ISSN: 2322-0015

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Studies on Seed moulds of Pearl millet (Pennisetum typhoides)

Khairnar DN

Mycology & Plant Pathology Research Laboratory, KAANMS, Arts, Commerce & Science College , Satana, 423301, Maharashtra, India | E-mail: dnkhairnar@rediffmail.com | dattatrey11254@gmail.com

Manuscript Details

Received: 31.08.2014 Revised: 21.12.2014

 $Revised\ Received: 11.03.2015$

Accepted: 02.04.2015 Published: 28.06.2015

ISSN: 2322-0015

Editor: Dr. Arvind Chavhan

Cite this article as:

Khairnar DN. Studies on Seed moulds of Pearl millet (*Pennisetum typhoides*), *Int. Res. J. of Science & Engineering*, 2015; Vol. 3(3):113-116.

Acknowledgement:

The author is thankful to Dr. Kashor Pawar, Principal, of this college for continuous encouragement in the work and providing the research facilities

Copyright: © Author(s), This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is noncommercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

ABSTRACT

Twenty three, fungal species were found associated with seeds of eight cultivars of pearl millet. Maximum fungi were reported from seeds of var. BJ-104 and ICMS -7703. Aspergillus flavus, Fusarium moniliforme and Penicillium oxalicum were found pathogenic causing seed rot, seed discolouration and germination inhibition. Captan and Dithane M-45 proved best for bajra seed dressing.

Key Words: Diversity in seedmolds, Pearl millet, Pathogenicity, Control.

INTRODUCTION

The seed-borne fungi of pearl millet (*Pennisetum typhoidis* (Burm.) Stapf. and Hutt) were earlier studied by Sharma and Basuchaudhary (1975); Gupta (1976); Konde et al. (1980); Randhawa and Aulakh (1980); Prasad and Narayan (1981); Girisham and Reddy (1985; 1986); Panchal (1984) and Khairnar (1987; 2011).

The present investigations were carried out to detect the seed-borne fungi of pearl millet cultivars, viz. African A-1, BJ-104, BK-560, ICMS-7703, Local, MBH-110, X5 and WCC-75 by different seed health testing methods and to study their pathogenic behavior and control by seed dressing fungicides.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seed samples of pearl millet varieties African A-1, BJ-104, BK-560, ICMS-7703,Local, MBH-110, WCC-75 and X-5 were collected in three random samples (half kg each) from fields, various store

houses and markets. A composite sample of this was prepared by mixing the individual samples, preserved in cloth bags at laboratory temperature during the studies.

Standard blotter and agar plate method with Wakman's acid agar medium were used as recommended by ISTA (1966) for the isolation of seed-borne fungi of pearl millet (Glucose 10g., KH2PO4 1g, MgSO4 0.5 g, agar agar 20 g and distilled water 1000 ml, pH 5.6) Four hundred seeds were used in each case. Seeds used for experiments were untreated and pretreated with 0.1% HgCl2 solution. In agar plate method, ten seeds were plated in each plate. The plates were incubated at 28+ 20 C under alternate light darkness condition for seven days.

The pathogenicity tests of each fungus on seed during germination were studied by soaking the surface sterilized seeds in spore suspensions of seed borne fungi for 24h. Then seeds were used for germination studies on moist blotter. Seeds treated similarly but without spore suspension served as control. This type of work was done by Panchal (1984) and Khairnar (1987) on Jowar and Bajra seeds respectively.

The fungicides namely Captan, Dithane M-45, Dithane Z-78, Brassicol, Blitox-50W, Bavistin, Thiram, Zinkop, Ceresan, Zineb 75, Wettable sulphur each (2g/kg seed) were evaluated for their efficacy in reducing the seed-borne fungi of pearl millet. The treated seeds were tested by standard blotter method after 24 hours of the treatment. Untreated seeds served as control.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

It is clear from the results summarized in Table 1 that above twenty three fungal species appeared on the seeds of eight different cultivars tested.

Table 1: Seed moulds of Pearl millet on agar plate method

Euroi	% incidence	Fungus		
Fungi	Untreated	Pretreated	associated	
Absidia ramosa	10	0	1	
Alternaria alternata	10	10	4	
Aspergillus flavus	30	10	4	
Aspergillus fumigatus	20	0	2	
Aspergillus nidulans	20	0	1	
Aspergillus niger	30	10	3	
Aspergillus ustus	10	0	1	
Cladosporium herbarum	10	0	5	
Curvularia lunata	40	30	7	
Curvularia pallescens	40	10	8	
Drechslera longirostrata	10	10	6	
Drechslera rostrata	20	10	4	
Drechslera spicifer	10	10	3	
Drechslera tetramera	50	30	8	
Fusarium moniliforme	30	20	5	
Fusarium oxysporum	10	30	6	
Mortierella exigua	10	0	2	
Penicillium oxalicum	10	0	2	
Pythium sp.	20	10	5	
Rhizoctonia solani	30	10	6	
Rhizopus nigricans	20	0	4	
Syncephalastrum racemosum	10	0	5	
Torula herbarum	10	10	2	
Non-sporulating mycelium	30	10	4	

Varieties tested: African 1-1, BJ-104, BK-560, ICMS-7703, Local, MBH-110, WCC-75, X-5

Table 2: Effect of artificial infestation on seeds and seedlings of pearl millet

Fungi	Abnormalities in								
	Seeds			Seedlings					
	% Germination inhibition	Rot	Discolouration	Shoot	Length	Root	Length		
Absidia ramosa	40	-	Ash	Normal	5.2	Shortening	3.0		
Alternaria alternata	40	-	Blackbrown	Blight	5.0	-	9.8		
Alternaria tenuis	60	-	Brown	Yellow	5.2	-	4.1		
Aspergillus flavus	10	+	Green	Tip rot	2.6	Shortening	1.9		
Aspergillus niger	100	-	Black	Yellow	5.4	Root rot	9.0		
Cladosporium herbarum	40	-	Dullgreen	Stunted	1.6	Healthy	3.0		
Curvularia lunata	30	-	Black	Chlorosis	4.9	Shortening	10.7		
Cruvularia pallescens	50	-	Black	Stunted	2.5	-	9.2		
Drechslera longirostrata	40	-	Black	Stunted	5.0	Root rot	10.0		
Drechslera rostrata	50	-	Black	Blight	5.2	Root rot	10.2		
Drechslera tetrametra	20	-	Black	-	5.0	-	9.2		
Fusarium moniliforme	0	+	White	Blight	-	Root rot	-		
Fusarium oxysporum	0	+	White pink	-	-	Root rot	-		
Penicilium oxalicm	10	-	White	-	2.8	-	4.5		
Rhizopus nigricans	20	-	White-ash	White	4.5	-	1.5		
Rhozoctonia solani	50	-	Black	Tip rot	4.9	Curling	9.7		
Control	90	-	Normal	Green (Normal)	5.2	Normal	10.1		

In the present investigation three fungi viz. Mortierella exigua, Pythium sp. and Torula herbarum are newly recorded. In untreated seeds, maximum incidence of Drechslera terramera followed Curvularia lunata, C. pallescens, Aspergillus flavus, Fusaarium moniliforme, Aspergillus niger and Rhizoctonia soloni while Absidia ramosa, Alternaria alternatea Aspergillus ustus. Cladospprium herbarum, Drechslera longirostrata, D. spicifer, Fusarium oxysporum, Mortierella exigua, Penicillium oxalicum and Syncephalastrum racemosum were reported poorly.

Seeds treated with surface sterilizer showed complete absence of certain fungi like *Absidia ramosa, Aspergillus fumigates, A. nidulans, Mortierella exigtua, Rhozpus nigricans* and *Syncephalasturm racemosum.* On the other hand counts of *Fusarium oxysporium* were found to be increased. It was interesting to note that one

phycomyceteous non-sporulating fungus appeared consistently both on treated and untreated seeds. Fungal species, *Curvularia pallescens* and *Drechslera tetramera* were found on all cultivars.

It is evidient from the results given in Table 2 that complete inbibition of seed germination was achieved due to *Fusarium moniliforme* and *F. oxyspourm*, while seed rotting was effectively found due to *Aspergillus flavus, Fusaruim moniliforme, F. oxysporum* and partial seed rot by *Penicillium oxalicum*. Five days old seedlings, blight and tetardation of root length and shoot elongation were the common symptoms caused by most of the seed-borne fungi . Panchal 1984 and Khairnar 1987,2011, showed the fungi like *Fusarium oxysporum, Penicillium oxalicum* and *Alternaria alternata* are seed rotting of Jowar seeds, while Curvularia pallescens and Drechslera longirostrata are root rotting fungi.

Captan, Dithane M-45, Bavistin and Blitox-50W (each 2g/kg seed) showed broad spectrum effect and eliminated all the fungi from seed and improved germination to the extent of 90-98 percent as compared to 50-60 percent obtained in untreated seeds. The remaining fungicides were less effective in checking the pearl millet seed fungi.

REFERENCES

- 1. Girisham S and Reddy SM. Influence of storage structures on seed mycoflora of Pearl millet. *Geobios new Reports*, 1985; 4, 126-129.
- 2. Gupta DC. Viability of stored seeds in bajra(Pennisetum thphoides). *Seed Tech. News*, 1976; 6: 9-12.
- 3. ISTA, Proc. Int. Seed Test Ass., 1966; 31:1-52.
- 4. Khairnar DN. Studies on Seed-borne fungi of Bajara, Ph.D. Thesis, Marathwada University, Aurangabad, 1987.
- 5. Khairnar D.N. Fungal diversity and mycotoxin effect on seed-borne fungi, seed Germination and seedling vigour of some cereals of Nashik district, *Nature Environment and Pollution Technology*,2011; 10(3):485-486.
- 6. Konde BK; Dhage BV and More BB. Seedborne fungi of some pearl millet Cultivars. *Seed Research*, 1980; 8: 59-63.
- 7. Panchal VH. Studies on seed-borne fungi of sorghum Ph.D. Thesis, Marathwada University Aurangabad,1984.
- 8. Prasad BK and Narayan N. Seed-borne fungi of some millets *Geobios*, 1981; 8: 47-48.
- 9. Randhawa HS and Aulakh KS. Pathological appraisal of seed-borne fungi of Pearl miloet. *Indian Phytopathol,Soc.*, 1980; 33:163-167.
- Sharma JR and Basuchaudhary KC. Assessment of seed mycoflora of pearl Millet and their control. *Indian Phytopathol*, 1975; 28: 388-390.

© 2015| Published by IRJSE