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ABSTRACT – Background – Stent palliation is the gold standard for gastric/duodenal cancer outlet obstruction. When stenting is impossible, feeding may be achieved through a gastrojejunostomy (PEG-J), but displacement of jejunal tube is frequent due to manipulation for feeding and drainage. Gastric outlet obstruction results on increased gastroesophageal reflux or extra-tube leakage. In order to reduce the jejunostomy tube manipulation and the gastric residuum, we created a second gastrostomy (PEG) dedicated to gastric drainage, reducing the PEG-J handling. Objective – Our aim was evaluating of the usefulness of an added second gastrostomy in a PEG-J patient, for: 1. controlling symptomatic reflux and extra-tube leakage; 2. preventing jejunal tube dislocation. Methods – We retrospectively evaluated patients were stent palliation of gastric/duodenal cancer outlet obstruction was not achieved, who were referred and underwent PEG-J. We selected four of these patients who needed a second PEG dedicated to gastric drainage, which was performed a few centimetres apart from the gastrojejunostomy. In order to achieve an efficient gastric drainage and provide the maximum comfort to the patient, the drainage PEG tube could be linked to an ileostomy bag. Results – The four PEG-J cancer patients with longer survival developed symptoms associated with an important gastric residuum. After the drainage gastrostomy, symptoms subsided or vanished and there were no jejunal tube dislocations. Conclusions – When stenting is not possible in patients with gastric/duodenal outlet obstruction due to cancer growing, feeding PEG-J plus drainage PEG may be an alternative, allowing duodenal/jejunal feeding and gastric drainage with minimal manipulation of the jejunal tube.


INTRODUCTION

Gastrojejunostomy or Percutaneous Endoscopic Gastrostomy with jejunal extension (PEG-J) is a tube feeding technique which allows post-pyloric delivery of nutrients or drugs. It has been used as an enteric feeding technique in several clinical settings, mostly in PEG patients with gastro-esophageal reflux and high risk of respiratory aspiration and infection[1,10], and occasionally in patients with acute[7] or chronic[5] pancreatitis. It has also been successfully used as a drug delivery system in severe cases of Parkinson’s disease[1,5].

The gold standard for feeding palliation of upper GI tract cancer is stenting the obstructed GI segment with a self-expanding metallic stent, allowing feeding with an almost normal oral diet. The use of PEG-J as a tube feeding technique for palliation in upper GI tract malignancy has been seldom reported. To the best of our knowledge, the first report of the use of PEG-J for feeding an obstructive cancer patient comes from a Japanese pancreatic cancer case[4]. Actually, PEG-J should not be used routinely in gastric cancer obstruction, as it has been proved to be associated with shorter survival and device patency then stent palliation[9]. Nevertheless, PEG-J may be useful in gastric/duodenal cancer outlet obstruction when stenting is impossible due to technical issues or other reasons. These cases include gastric cancers from the antrum and duodenal malignancies arising from the...
pancreas or from the duodenal wall. In these cases, feeding may be achieved using a PEG-J, but complications occur. Dislocation of jejunal tube is frequent due to manipulation for feeding and gastric drainage. We have a large experience of creating a gastrojejunostomy using a 24 French Kimberly-Clark® gastrostomy kit and, subsequently, passing a 12 French Bard® jejunal feeding/gastric decompressing tube whose distal tip is placed in the distal duodenum or in the jejunum. Later, as the gastrostomy fistula matures, we may replace this two tubes system by a single 22 French Kimberly-Clark® jejunal feeding tube with a gastric drainage. But using any of these two all-in-one systems demands manipulation of the feeding tube whenever it is necessary drainage of gastric contents. Also, gastric outlet obstruction may result on an important gastric residuum, leading to increased gastroesophageal reflux or extra-tube leakage through the gastrostomy, with persistent skin lesion. In order to reduce the jejunal tube manipulation and to improve the reduction of gastric residuum and stasis symptoms, we developed a technical solution: performing a second endoscopy gastrostomy (PEG) and placing a PEG tube devoted to gastric drainage. To the best of our knowledge, this technical solution is unprecedented.

The aim of our study was the retrospective evaluation of the usefulness of an added second gastrostomy in a PEG-J patient, when gastric stasis symptoms develop, namely symptomatic gastroesophageal reflux or extra-tube leakage through the gastrostomy fistula. An additional aim was the evaluation of the usefulness of this procedure in order to prevent jejunal tube dislocation from the small bowel into the stomach.

METHODS

Patients with advanced gastric antrum or duodenal malignancies, candidates for palliation with gastric/duodenal stents, were referred to PEG-J when stenting was also unsuitable. After a successful gastrojejunostomy, patients started continuous enteral feeding with an increasing infusion rate. After achieving an infusion rate of 80cc/hour, feeding was changed to a bolus every hour, and was gradually increased according with patient’s tolerance. Increasing bolus volume was accompanied with increasing time gaps between boluses, allowing the patients to live with a greater independence from the feeding procedure. Continuous enteral feeding infusion was kept during the night only if needed to achieve patient’s needs. When bolus feeding balance was achieved, patients were discharged and followed as outpatients, initially with appointments on a weekly basis.

During hospitalization or after discharge, some patients develop stasis-associated symptoms, as reflux symptoms, mainly regurgitation, or extra-tube leakage causing skin lesions. To these patients, a second endoscopic gastrostomy is proposed, in order to drain the gastric residuum. This second gastrostomy may be performed in an outpatient basis, and the tube is placed a few centimetres apart from the gastrojejunostomy using the same stomach area, already in close contact with the abdominal wall (Figure 1). The drainage PEG tube (Figure 2) may be linked to a urine drainage bag or, more practical for some patients, to a skin level ileostomy bag. The ileostomy bag may be changed by the patient or caregiver. The patients kept gastrojejunostomy feeding as before and kept being followed as outpatients.

RESULTS

We performed a gastrojejunostomy in eight patients with malignancies causing gastric outlet obstruction, three cancers of the antrum and five pancreatic cancers invading the duodenum. These patients survived from a few days to 8 months after the PEG-J procedure. Six of them dislocated the
The use of a gastrojejunostomy as an access for post-pyloric long term enteral nutrition has been reported in several clinical settings. Most frequently it is used in PEG patients with clinical significant gastroesophageal reflux and respiratory aspiration. When reflux and aspiration cannot be controlled with postural procedures and pharmacotherapy, placing a jejunal tube through the PEG and creating a PEG-J is the most practical approach. Usually acute pancreatitis episodes subsides and resolves itself in a time gap too short to justify a long term intestinal feeding access, but PEG-J was occasionally used in this setting. Although unnecessary in most patients, PEG-J has also been reported in chronic pancreatitis. Before 2007, PEG-J was considered for nutrition of cancer patients only in the context of head and neck cancer, when patients displayed a high risk of respiratory aspiration. The use of PEG-J in the setting of a digestive malignancy, in order to bypass the obstruction, was only reported recently. This lack of reported experience in gastric or duodenal cancer obstruction may have two causes. On the other hand, stenting is more comfortable, was proven to be superior to PEG-J for nutritional palliation of gastric or duodenal obstructing lesions, and is achievable in most cases. On the other hand, there is always some concern about using special feeding procedures in patients with advanced cancer. But sometimes stenting is not achieved, there is a survival expectancy of several months, suitable social conditions, and families willing to take care of patients. In these selected patients, PEG-J palliation allows, not only feeding but also hydration during a large period until death. Adding a drainage PEG to the PEG-J feeding system is more handleable for the patient and caregivers, reduces manipulation of the intestinal tube and controls symptoms related with stasis of a large gastric residuum.

**DISCUSSION**

In selected cases, when stenting is not possible in patients with gastric/duodenal outlet obstruction due to cancer growing, a feeding PEG-J plus drainage PEG may be a suitable alternative for palliation purposes, allowing duodenal/jejunal feeding and gastric drainage with minimal manipulation of the PEG-J jejunal tube.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In selected cases, when stenting is not possible in patients with gastric/duodenal outlet obstruction due to cancer growing, a feeding PEG-J plus drainage PEG may be a suitable alternative for palliation purposes, allowing duodenal/jejunal feeding and gastric drainage with minimal manipulation of the PEG-J jejunal tube.
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**RESUMO – Contexto** – Para a obstrução gástrica/duodenal por câncer, o tratamento paliativo de referência é a colocação de prótese expansível. Quando tal não é possível, o doente pode ser paliado usando uma gastrojejunostomia (PEG-J), mas a deslocação do tubo jejunal é frequente, devido a manipulação para alimentação e drenagem. A obstrução pode resultar em refluxo gastroesofágico e perda de líquido extra-tubo com lesão cutânea. Para evitar estas complicações é possível colocar uma segunda gastrostomia (PEG) dedicada à drenagem gástrica. **Objetivo** – O nosso objetivo foi avaliar a utilidade de uma segunda gastrostomia em doentes com câncer no estômago ou duodeno alimentados por PEG-J respeitante a: 1. Controlo do refluxo gastroesofágico e perda de líquido gástrico extra-tubo; 2. Prevenir a deslocação do tubo jejunal. **Métodos** – Avaliamos retrospectivamente doentes submetidos a PEG-J por impossibilidade de colocação de prótese para palição de obstrução gástrica por câncer no estômago ou duodeno. Selecionamos os quatro que necessitaram de uma segunda PEG para drenagem gástrica, efetuada a alguns centímetros da PEG-J. Para maior conforto a PEG de drenagem pode ser ligada a um saco de jejunostomia. **Resultados** – Os quatro doentes oncológicos alimentados por PEG-J com maior sobrevida necessitaram de uma segunda PEG. Após a PEG de drenagem, os sintomas melhoraram ou desapareceram e, não houve qualquer deslocação do tubo jejunal. **Conclusões** – Quando não é possível a palição por prótese de doentes com obstrução neoplásica do estômago ou duodeno, a palição com uma PEG-J para alimentação e uma PEG para drenagem é uma alternativa útil, permitindo a manipulação mínima do tubo jejunal.
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