Is the early percutaneous spine total care to treat the polytrauma patient a good way?
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ABSTRACT

The “ideal” timing and modality of fracture fixation for unstable thoracolumbar spine fractures in multiply injured patients remains controversial. The concept of “damage control orthopedics” is expressed. We presented a case report of a 27 years’ old male who sustained a multilevel spine fractures associated a floating knee (Fraser’s Type A), ulna fracture and carpal scaphoid fracture in July 2014 after car accident (very high energy trauma). All these fractures were treated in early total care. We reported a case control to discuss about the early spinal total care associated at orthopedic total care in patients with multiple trauma.

1. Introduction

Decision making and communication are vital factors for successful surgery; good surgeons make wise decisions. Before recommending surgical treatment, a thorough assessment of the patient must be undertaken to understand the full extent of the injury, anticipate and prevent postoperative complications, and determine the potential for recovery. In our setting, the concept of damage control surgery entails a three-phase approach to the surgical management of the trauma patient. In the initial phase, the prime aim is to establish rapid control of hemorrhage and to identify and control major injuries[1]. The next step is to stabilize major fracture(s), to reduce dislocated joint(s) and to decontaminate open wound(s) with appropriate surgical methods, which may or may not be definitive[1]. Once normal physiology has been restored, a final phase entails definitive injury repairs[1].

The “ideal” timing and modality of fracture fixation for unstable thoracolumbar spine fractures in multiply...
injured patients remains controversial. The concept of “damage control orthopedics”, which has evolved globally in the past decade, provides a safe guidance for temporary external fixation of long bone or pelvic fractures in multisystem trauma[2]. In contrast, “damage control” concepts for unstable spine injuries have not been widely implemented, and the scarce literature in the field remains largely anecdotal[2]. The current practice standards are reflected by two distinct positions, either immediate “early total care” or delayed spine fixation after recovery from associated injuries. Both concepts have inherent risks which may contribute to adverse outcome[2].

2. Case presentation

We presented a case report of a 27 years’ old male with a history of drug addiction and alcoholism, after car accident in July 2014 (very high energy trauma). He sustained a multilevel thoraco–lumbar spinal associated a right floating knee (Fraser’s Type A), left ulnar shaft fracture and left carpal scaphoid fracture. At admission in emergency room, the Glasgow Coma Score was 13 and Injury Severity Score was 22. The vertebral body spine fractures were at L1 (Type A3 according Magerl’s classification) and L2 (Type A2 according Magerl’s classification) (Figure 1). The right floating knee (Fraser’s Type A), femoral shaft and tibial shaft in ipsilateral leg were shown in Figure 2. The fractures on left arm, not dominance were ulnar shaft fracture and carpal scaphoid fracture (Figure 3). All these fractures were treated in early total care. Spine fractures were treated by percutaneous short posterior stabilization with undersize pedicle screws in fractured vertebra’s body (Figure 4). The right floating knee (Fraser’s Type A) was treated by femoral intramedullary nailing and tibial intramedullary nailing (Figure 5). The ulnar shaft fracture was treated by open reduction internal fixation with plate and wires (Figure 6). The carpal scaphoid fracture was treated by percutaneous screw. A plaster cast arm–forearm–metacarpal was applied at his left upper limb. The surgical time to treat all fractures was 327 min, 423 min of the anesthetic. The patient after surgery was hospitalized in intensive care for one day. He hospitalized in the physiotherapy department for the first day and was verticalized in the second post–operative day. The patient was not subjected to any blood transfusion during hospitalization and lost only 3 points hemoglobin. The follow–up programs consisted of radiographic control of injures segments, VAS (Figure 7), Oswestry low back pain disability questionnaire (OLBPSQ) used to quantify disability for low back pain (Figure 8) and The Short Form (36) Health Survey(SF–36) at 1 month, 3 months and 6 months (Figure 9). He had no complication during the follow up.

Figure 1. CT showed the instability of the fractured in L1 instead of the fracture stability of L2.

Figure 2. The CT’s scout showed the femoral shaft fracture and XR showed the tibial shaft fracture.

Figure 3. The left ulnar shaft fracture and left carpal scaphoid.
Figure 4. Treatment of spine fractures by percutaneous short posterior stabilization with undersize peduncolar screws in fractured vertebral body.

Figure 5. The right floating knee (Fraser’s Type A) was treated by femoral intramedullary nailing and tibial intramedullary nailing.

Figure 6. Ulnar shaft fracture’s treatment by ORIF with plate and wires; single screw for the treatment of carpal scaphoid fracture.

Figure 7. Trend of back pain during the 6 months of follow up measured with VAS.

Figure 8. Trend of back quality life during the 6 months of follow up measured with OLBPSQ.
3. Discussion

Polytrauma patients have a severely deranged immune response, characterized by an early excessive activation of innate immunity (hyperinflammation), followed by a delayed attenuation of adaptive immunity with decreased T-cell function (immunosuppression) and enhanced susceptibility to infection, sepsis, and multiple organ failure[3,4]. In addition, about a third of all multiply injured patients have severe disturbances of their clotting system on arrival to the emergency department, as determined by the presence of post injury coagulopathy[5,6]. Early total care is beneficial for some patients with polytrauma. This involves definitive surgical stabilization of all long-bone fractures during the early phase of treatment. This may reduce pulmonary complications and allow earlier rehabilitation of the patient[7-10].

Early total care is suitable for patients with multiple fractures but without major chest or visceral injury. Patients must be hemodynamically stable with normal blood gases, clotting, and temperature.

The concept of “spine damage control” will provide a safe and effective treatment modality for unstable thoraco-lumbar fractures in multiply injured patients, associated with less complications and improved outcomes compared to conventional treatment strategies. In 2005, Stahel et al. and Heyde et al. have defined “spine damage control” as a staged procedure of immediate posterior fracture reduction and instrumentation within 24 h ("Day 1 surgery")[11,12]. This concept differs from the more common elective strategy of a staged spine fixation by initial posterior fixation and delayed anterior completion by its timeliness (posterior fixation within 24 h) and expanded applicability to all unstable thoraco-lumbar fractures, including pure anterior column burst fractures (AO/OTA type A3)[2].

Decision-making regarding non-operative versus operative treatment of patients with thoraco-lumbar Magerl’s Type A fractures in the absence of neurologic deficits is controversial. Lack of evidence-based practice may result in patients being treated inappropriately and being exposed to unnecessary adverse consequences. With respect to the patients’ status after treatment of a spinal fracture, literature mostly focuses on radiological aspects[13]. The study of Post et al. was developed to gain insight into the functional outcome in patients treated non-operatively for a thoraco-lumbar spinal fracture[13]. What is it really advantageous for a good alignment of the spine in young adult? In 2014, Medici, Meccariello and Falzarano reported 39 adult patients with thoraco-lumbar spinal fractures according to Magerl’s A1 and A2; 24 patients were treated with 3 points orthopedic corset and 15 patients were treated with percutaneous posterior stabilization without augmentation[14]. Although the data are preliminary and based on data available in the literature, we can say that the percutaneous posterior stabilization of thoraco-lumbar fractures lumbar in Magerl’s A1 and A2 in the adults is the ideal method for a good and functional alignment of the spine[14]. The advantages of percutaneous posterior stabilization were faster than open posterior stabilization, reduced the back pain, improved the quality life, small blood loss average 3 gauze (range 1–6); the exposure of surgeons to ionizing radiation was less than 1 millisievert for each stabilization; the reduction of hospitalization days and reduction of the miscellaneous complications[14]. Fracture level screw combination provided better intraoperative correction and maintenance in the treatment of unstable thoraco-lumbar burst fractures, which was more prevalent in short-segment fixation group. Reinforcement with fracture level screw combination can help to provide better kyphosis correction and offers immediate spinal stability in patients with thoraco-lumbar burst fracture[14,15]. An interestingly and encompassing prospective multicenter study published in the German literature by the spine working group of the German Trauma Society ("Arbeitsgemeinschaft Wirbelsäule der Deutschen Gesellschaft fuer Unfallchirurgie") revealed that 65.7% of all polytrauma patients with operative thoraco-lumbar fractures (n=682) are currently treated by exclusive posterior fixation in German and Austrian level 1 trauma centers[16]. The authors showed that posterior instrumentation was associated with a decreased complication rate of 4.7%, compared to 10.8% in the primary anterior fusion group[16]. Impressively,
only 0.4% of all patients with posterior instrumentation showed a secondary neurological deterioration, implying that the “spine damage control” procedure is safe from a neurological perspective[16].

4. Conclusion

The early spinal total care is still a main topic of spinal surgery and the management of patients with multiple trauma. In selected patients with multiple trauma, percutaneous posterior stabilization of the thoraco–lumbar spine remains a viable choice for the early spinal total care.
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