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<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arab</th>
<th>Latin</th>
<th>Arab</th>
<th>Latin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ا</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>ف</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ب</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>ق</td>
<td>q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>د</td>
<td>ts</td>
<td>ك</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ج</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>ل</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ح</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>م</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>خ</td>
<td>kh</td>
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<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>د</td>
<td>d</td>
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<td>w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>dz</td>
<td>ه</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ر</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>ع</td>
<td>'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ز</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>ي</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>س</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>ش</td>
<td>sy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ص</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>ض</td>
<td>dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ض</td>
<td>dl</td>
<td>أ</td>
<td>å (a panjang)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ط</td>
<td>th</td>
<td>ا</td>
<td>i (i panjang)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ظ</td>
<td>zh</td>
<td>او</td>
<td>û (u panjang)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ع</td>
<td>gh</td>
<td>او</td>
<td>aw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>غ</td>
<td>gh</td>
<td>ا</td>
<td>ay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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In the field of Indonesian-Islam studies, Hooker’s ‘Indonesian Islam’ is a welcome contribution to the scholarship. Unlike other Western scholars who study Indonesian Islam through anthropology, Hooker through his publication tries to show us that Islam in contemporary Indonesia can be known from fatâwâ (pl. fatwa) that have been responding matters of Indonesian Muslim society, have colored them and have been followed by them. For this reason, Hooker chooses four main Indonesian fatwa-issuing institutions which have been responding to challenges of modernity. They are Persatuan Islam (Persis), Muhammadiyah, Nahdlatul Ulama (NU) and Majelis Ulama Indonesia (MUI) and further, they are called “sources” or sources of fatâwâ. As a focus of the study, Hooker takes the fatâwâ from 1920s to the 1990s, from the period of colonialism to independence, which encompass the vast political, social and legal changes of this period. (p. iix)

*Penulis adalah dosen Institut Agama Islam Negeri (IAIN) Sunan Ampel, Jln. A. Yani 117 Surabaya. e-mail: fathananiq@gmail.com
Hooker views that the *fatâwâ* are very important because they are internal responses to issues which are faced by the *umma*. The issues in this sense are seen by them as vital for truly performing the duties that all Muslims owe to God. Nowadays, in the beginning of the 21st century, Indonesian state claims to have authority in determining how Islam should be in Indonesia. However, the *fatâwâ* seems to re-affirm that authority is in God and 1400 years of intellectual tradition is primary. The possibility of these two authorities to be in opposite positions and more usually they shade or merge into each other is therefore the thesis of this book. (p. ix) Furthermore, to him only the *fatâwâ* can tell us what Islam is in Indonesian context. While Islam is immutable in theory, it is variable in practices, as the book demonstrates.

**Content Analysis**

The introduction of the book aims at providing materials which are necessary to understand the Indonesian *fatâwâ* at the end of the 20th century. Hooker starts the introduction by presenting definition(s) of fatwa, requirements to be a mufti, and a short historical context of how fatwa was originated. In addition, before he really talks about Indonesian context of *fatâwâ*, he shows readers the new scholasticism in the Arabic world, especially in Egypt. By showing how Islam is understood there, the author wants to argue that it is a common misconception to suppose that Islamic reform in Indonesia is little more than a rerun of Egyptian intellectualism. To him, the facts of Indonesian Islamic life are different from those of the Arabic Middle East. Islam in Indonesia has its own complexities which are formed by at least three factors: the indigenous Islam, Islam in the era of the Netherlands East Indies, and the post-independence Islam.

The indigenous Islam is Islam which has acculturated with local wisdom or local custom. We can find many materials
which indicate the acculturation such as Serat Cebolek, Serat Centini, Suluk Wujil, Serat Yusuf and even some texts of translation from Arabic *fiqh* books in which elements of the *fiqh* were incorporated in local rules. They are not adaptations or copies of the Arabic, instead, they are original works expressing a local understanding of Islam.

Indonesian Islam experienced also the Netherlands East Indies’ rules. At that time, Islamic law in colonial Indonesia was limited to marriage, divorce and inheritance which can be understood only as an aspect of colonial politics. As stated by Hooker, the 1920s and 1930s were a period of increasing anti-colonial agitation that much of it had a strong Muslim element. It was the colonial response to this agitation which determined the nature of Islamic legal jurisdiction. Therefore, religion was bound up with politics and, as the law was an integral part of religion, its substance and application were equally political in nature. (p. 14)

In the post independence, Islam has been in a direct place in the new politics of independence, and this place of Islam has remained at the centre of Indonesian politics for the last half-century. In this period, Indonesia was in search of its constitution whether it would be based on Islamic constitution such as reflected in the Jakarta Charter or not. Finally, even though it is not Islamic state, Indonesia remains a religious state by mentioning God in the exalted position such as reflected in the preamble of the constitution, “Belief in One Supreme God”. The implication of this first principle of Pancasila is the establishment of a Ministry of Religious Affairs which its main functions, according to Hooker, are political – to promote religion generally and Islam in national politic particularly. (pp. 19-20)

However, Hooker leaves a very important thing that makes Indonesian Islam different from others. It is the internal Islamic jurisprudence that provides a big opportunity for Muslims in
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certain place to accommodate local customs into their religion. The example for this is a qaʿidah fiqhiyyah: “al-ʿadab muhakkamah” which means the custom can be used for laws.

According to Hooker, the three factors that form Indonesian Islam can be well understood from the scholasticism of the 20th century in Indonesia. Furthermore, the Indonesian scholasticism can be divided into a responsive scholasticism and a creative scholasticism. The first is found in the colonial period and is characterized by a defensiveness in law and dogma. They went into defending and demonstrating the perfectness of Islam in the face of the obvious fact of its subject status in colonial NEI. The second is those who are self-confident enough to propose serious change, alternation and adaptation of classic scholasticism. People such as Hazairin, Munawir Sjadzali, Harun Nasution, Nurcholis Madjid and Abdurrahman Wahid have a common intention to explain the truth of Islam from within in a way that is positive and useful for the Indonesian ummat even though they were/are often criticized. (p. 45)

In the first chapter—“Knowing Islam: method, doctrine and representation”—Hooker talks about fatâwâ which show us three ways in which we can know Islam in Indonesia. The first is various methods of reasoning. The second is written doctrines, and the third is the representation of Islam. These three aspects of knowing are extensively discussed in the fatâwâ. When they are taken together, they give us a preliminary answer to know Islam in Indonesia.

In this chapter, the author explains general methods of how the four sources arrive at a result or a fatwa. The Persis’s fatâwâ largely, though not entirely, are the work of one man, Ahmad Hasan (1887-1958). His literal fatâwâ are resulted from his reading method, which consist of the Qurʾan (basic source), language and hadîts. (p. 48) The linguistic section is the areas in which disputation usually occurs. Persis by no means rejects ijmâʿ. To them, ijmâʿ is of man and can never form a part of the
laws of God. Allah did not command us to follow ijmā‘ which was made by a crowd of ulama. (p. 52)

Like Persis, Muhammadiyah finds its Islam in *ijtihād* but it understands the concept in a quite different way. The major method used is employing reason, but in accordance with the teachings of Islam. However, the exercise of reason, *ijtihād*, is limited by the sources—the Qur’an and the Sunna—and to techniques of analysis already known in the *fiqh* literature such as *qiyas*, *istihsân*, *istiṣbâh* and *sadd al-dzārâ‘ī*. To Hooker, the implications of the Muhammadiyah method of *ijtihād* brings about inconsistency. For example, the simultaneous use of *qiyas*, *istiṣbâh* and *istiṣhâb* has involved the Majlis Tarjih in *talqīq*, where there is an acceptance and rejection of principles from Hanbali, Shafi‘i and Hanafi in the same fatwa. (p.55)

NU has another way of issuing *fatâwâ*. It has been searching the ideal method since its establishment until nowadays. Formerly, NU set out a hierarchy of sources for issuing *fatâwâ*. *Ulama* of NU arranged the sources from within shafi‘ite-*mazhab* books. Thus, the referring to *fiqh* book is usually called *taqlîd*. Other groups, even NU members themselves, call NU as doing *taqlîd* to get fatwa. However, Hooker rather disagrees if this term is stuck to NU’s activity in issuing *fatâwâ*. (p. 56) As the book shows, what NU has been done is exercising *ijtihād* rather than *taqlîd*. For this, Hosen prefers to state that theoretically, the NU stands in a position somewhere between *ijtihād* and *taqlîd*.¹

MUI’s method is an interesting phenomenon since its members are composed of many groups. To Hooker, this leads to eclecticism in determining methods and sources of authority. (p. 61) Further, Hosen states that the formulation of MUI’s method was influenced by both NU and Muhammadiyah.²

There are other institutions and individuals which issued fatâwâ such as the Ministry of Health, al-Jam’iyatul Washiliyah and Dewan Dakwah. However, from these institutions, Hooker only mentions the fatâwâ of the Ministry of Health. Furthermore, he does not discuss one person’s fatwa.

“Doctrine” is another way to know Islam which is frequently found in fatâwâ. Even though Islam is originated from Arabia, it is a universalistic theology and its perceived purity of doctrine is crucial whatever the time, place and circumstance. Such a kind of doctrine can easily be found in Indonesian fatâwâ. From the sources, Hooker mentions some examples of how Indonesian ulama maintain their religion from the impurity of doctrine. How Muslims deal with Christians and their ceremonies has become hot issues in Indonesian religious lives. Furthermore, the Ahmadiyah Qodiani and the Darul Arqam are sects which have been prohibited in Indonesia. However, Hooker sees that the MUI’s fatwa on the later sect, like in Malaysia, is very political. It is seemingly a worry of the state on a successful alternative which was both economic and personal neo-Sufism.

The third way to know Islam according to Hooker is from the representation of Islam. There is a necessity to control image and image-making in Islam. However, what are the permissible limits for representations in Indonesian Islam? The author mentions some fatâwâ regarding this issue. Nevertheless, the fatâwâ which are found and are mentioned are entirely old fatâwâ. Therefore, in my opinion, it is meaningless to look at Islam from this perspective nowadays.

The second chapter covers individual and religious duties which are related to the five pillars of Islam. In the last of the first chapter, Hooker states that the fatâwâ seem to demonstrate that permissible (mubah) has now become highly charged and as stated by him, the intention of the second chapter is to try to give some meanings to “highly charged” by taking fatâwâ on the five pillars. (p. 88) Furthermore, he argues that an individual
Indonesian Muslim in 2001 is in an extremely difficult position. The state nowadays controls religious practices including the most personal obligations such as to perform one’s obligation in prayer. (p. 121) However, this statement can be criticized as to what extent does the state intervene on the religious matters?

Hooker claims that fatâwâ, especially which are issued by MUI, on how, when and where one should perform prayer (shalat) perhaps can be seen as the state intervention. (p.90) If this is what he means by the state intervention, of course this is too exaggerated. To my view, the state can be called intervening religious matter, such as in prayer, if the state commands everyone to pray and punishes that who leaves it. MUI’s or other institutions’ fatâwâ on how one should perform prayer is only religious guidance which is not binding and thus it is not a kind of state intervention on personal obligations. Matters of capitalization of money in zakat (p.114) and times, funding and travel of pilgrimage (hajj) (pp.117-121) are other examples which are given by Hooker as to how the state successfully insists on its own position on religious matters. By these examples, he emphasizes that there is a tension between Revelation and the state. Each has its own source of legitimacy, from God or from the constitution. (p. 121)

In chapter three, Hooker looks at status and obligation of women. These can be seen from prescriptions that set out personal status based on gender and the attribution of duties appropriate to the status. He uses “prescription” rather than “rule” to avoid confusion with judicial decisions. To Hooker, there are four sources of prescription for Indonesian Muslim women. They are the fatâwâ, the laws of the state, instructional literature, and the Friday sermon (khutbah). (p. 122) The last three, however, have something to do with the fatâwâ. For example, the instructional literature (panduan) and the Friday sermon seem to be like a fatâwâ giving about how Muslim women should be.
Regarding the laws of the state, the prescription of women seems problematic since the *syariah* is now a part of the positive law of the Indonesian state. There are two laws which must be noticed together by Muslim women. They are the Compilation of Islamic Law (1991) and the Marriage Law (1974). (p. 123) Hooker sees that this matter is so problematic and imprecise because he looks at it from a very secular point of view. For example in the case of marriage, since a marriage in Indonesia must be registered and a non-registered marriage means unlawfulness, he suggests that the marriage should not be regulated by the state, since it is an individual matter.

In addition, in this chapter Hooker discusses more about the status of women as defined by the *syariah*. Here, he talks about head covering and clothing generally, women going out of houses, and women’s authority. However, some cases which are raised by him are not followed by information of place where the cases have taken place. For example, he says, “now, in the year 2003, women go to the mosque”. (p. 134). For me, this is very partial, because in some other places women have been being allowed to go to mosques either for praying or for attending other religious activities since many years ago. This is also the problem of limiting of the study on the four sources. Before the Indonesian Independence Day, the three sources, NU, Persis and Muhammadiyah, were hardly found in islands outside of Java.³

Meanwhile, Hooker smartly raise many discriminative *fatâwâ* such as NU’s fatwa which states that women may attend the mosque depending on age, beauty, whether fashionably dressed,

---
³Once NU held the 11th conference out of Java in Banjarmasin, East Kalimantan, in 1936. See the *fatâwâ* of this conference in Imam Ghazali Said (ed.), *Ahkam al-Fuqoha, Solusi Problematika Aktual Hukum Islam, Keputusan Muktamar, Munas, dan Konbes Nahdlatul Ulama (1926-1999 M.)*, Surabaya: LTN NU Jawa Timur, 2005, pp. 176-190
wearing perfume or showing off. (p. 134). For me this fatwa is very discriminative and ambiguous.

As regard to marriage, the author discusses some fatâwâ such as the issue of monogamy, polygamy and polyandry, *mut'a* or temporary marriage, the concept of exclusion for instance “saudara susu”, menstruation or *haid*, iddah, elopement or *kawin lari*, marrying a bad woman or *wanita jahat*, and marrying a second wife on condition that intercourse with the first wife is forbidden. However, Hooker does not mention interfaith marriage or *nikah beda agama*, whereas this issue also is a dominant issue in Indonesian marriage matters and we can find fatâwâ on it.4

In the fourth chapter, Hooker explores more about the relationship between Islam and medical science. Here, he makes a very provocative title, “Is God still the Creator?”. At the first sight, it seems that he wants to challenge Muslim society by posing such a question. However, when we read all passages of the chapter, we will understand that what he means is whether Indonesian Muslims ignore the revelation of God in answering the medical-science questions and look at them as a value-free or not. Therefore, he elaborates many fatâwâ, including those from the Ministry of Health, and quotes many Qur’anic verses and Abadîts which are cited by the sources in order to show their position. Meanwhile, he sees that the quotations of verses which are cited by the sources are often incomplete and eclectic. To him, this is perhaps to support their arguments. (pp.169-168)

There are four main topics of this chapter. First is about general issues of Islamic views on science and medicine, including the creation of –first- man. The questions are: is Adam the first human? What is an Islamic science? Is it fine to justify the scientific inventions with religious texts such as the Qur’an? The second topic is about the prevention and denial of life. Here

---

4 Imam Ghazali Said (ed.), ibid, pp. 433-436
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Hooker explores *fatâwâ* which related to contraception and abortion. Regarding the contraception, the discussion is on the subject of the birth control in the light of revelation. While, when discussing about the abortion, the questions are at what stage does life begin and who is to determine this, including determining to prevent it? The third topic is the preservation of life. Here the author discusses about invasion of the body such as autopsy, plastic surgery and sex change; transfusion and transplants; and milk banks. The last topic in this chapter is about the ‘creation’ of life which is through cloning.

From many *fatâwâ* which were discussed by Hooker, he finally comes into conclusion that God is still the creator in Indonesian Islam, because as the *fatâwâ* demonstrate, medical science is not value-free. The sources still highly consider ethical values in order to proceed and to judge science. Divine authority is therefore not diminished by science.

**In chapter five,** Hooker once more makes a provocative title, “Offence against religion”. Apparently, what he means by ‘offence’ is every case which is non-compliance with *fiqh*. Therefore, the purpose of this chapter is, firstly, concerning with controversial issues in contemporary public life. The second purpose is to know how the *fiqh* classification — *wajib*, *sunnah*, *mubah*, *makruh*, *haram* — to be defined in the circumstances of contemporary Indonesia.

Nevertheless, I am less impressed by how Hooker arranges the subject of this chapter. Here, he writes every fatwa on cases which are not found in classical-*fiqh* books in a poorly ordered subject. For example, firstly he talks about “a fatwa on definition” which is related to an issue of whether a medical injection is permissible during Ramadhan. (pp. 195-197) After that, he talks about “money and money contracts” which is including interest-based banking and insurance. (pp. 197-211) This is related to economic issues. However, next, he talks about “food and drugs” (pp. 211-220) which are more related to the
first issue. Furthermore, in the end he discusses about “public morality”, including public massage and lotteries. (pp. 220-226) For me, the issue of lotteries has closer connection to the second subject which is about economic issues. The arrangement of the subject in this chapter tends to make readers unfocused on the passages.

Even though Hooker talks about many new issues which are non-compliance with fiqh, he does not mention some other current issues such as Multi Level Marketing (MLM) and the establishment of PT. Syarikat Takaful Indonesia, whereas this institution had been established in 24 February 1994, long times before Hooker’s book was published in 2003. Furthermore, although in these issues Hooker frequently says that Indonesian fatâwat are inconsistent, it seems that he considers the reason. In the concluding remarks of this chapter, he states that the fatwa on issues of money, food and public morality are according to how one defines. (p. 227)

Lastly, in the epilogue, Hooker makes some conclusions of all previous chapters. The epilogue seems to be the core of the book. While in the former five chapters, Hooker expositis Indonesian fatâwat from the sources, in the epilogue, he tries to make some patterns for an Indonesian Islam. As he states, the purpose of the epilogue itself is to indicate what the fatâwat tell us at the beginning of the 21st century and what the issues for creativity might be. (p. 228) For these purposes, he explains some common issues of the chapters.

Firstly, he talks about authority and/or ijaza which he uses them interchangeably. (pp. 229-230) In my understanding, these two terms give a different sense in meaning. The former usually refers to the legitimacy, justification and right to exercise a power, while the later usually refers to the activity of teachers who give legality to their students to teach or to issue fatâwat.

5://www.takaful.com/index.php/profile/list/
Therefore, it will make readers confuse when they are used interchangeably.

Dealing with references, one of authoritative factors in issuing *fatâwâ*, used by Indonesian ulama, nowadays is that they not only quote from medieval ‘ulama’s books but also from those written by contemporary ‘ulama’ such as Wahbah al-Zuhaili, Dr. M. Yusuf Musa and Dr. Abd al-Qadir Audah. Furthermore, some Indonesian Muslims not only quote the Middle Eastern-‘ulama’ books but also ask fatwa immediately to the ‘ulama’ in order to get the higher authority. In the case of Forum Komunikasi Ahlus Sunnah wal Jama’ah (FKASWJ), they ask legitimacy for jihad in the Moluccas from six muftis in the Middle East.

Secondly, he talks about the labeling of Islam. For Indonesian Islam, we have ‘modernist’, ‘traditionalist’, ‘conservative’, ‘liberal’, ‘fundamentalist’, ‘santri’, ‘abangan’, ‘real Muslim’, ‘nominal Muslim’. (p. 231) To him, these labels are inappropriate anymore because the *fatâwâ* show us a different and more complex Islam. However, these labels are still important as an aid to understand Indonesian Islam using classification.

Thirdly, he talks about Islam as an object. However, he does not explain well about what he means by Islam as an object. Fourthly, he talks about revelation. Is revelation problematic? Answering this question, Hooker argues by showing three arguments. First, readers of *fatâwâ* will find differences of

---


opinion between the sources on quite fundamental matters. It seems that Hooker wants to see one voice of ‘ulama’ and it is for me impossible. Yes, the revelation is problematic but it is natural when some people have different opinion when they try to understand it. The Revelation itself gives us opportunity to have a different opinion. The second problem is related to authority. Who should have the most authoritative fatâwâ? As far as the five pillars are concerned, the state has successfully established its position in determining times of fast, travel for the pilgrimage, and the capitalization of money. The third aspect of the problematic of Revelation is the scientific/bureaucratic fatâwâ. Can Islam be proved to be true in the scientific sense? The answer from the fatâwâ is inferential. It seems to be no, and it is not.

Fifthly, he explains about the fatâwâ which state prescriptions. The fatâwâ set out prescription in the areas of clothing, leaving the house, and the capacity of women to exercise authority. The first two raise personal morality and the third raises authority and obedience. However, Hooker sees that identifying a prescription is so problematic. For instance in the case of women going out of the house which is concerned with obedience, motive and necessity. So far as motive is concerned, it can be arranged in a hierarchy. The best motive is to pray but even this is debatable. NU’s 1920-1940s fatâwâ did not permit this except in the case of unattractive or old women. Persis always permitted going out to pray with some rules of proper dress. The Muhammadiyah prefers that women pray separately in a mshalla (small Mosque). (p. 240)

Finally, he talks about normative Islam. By quoting Prof. Wael Hallaq’s book of legal theory, Hooker defines normative Islam as the five values with which all legal acts must be labeled. They are wâjib, mandûb, mubâh, harâm and makrûh. However, he concerns more with mubâh, makrûh and harâm which as shown by
the fatâwâ, the boundary of which are permeable throughout the 20th century.

Critical Remarks

Throughout all chapters, Hooker raises many important and interesting fatâwâ. It is interesting in the sense that some of them are discriminative and gender biased fatâwâ (p. 180) and seem inappropriate at our time. He also smartly elaborates one fatwa with other fatâwâ and gives his own view on them although he looks at them from a very secular point of view. However, these are the strength of this book, and further we can learn much from the fatâwâ in order to know how Islam is understood in particular time and place, Indonesia.

Here, I would like to sum up my remarks on this book. I have some problems with the way Hooker elaborates the fatâwâ. First, as I have mentioned, he does not arrange the fatâwâ in a well order. One fatwa and another fatwa sometimes have no something to do but Hooker tries to relate them. Thus, the theme broadens.

Secondly, in almost all of the fatâwâ, Hooker sees such inconsistencies and eclecticism. He also frequently calls them as doing tâlîq which seems negative for him. However, such eclecticism can be very important and positive in order to achieve the public good (maslahâ). Therefore, this term is also used by Qodri Azizi as a title of his book and Bustanul Arifin sees it as something proper.9 Furthermore, there are many gawâ‘îd fiqhiyah which indicate to the changes of laws such as “taghyîr al-âhkâm bi-tâghayyur al-azminah wa al-amkinab” which means the change of laws lays on the change of times and

9Bustanul Arifin says that eclecticism is religious and philosophical system by which one critically selects ideas from various sources and doctrines. See Bustanul Arifin in the prolog of A. Qodri Azizy, Eklektisisme Hukum Nasional; Kompetisis antara Hukum Islam dan Hukum Umum, Gama Media, Yogyakarta, 2002, p. viii
places; “al-ḥukm yaddiru maʿa illatibi wujūdan wa adaman” which means a law is present whenever its ratio legis is present, and it is absent in the absence of its ratio legis. Especially for NU, it has a famous slogan which indicates to the change, “al-mubahah al-Qadim al-shalih wa al-akbdz bi al-jadid al-asbala” which means to protect the old which is still good and to take the new which is better.

Thirdly, from Hooker’s comments on the fatâwâ, it seems that he wishes to see one voice of Indonesian ‘ulama’. I think this is impossible. Were Hooker watchfully learning ushul al-fiqh, he would found that ushul al-fiqh provides a wide range of possibility for us to be different in opinion, even al-Qur’an provides the opportunities. One word can be understood and has many meanings. This is called al-musytaarak al-lafzî. The opportunity of being different can also be found in lafzî ‘am/khas, mujmal-mubayyan, mutlaq-mugayyad, and nasikh-mansukh.

Fourthly, Hooker does not decide which fatwa from the four sources in a certain case which is the most authoritative and the most accepted by society. This is important to know the Indonesian Islam from the religious dynamics.

Fifthly, even though Hooker limits his study of fatâwâ from 1920 to 1990s, he does not mention many religious issues within society in the early 1990s. There are some other issues at that time such as what Islam says about Multi Level Marketing (MLM), the establishment of PT. Syarikat Takaful Indonesia, inter-religious marriage and many others. Perhaps this is because Hooker limits his study on the sources and ignores one person’s ideas. However, it is crucial if we want to know how Islam is understood in Indonesia by taking one particular person’s opinion into consider.

10 This may indicate the haphazardness of Hooker on ushul fiqh. Once, he mentions the definition of mubah as “neither forbidden nor permitted” in the MUI’s fatwa on the creation of life. (p. 191) It must be “neither forbidden nor recommended/suggested – it is permitted”.
Finally, the title ‘Indonesian Islam’ seems to be inappropriate of representing the real Indonesian Islam. Excepted MUI, the three sources formerly, even until recently, could only be found mostly in Java. Therefore, the religious issues which are arisen were Javanese issues. However, this book attractively provokes us to critically learn Indonesian fatâwâ and furthermore invites us to predict how the relationship between religious authority and state authority will be in the future.
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