An evaluation of OSOM BV blue test in the diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis
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ARTICLE INFO

Objective: To determine the prevalence of bacterial vaginosis (BV) in patients with vaginal discharge and evaluate the efficacy of OSOM BV blue test in diagnosis. Methods: OSOM BV blue test, a rapid diagnostic test based on the principle of detection of bacterial sialidase activity in vaginal fluid specimens was conducted. A total of 405 patients in the reproductive age group (15–45 years) having vaginal discharge were included in the study along with 10 healthy age-matched controls. Two high vaginal swabs were collected aseptically from each patient. One swab was used to make smear for gram staining, and the other was for OSOM BV blue test. Amine test and vaginal pH test were taken as well.

Results: The prevalence of bacterial vaginosis was 60.7%. OSOM BV blue test showed good efficacy, as compared with gram staining in diagnosing BV. The sensitivity and specificity of OSOM BV blue test were 97.6% and 97.5% respectively. Amsel’s criteria diagnosed 180 (44.4%) cases of BV and had sensitivity and specificity of 67.1% and 90.6% respectively. Thus the performance of OSOM BV blue was better than the methods based on Amsel’s criteria.

Conclusions: OSOM BV blue test is an efficacious bedside test, helpful in rapidly making an accurate diagnosis of BV in setups lacking laboratory facilities or expert microbiologists.

1. Introduction

Bacterial vaginosis is the foremost cause of abnormal vaginal discharge in women of reproductive age. It is associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes,[1–5] pelvic inflammatory disease,[5,6] chorioamnionitis,[2,5,7] endometritis[8] and increased susceptibility to Human Immunodeficiency Virus.[9] Routine diagnostic procedures require laboratory expertise. As the prevalence is high,[10] patients usually do not have access to hospitals with microscopic facilities for correct diagnosis. Thus, the general approach of clinicians in management of patients with abnormal vaginal discharge is largely empirical and syndromic based upon naked eye examination of discharge which is less accurate. The failure of empirical therapy does not only cause financial and social impact but also lead to poor patient compliance as well as drug resistance.

Conventional diagnostic methods such as gram staining based on Nugent scoring system[11] are popular. However, recently, OSOM BV blue test with almost equally efficacious, less time consuming and minimal technical expertise requiring is emerged for rapid diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis. OSOM BV blue test is based on the principal of detection of bacterial sialidase activity (an enzyme produced by bacterial pathogens such as Gardnerella vaginalis, Bacteroides spp., Prevotella spp., and Mobiluncus spp.) in vaginal discharge. A prospective study was performed to determine the efficacy of OSOM BV blue test as a diagnostic tool for the diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis and compare it with the conventional methods, Amsel’s criteria and gram staining.

2. Materials and methods

A hospital based study was conducted on 405 patients attending gynaecology and antenatal clinics with complaints of foul smelling vaginal discharge, pruritis, dysuria, and pain in lower abdomen etc. at J. N. Medical College Hospital, A.M.U, Aligarh, U.P., India. The study
4. Discussion

The OSOM BV blue test is reliable compared with routine diagnostic procedures such as gram staining, vaginal pH determination and Amine test in patients with clinical symptoms of bacterial vaginosis. Amine test and vaginal pH test, though being good predictors, have low sensitivities (62.2% and 63.4% respectively), which tend to misdiagnosis. Clue cells are observed in all the patients suffering from bacterial vaginosis. Diagnosis with clue cells is perfect, but recognizing the cells in wet mount or gram staining requires expertise and microscopy. The OSOM BV blue test is an excellent procedure for rapid diagnosis compared with conventional diagnostic methods[12]. This test can be performed easily in peripheral hospitals where the expert microbiologist is not available. Detection of elevated sialidase activity has previously been reported to be both sensitive and specific for diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis compared with gram staining (sensitivity and specificity, 96% and 96% respectively) and Amsel’s method (sensitivity and specificity, 81% and 94% respectively)[13,14]. The BV blue test has been evaluated by different workers[15]. They also reported high sensitivity and specificity of BV blue test with Nugent’s scoring method[16] although their sample sizes were smaller than that of our study[17]. In our study the performance of BV blue test is almost similar to that

3. Results

A total of 405 patients (114 pregnant and 291 non-pregnant) were included in the study. The mean age of the patients was (30.56 ± 0.34) years. By gram staining, the most widely used method, 246 (60.7%) patients were diagnosed as bacterial vaginosis with Nugent’s score 7–10. The infectious reports by Amsel’s criteria and OSOM BV blue test were 180 (44.4%) and 244 (60.2%) respectively (Table 1).

OSOM BV blue test displayed high sensitivity and specificity compared with gram staining. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value of OSOM BV blue test were 97.6%, 97.5%, 98.4% and 96.3% respectively, while those of Amsel’s criteria were 67.1%, 90.6%, 91.7% and 64.0% accordingly. Clue cells were present in all patients of bacterial vaginosis, with sensitivity and specificity of 100% each, whereas, Amine test and vaginal pH test had sensitivity of 62.2% and 63.4% respectively (Table 2).

Table 1
Distribution of patients having bacterial vaginosis as diagnosed by different methods and their correlation with results on gram staining(n,%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic test used</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gram staining indicative of bacterial vaginosis</td>
<td>246 (97.6)</td>
<td>4 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients</td>
<td>405</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic test used</th>
<th>OSOM BV blue test</th>
<th>Vaginal pH &gt; 4.5</th>
<th>Vaginal fluid amines</th>
<th>Clue cells</th>
<th>Amsel’s criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>240 (97.6)</td>
<td>156 (63.4)</td>
<td>153 (62.2)</td>
<td>246 (100.0)</td>
<td>165 (67.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 (2.5)</td>
<td>19 (11.9)</td>
<td>11 (6.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>15 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>244 (60.2)</td>
<td>175 (43.2)</td>
<td>164 (40.5)</td>
<td>246 (60.7)</td>
<td>180 (44.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Figure within parentheses indicates percentage.

Table 2
Comparison of various rapid diagnostic tests with gram staining for diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic tests performed</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Positive predictive value</th>
<th>Negative predictive value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSOM BV blue test</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>96.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amsel’s criteria</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH test</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>60.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amine test</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>61.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clue cells</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of Nugent’s method and better than Amsel’s method for diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis which is also consistent with other studies[17].

OSOM BV blue test is a rapid method, which is accurate, simple, stable and do not require high levels of training for interpretation of results, and can be used as a diagnostic measure for improving the syndromic management of patients with vaginal discharge. It can help to effectively diagnose and thus, prevent various sequelae associated with bacterial vaginosis such as preterm labour, premature rupture of membrane, fetal prematurity, and pelvic inflammatory disease etc. Even in settings which conventional diagnostic methods are available, it still benefits as OPD or bedside procedure by its rapidity.
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