoo between June 1991 and December 2014 were also incorporated.

Postmortem examinations were done as soon as possible after death. Tissues were fixed in 10% buffered formalin, processed by routine paraffin technique, sectioned and stained with haematoxylin and eosin (HE) and/or specific special stain, while further confirmation was done appropriately in the laboratory.

The ensuing data were analyzed descriptively and presented using tables and proportions (in percentage).

3. Results

3.1. Mortality patterns/incidence of wildlife diseases

An annual average of 11.4 necropsies of wildlife has been performed during the past 23 years under review. A total of 262 animals were submitted for postmortem, comprising 12.2% ruminants, 16.8% primates, 5.0% rodents, 29.7% avian, 20.6% reptiles, 4.2% Equidae, and 11.5% carnivores.

3.2. Species submitted for postmortem

3.2.1. Aves

Aves included 4 water birds (Onychoprion fuscatus), 7 geese (Anser anser), 5 long tailed parrots (Psittacula longicauda), 3 African grey parrots (Psittacaus erithacus), 5 buzzards (Kaupifalco monogrammi), 1 pelican (Pelicanus onocrotalus), 5 storks (Struthio camelus), 11 stork birds (Ciconia ciconia), 1 emu (Dromaius novaehollandiae), 2 owls (Athena nootca), 17 ducks (Anas platyrhynchos), 2 peacocks (Pavo cristatus), 7 parakeets (Psittacula kramerii), 1 vulture (Necrosyrtes monachus), 1 marabou stork (Leptoptilus crumeniferus), 1 feral pigeon (Columba livia), 1 crown cane (Balearia regulorum), 2 swamp hens (Porphyrio porphyrio), 1 eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus), 1 guinea fowl (Numida meleagris).

3.2.2. Reptiles

Died reptiles were comprised of 6 African rock pythons (Python sebae), 1 Indian python (Python molurus), 4 royal python (Python regius), 3 cobras (Naja naja), 2 rattle snakes (Crotalus cerastes), 6 vipers (Crotalus basiliscus), 1 water snake (Coluber caspius), 1 brown snake (Pseudonaja textilis), 17 tortoises (Aldabrachelys gigantea), 2 terrapin turtles (Trachemys scripta), 5 crocodiles (Crocodylus niloticus), 6 monitor lizards (Varanus niloticus).

3.2.3. Carnivores

This group consisted of 13 lions (Panthera leo), 3 spotted hyaenas (Crocuta crocuta), 7 striped hyaenas (Hyaena hyaena), 4 jackals (Canis adusmus), 2 civet cats (Civetictis civetta), 1 mongoose (Helogale parvula).

3.2.4. Herbivores

Herbivores were comprised of 13 duikers (Sylvicapra grimmia), 9 gazelles, 1 antelope (Neotragus pygmaeus), 2 deers (Odocoileus virginianus), 1 snub-nosed monkey (Rhinothepicus roxianna), 3 horses (Equus ferus caballus), 6 donkeys (Equus africanus), 2 camels (Camelus dromedaries), 3 wart hogs (Phacochoerus africanus), 3 domestic pigs (Sus scrofa), 11 porcupines (Hystrix africaeausuali), 2 English rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus).

3.2.5. Primates

Died primates consisted of 14 green monkeys (Chlorocebus sabaeus), 7 baboons (Papio papio), 6 mona monkeys (Cercopithecus mona), 13 patas monkeys (Erythrocebus patas), 1 chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes), 1 gorilla (Gorilla gorilla), 1 Drill monkey (Mandrillus leucophaeus), 1 mangabey (Lophocebus ugandae).

The distribution over the years is presented in Figure 1.

3.3. Description of major wildlife pathology

Clinically, all affected animals were recorded as having progressively decreased activity and weight loss prior to death/euthanasia.

3.3.1. Pneumonia

A total of 35.1% of the carcasses had varying forms of pneumonia. Bronchopneumonia was observed in the ruminants...
while hypersensitivity pneumonitis was usually observed in the carnivores (3). Grossly, the lungs are usually non collapsed, congested with firm to rubbery texture.

3.3.2. Parasitic gastroenteritis
9.7% of the carcasses died of severe Helminthosis.  Ancylostomiasis was common in the carnivores (2) and while almost all the Monkeys posted had severe Trichuriosis (6).

3.3.3. Nutritions
About 6.7% of the animals presented forms nutritional abnormality including rickets in a civet cat (1), brans disease in a horse (1) and general malnutrition in a few other animals.

3.3.4. Other pathological conditions
In the aviary, diseases diagnosed included pasteurellosis (13.8%), aspergillosis (10%), hepaticcellular carcinoma (7%) (Figure 2A, B), peritonitis (7%), pulmonary abscesses (7%), salmonellosis (13.8%), Newcastle disease (13.8%), gout (7%) and chronic respiratory disease (7%). Those in the reptiles included parasitic enteritis (20%), hepatic rupture (13.3%), intestinal impaction (20%), fibrinonecrotic enteritis (13.3%), pododermatitis (Figure 2C) and malnutrition. In the herbivores, pneunmoenteritis (15%), pasteurellosis (15%), ruminal impaction (10%), congestive heart failure (10%) and trypanosomosis (15%). In the carnivores, granulomatous and interstitial pneumonia (17%), aencylostomiasis (10%), haemorrhagic enteritis (10%) and rickets (3%) were common. In the primates, pneumoenteritis (14%), measles (9%), congestive heart failure (9%), trichuriasis (14%) (Figure 2D, E), and generalised tuberculosis (3%) (Figure 2F, G). Alveolar histiocytosis and interstitial fibrosis were also observed in carnivores (Figure 2H, I).

The pathological conditions observed in zoo animals at the postmortem are as shown in Table 1. Many of the ruminants were observed to have died of pasteurellosis (3) and or pneumonia (9). Trichuriasis (4) and endocarditis (4) were common in primates. Anti-coagulant poisoning/snake bite (3), tuberculosis (5) and helminthiasis (aencylostomiasis) were observed in carnivores. Enteritis and impaction (9) were observed in reptiles. Cholera, salmonellosis and Newcastle diseases (6) were common in aviary while malnutrition, seldom and different forms of pneumonia were observed in virtually all animal groups examined.
enamel hypoplasia. In a study of wild and captive primates, Molnar et al. [21] noted tooth pathologies in captive animals. These range from trauma to developmental defects such as dental enamel hypoplasia. In a study of wild and captive primates, Molnar and Ward found that all animals had some level of microstructural tooth defects, including hypoplasia, but their incidences were higher in captive individuals [22]. Franz-Odendaal has studied the incidence of hypoplasia in the teeth of wild and captive giraffes. Giraffa camelopardalis and found that those of wild giraffes lacked defects, while those of captive animals showed lines that corresponded with periods of stress such as weaning [24]. Fagan et al. [25] discussed the incidence of traumatic breakages of elephant Loxodonta africana and Elephas maximus tusks caused by the captive environment, and noted similar occurrences in walruses Odobenus rosmarus and babirulas Babyrousa baburessa.

Activity levels appear to have a great effect on captive animals. Many animals tend to live longer in captivity than they do in the wild. For example, gorillas (Gorilla gorilla) survive for more than 50 years in captivity compared with 35 years in the wild [26]. However, some changes such as arthropathies have been observed in captive animals as normal age-related changes and possibly due to lack of activity, different nutrition, chronic infection or other environmental factors. Rothschild et al. [27] found spondyloarthropathy in both wild and captive big cats, but osteoarthritis and calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease were only found in captive animals. Spondyloarthropathy is likely to result in a decrease in activity for the affected animals, and would eventually compromise survival in the wild. Kolmstetter et al. [28] reported degenerative spinal disease in eight of 37 big cat skeletons from Knoxville Zoo.

The reasons for the higher incidence in the zoo include (a) the drive for acquisition of animals from January 2011 however some did not outlive the quarantine period; (b) the postmortem record also showed increased compliance from the zoo for necropsies as most of the cases in zoo record were submitted for full diagnostic workup. Flooding occurred on August 26th 2011, a tragic flood in Ibadan which took human lives and damaged public and private properties also affected the zoo. This accounted for increased mortalities in 2012, as was also observed in Hale [29]. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture automatic rainfall gauge recorded an all-time high of 187.5 mm rainfall for August 26th. Some animals drowned by flood could not be presented for postmortem.

Adequate measures and housing have been put in place including enclosure upgrading, enrichment, wildlife clinic, up to date zoo records, case notes for all animals, and compounded feed for animals, increased breeding and survival of animals, training and retraining sessions for staff.

The use of multivalent vaccines has been proposed as a good solution to major upper respiratory disease constraints [31]. Mucocoadhesive drug delivery system offers several advantages, the success achieved with the bioadhesive formulations increases drug concentration gradient at the absorption site and therefore improved bioavailability of systemically delivered drugs and also target local disorders at the mucosal surface (e.g. mouth ulcers) reducing the overall dosage required and minimize side-effects that may be caused by systemic administration of drugs.

The increase in emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) in wildlife and their potential spillover to domestic animals and humans are a major threat to global health. Emerging infectious diseases also devastate economies and their impact on developing countries is especially severe, where vaccine coverage and availability of new drugs is lowest, and where governments are swamped with other priorities [5]. A key understanding is that health connects all species and that veterinarians have an important role to play in working on the interactions of wildlife health, human health and ecosystem health. In addition,
training linked to veterinary ethics and to the science of animal welfare as it applies to the zoo and wildlife field may be required as already advocated by Carpenter and Miller[32] and Stoskopf[33].

In conclusion, comprehensive veterinary care should be instituted at the zoo and other means for husbandry should be prioritized so as to alleviate wildlife diseases and mortality. Non-invasive approach to treatment against diseases such as helminthosis, conventional vaccinal strategies harnessing mucosal surfaces against diseases such as pneumonia, and need to supplement animal feed with minerals and vitamins should also be emphasized. Likewise, the inclusion of zoo and wildlife veterinarians as resident staffs is indispensable in addressing these health problems. Our findings may serve as complimentary in the study of wildlife diseases in Nigeria and across Africa. As policies regarding wildlife health remain critical in the zoological gardens.
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