Alstonia boonei De Wild oil extract in the management of mosquito (Anopheles gambiae), a vector of malaria disease
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ARTICLE INFO

Objective: To evaluate the insecticidal potential of Alstonia boonei (A. boonei) oils and derivatives against different life stages of a malaria vector, Anopheles gambiae.

Methods: The leaf, stem bark and root bark of A. boonei were collected from an open field and air dried before being blended to fine powder. Oils from this plant were extracted by cold extraction and were prepared at different concentrations. Contact toxicity of A. boonei was tested against the larvae and pupae of the insect while smoke toxicity of the plant materials in form of mosquito coil was tested against the adult insect.

Results: Alstodine recorded the highest insect mortality rate and the order of susceptibility of the life stages of the insect to the plant was pupae < adult < larvae. Alstodine recorded the highest repellant activity (100%) after 4-5 h of application. However, all the treatments achieved high repellency (above 70%) after 6-7 h of application compared with the control. The formulated mosquito coil (smoke) of A. boonei oil extracts and derivatives showed high rate of protectability as they achieved above 55% protection. Moreover, alstodine (83.22%) showed the greatest smoke toxicity effect on the insect as it recorded almost the same percentage protection as the positive control (Raid synthetic insecticide) which recorded 83.56% protection.

Conclusions: This present study has proven A. boonei oil extracts and derivatives as a potential botanical insecticide which could serve as a new thoroughfare of mosquito control. Moreover, the order of effectiveness of the plant can be arranged thus: alstodine > alstonine > stem bark extract > leaf extract > root bark extract.

1. Introduction

Mosquitoes are rural-urban insect which had been noted for their high prevalence in many developing countries where insect pest management is still minimal. Mosquitoes of different species including Aedes aegypti, Anopheles dirus, Culex quinquefasciatus, Aedes albopictus, Anopheles funestus, Anopheles arabiensis, Anopheles annularis, Anopheles calificacies, Anopheles stephensi and Anopheles gambiae (An. gambiae) among others have been noted to be vector of different types of diseases among which malaria is the most prevalent in developing nations[1-9]. The high prevalence of malaria disease in Nigeria has been reported and the disease has been the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in the country [10,11]. In fact, 50% of Nigeria population suffers at least one episode of malaria each year and this has been posting negative effect on the economic growth of the country[10,12,13].

Therefore, the control of the causative agent/vector of this disease becomes imperative in order to reduce its prevalence among the citizens of the country and other developing countries. Since mosquitoes have been noted to be the major vector of malaria borne diseases, different types of synthetic chemical insecticides such as deet, IR3535 and KBR 3023 have been employed as repellent against this insect. However, the unfriendly effect of most of these past advocated synthetic chemical insecticides leads the insect pest managers of the world to comb for alternative ways of scheming this disease causing insect. Before the discovery of the popular synthetic chemical insecticides in the late 1930s, tars, smokes, plant oils and other
modalities have been used as repellent of mosquitoes in many parts of the world[14].

Botanical insecticides have been gaining more attention and popularity as government of many developed countries are putting embargo on the use of many conventional chemical insecticides. This increasing popularity of plant base insecticides has been associated with their no or little mammalian toxicity eco-friendly. Also, they have been noted to be easily biodegradable and cheap[9,15-17]. Many botanical oils such as Curuma longa, Cymbopogon winterianus, Ocimum americanum, Nepeta cataria, Viola odorata, Melaleuca quinquenervia, Azadirachta indica, Citrus medica and Murraya koenigii have been used against wide range of mosquito species[1,7,8,18-21]. However, many of these popular botanical species which have been used for the control of mosquitoes were not popular among Nigerians and since botanicals and their derivatives still remain the promising alternative to obviate the use of synthetic chemical insecticides, there is need to search for other local Nigerian plants that could be useful in the control of this infamy insect. Moreover, tropical regions of the world including Nigeria have been noted to be well endowed with plant species that contain myriad of chemicals that are insecticidal in nature[22-27].

Alstonia boonei De Wild (Apocynaceae) (A. boonei) is a medicinal plant which has been used as herbal medicine for the treatment of malaria, rheumatism, asthma, toothache and ulcer[28]. Alstonine, alstodine and porphine are the active compounds found in the plant[29,30]. This plant has been proven insecticidal against different stored product insect pests[31]. Therefore, this present work evaluated the insecticidal efficacy of A. boonei crude oil extract, alstodine and alstonine against different life stages of mosquito.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Collection and rearing of mosquito larva and pupa

Mosquitoes’ baits consisting of shallow containers with a large surface area and which are opaque in colour were established in the Hatchery Laboratory, Department of Environmental Biology and Fisheries, Adekunle Ajasin University Akungba Akoko, Ondo State, Nigeria. The opaque coloured container was filled with rain water in order to mimic mosquito’s natural breeding environment and also to attract adult mosquitoes for oviposition. Small quantity of industrial yeast was sprinkled on the surface of the water and allowed to decompose slowly as this will nourish the developing larva. Wild mosquitoes were allowed to freely visit the bait and to lay eggs. Afterward the containers bearing mosquitoes larvae and pupae were transferred to the laboratory, identified and maintained at temperature of (28 ± 2) °C and (75 ± 5)% relative humidity.

2.2. Collection of plant materials

The leaf, root and stem bark of A. boonei were collected from permanent site of Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba Akoko, Ondo State, Nigeria. The plant was identified in the Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology of the University. The two derivatives used (alstodine and alstonine) were bought from a pesticides store in Lagos, Nigeria.

2.3. Extraction of plant materials

The leaf, stem bark and root of A. boonei were air dried in the laboratory and were grounded into fine powders using an electric blender (Binatone Model BLG 400). The powders were further sieved to pass through 1 mm² perforations[32], thereafter stored in separate plastic containers with tight lids and stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C prior to use.

Acetone extracts of leaf, stem bark and root of A. boonei were made using cold extraction method. About 100 g of each of the powder were soaked separately in an extraction bottle containing 100% acetone. The mixtures were stirred occasionally with a glass rod and extraction was terminated after 72 h. Filtration was carried out using a double layer of Whatman No. 1 filter paper and acetone evaporated using a rotary evaporator at 30-40 °C with rotary speed of 3 to 6 r/min for 8 h. The resulting extract was air dried in order to remove traces of solvent. The crude extract was kept in a dark bottle labeled and preserved in the refrigerator till further use.

Alstodine and alstonine which are derivatives of A. boonei were bought from a chemical store at Surulere, Lagos, Nigeria and were kept in an air tight bottle inside refrigerator until use.

2.4. Effect of A. boonei extracts on larvae and pupae of An. gambiae

Larvicidal and pupacidal activity of the plant extracts was carried out at different concentrations by preparing the required stock solutions following the standard procedure[33]. The desired concentrations were achieved by adding 1.0 µg of the crude extract from leaf, root and stem barks as well as alstodine and alstonine to 100 mL of distilled water. From this, five concentrations of 1%, 2%, 3%, 4% and 5% of the plant extracts and its derivatives were prepared. The treatments were separately added to 2.5 L of water inside a bowl and yeast powder was added in order to provide source of food for the introduced larvae. Twenty larvae and pupae of An. gambiae were separately introduced into the treated water and untreated water was set as control. Each treatment was replicated five times. Mortality was observed over 24 h after the introduction of larvae and pupae to notice recovery; a recovery time of 5 min was allowed[7,33]. The larva mortality in treatments was corrected for the controls[34]. Larvae and pupae were counted as dead when they were not coming to the surface for respiration and were insensitive to probe[7,35].

2.5. Fumigant effect of plant extracts on adult An. gambiae

The method described by Akinkurolere et al. was adopted to evaluate the effect of the plant and its derivative on the insect with
little modification[7]. Twenty adults were placed inside a test-tube and plugged with cotton wool. Strips of filter papers (3 cm × 3 cm) were soaked in varying concentrations of extracts and the derivatives and then suspended in the test-tube. Each treatment was replicated five times. Mortality was recorded 3 h after application.

2.6. Repellent activity of A. boonei extracts and its derivatives

Repellent activity of leaf, stem bark and root of A. boonei and its derivatives (alstonine and alstodine) was tested using the method described by Murugan et al.[36,37]. Human volunteers were used to test how the plant and its derivatives can protect against mosquito bite. Hundred 3-4 days old blood starved female An. gambiae that are sterile were kept in a net cage. The forearms of each volunteer were cleaned with isopropanol. After air-drying the forearm, 25 cm × 25 cm strips of filter papers (3 cm × 3 cm) were soaked in varying concentrations of extracts and the bite. Hundred 3-4 days old blood starved female An. gambiae were released into the chamber. A belly shaven albino rat was kept tied inside the cage in an immobilized state. The experimental chamber was tightly closed. The experiment was replicated five times on separate days, including control mosquitoes of the same age groups. The data were obtained and average values were subsequently used for calculations. After the experiment over fed and unfed (active and dead) mosquitoes were counted. The protection given by the smoke from plant samples against the biting of adult mosquito was calculated by using the formula below:

\[
\text{Protection} = \frac{\text{Number of bites on control arm - number of bites on treated arm}}{\text{number of bites on control arm}} \times 100
\]

2.7. Mosquito coil toxicity assay of A. boonei and its derivatives

The leaf, stem bark and root of A. boonei extract and its derivatives were used in form of smoke to mimic synthetic chemical mosquito coils. The method described by Prabhu et al. was adopted to prepare the mosquito coils with minor changes[37]. A semi-solid paste material which served as a mosquito coil was formulated by separately thoroughly mixed with 10 mL of 50% concentrated plant materials, 5 g coconut shell, and charcoal powder each and distilled water. The formulation was allowed to dry under shade and was about 0.6 cm in thickness. Two control treatments were made. The first control coils were made without the extracts as well as alstonine and alstodine while the second control was made by using synthetic chemical insecticide (Raid) which served as positive control. The second control served as positive control to compare the effectiveness of botanical source mosquito coil and commercial coils. The experiments were conducted in a glass chamber of 120 cm × 80 cm × 40 cm. A window of 40 cm × 20 cm was situated at the mid bottom of one side of the chamber. Hundred 3-4 days old blood starved adult female An. gambiae, fed with sucrose solution, were released into the chamber. A belly shaven albino rat was kept tied inside the cage in an immobilized state. The experimental chamber was tightly closed. The experiment was replicated five times on separate days, including control mosquitoes of the same age groups. The data were obtained and average values were subsequently used for calculations. After the experiment over fed and unfed (active and dead) mosquitoes were counted. The protection given by the smoke from plant samples against the biting of adult mosquito was calculated by using the formula below:

\[
\text{Protection} = \frac{\text{Number of bites on control arm - number of bites on treated arm}}{\text{number of bites on control arm}} \times 100
\]

2.8. Statistical analysis

All the data obtained were subjected to One-way analysis of variance at 5% significant level and means were separated with Duncan’s new multiple range test using SPSS version 17. Also data, obtained from mosquito’s mortality, were subjected to regression analysis to calculate the LC50 of the extracts as well as the derivatives using probit analysis[38].

3. Results

3.1. Mortality of An. gambiae larvae treated with A. boonei oil extracts and its derivatives

Table 1 presents the effect of A. boonei oil extracts and its derivatives on larvae of An. gambiae. The mortality of the insect larvae varied with the type of plant parts used as well as increase in concentration of the oils. Only the alstodine, a derivative of A. boonei was able to achieve 100% insect mortality with 24 h of application and its effect was significantly (\(P < 0.05\)) different from others. Moreover, all the plant parts achieved above 50% mortality of An. gambiae except root extract which only achieved 42.50% mortality at 2% concentration. At all levels of concentration, the effect of the plant parts and the two derivatives were significantly (\(P < 0.05\)) different from the control.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant materials</th>
<th>Concentrations (%)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>40.00 ± 4.00</td>
<td>52.00 ± 4.00</td>
<td>72.50 ± 7.50</td>
<td>88.00 ± 4.00</td>
<td>96.00 ± 0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem bark</td>
<td>65.00 ± 2.89</td>
<td>77.50 ± 0.50</td>
<td>88.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>92.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root</td>
<td>30.00 ± 4.08</td>
<td>42.50 ± 2.50</td>
<td>67.50 ± 2.50</td>
<td>77.50 ± 2.50</td>
<td>86.80 ± 0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alstodine</td>
<td>88.00 ± 0.24</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alstonine</td>
<td>78.60 ± 0.22</td>
<td>89.00 ± 0.12</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value is a mean ± standard error of five replicates. Means followed by the same letter along the column are not significantly different (\(P > 0.05\)) using Duncan’s new multiple range test.

3.2. Mortality of An. gambiae pupae treated with A. boonei oil extracts and its derivatives

Mortality of An. gambiae pupae exposed to oil extracts and two derivatives of A. boonei at different concentrations are presented in
Table 2. All the oil extracts and its derivatives significantly exerted high mortality rate on the insect pupae. However, the effect of the oils were concentration dependent. Only alstodine was able to achieve 100% insect mortality within 24 h of application at 3% concentration and its effect was significantly (P < 0.05) different from others. However, none of the oil extracts was able to achieve 100% pupae mortality even at higher concentrations of 4% and 5%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant materials</th>
<th>Concentrations (%)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>27.50 ± 2.88%</td>
<td>35.62 ± 0.82%</td>
<td>44.50 ± 0.88%</td>
<td>66.60 ± 2.66%</td>
<td>76.00 ± 4.08%</td>
<td>88.26 ± 4.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem bark</td>
<td>52.50 ± 1.33%</td>
<td>64.50 ± 1.02%</td>
<td>78.68 ± 1.24%</td>
<td>82.00 ± 0.28%</td>
<td>96.00 ± 0.13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root</td>
<td>20.00 ± 0.45%</td>
<td>28.80 ± 0.24%</td>
<td>34.62 ± 2.50%</td>
<td>54.00 ± 1.25%</td>
<td>47.50 ± 2.66%</td>
<td>78.00 ± 1.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alstonine</td>
<td>75.80 ± 0.88%</td>
<td>90.20 ± 0.18%</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alstodine</td>
<td>68.00 ± 2.44%</td>
<td>84.00 ± 2.24%</td>
<td>88.00 ± 2.24%</td>
<td>94.26 ± 0.88%</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value is a mean±standard error of five replicates. Means followed by the same letter along the column are not significantly different (P > 0.05) using Duncan’s new multiple range test.

3.3. Fumigant toxicity of A. boonei oil extracts and its derivatives against adult An. gambiae

The fumigant toxic effect of A. boonei different plant parts and derivatives against adult An. gambiae are presented in Table 3. The results showed that none of the extracts and the derivatives were able to achieve 100% insect mortality at lower concentrations (1% and 2%). However, alstodine achieved the highest mortality of 85.00% and 96.20% at 1% and 2% concentrations respectively and this was significantly (P < 0.05) different from others at these levels of concentrations. Only alstonine and alstodine achieved 100% adult insect mortality at 3%, 4% and 5% concentrations and their effects were significantly (P < 0.05) different from oil extracts and the control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant materials</th>
<th>Concentrations (%)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>35.62 ± 0.82%</td>
<td>44.50 ± 0.88%</td>
<td>66.60 ± 2.66%</td>
<td>76.00 ± 4.08%</td>
<td>88.26 ± 4.08%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem bark</td>
<td>64.50 ± 1.02%</td>
<td>78.68 ± 1.24%</td>
<td>82.00 ± 0.28%</td>
<td>96.00 ± 0.13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root</td>
<td>28.80 ± 0.24%</td>
<td>34.62 ± 2.50%</td>
<td>54.00 ± 1.25%</td>
<td>47.50 ± 2.66%</td>
<td>78.00 ± 1.24%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alstonine</td>
<td>85.00 ± 0.18%</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alstodine</td>
<td>76.20 ± 2.88%</td>
<td>94.00 ± 2.24%</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td>100.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value is a mean±standard error of five replicates. Means followed by the same letter along the column are not significantly different (P > 0.05) using Duncan’s new multiple range test.

3.4. LC50 of A. boonei oil extract and derivatives in An. gambiae after 24 h

Table 4 shows that lower amounts of alstodine (0.20%, 0.38% and 0.18%) is required to achieve 50% mortality in adult, pupae and larvae of An. gambiae respectively. Compared to the amount of extracts required, the two derivatives showed more entomotoxic efficacy than the oil extracts of the plant. However, fiducial limits revealed that a lower amount of alstodine was required to cause 50% mortality in larvae (0.04-0.32) of An. gambiae when compared to the amount needed for adult (0.06-0.34) and pupae (0.12-0.64) respectively. This further revealed that the An. gambiae larvae were more susceptible to A. boonei oil extracts as well as its derivatives than pupae and adults. The effectiveness of the A. boonei extracts and derivatives can be arranged in the following order: alstodine > alstonine > stem bark oil extract > leaf oil extract > root bark oil extract.

3.5. Repellent activity of A. boonei extracts and its derivatives against An. gambiae

The repellent activity of A. boonei extracts and derivatives in terms of percentage protection against An. gambiae are presented in Table 5. Among the treatments, alstodine showed the highest repellent activity against adult An. gambiae at all hours of exposure. Within 1-2, 2-3 and 3-4 h of exposure, only alstodine at 4% concentration achieved complete protection but its effect was not significantly (P > 0.05) different from its concentration at 3%, 4% and 5% of alstonine. However, at all levels of concentration and period of exposure, the two A. boonei derivatives were significantly (P < 0.05) different from the oil extracts of the leaf, stem bark and the root bark of the plant except at 6-7 h of exposure where the lower concentrations (1% and 2%) of the two derivatives were not relatively different from the higher concentrations of the stem bark oil extract. Moreover, all the extracts of A. boonei and its derivatives were significantly (P < 0.05) different from the control.

3.6. Smoke toxic effect of A. boonei and its derivatives against An. gambiae

Table 6 presents the smoke toxic effect of A. boonei oil extracts and derivatives against adult An. gambiae. None of the treatments and the positive control (Raid insecticide) was able to prevent the adult mosquito from feeding. However, the positive control had the lowest number of fed insect (8.86), which was significantly (P < 0.05) different from other treatments except alstodine which recorded 9.20. In the same manner, the positive control recorded the highest number of unfed mosquito and its effect was considerably different from other treatments except alstodine. Furthermore, none of the treatments was able to achieve 100%
Table 5
Repellent activity of A. boonei extracts and its derivatives against An. gambiae.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant materials</th>
<th>Concentrations (%)</th>
<th>1-2</th>
<th>2-3</th>
<th>3-4</th>
<th>4-5</th>
<th>5-6</th>
<th>6-7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>84.24 ± 0.28b</td>
<td>84.00 ± 0.88e</td>
<td>83.26 ± 2.04c</td>
<td>80.00 ± 0.00e</td>
<td>76.66 ± 0.42c</td>
<td>76.82 ± 0.23c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem bark</td>
<td>88.88 ± 0.23b</td>
<td>84.26 ± 1.88c</td>
<td>84.04 ± 2.22d</td>
<td>82.66 ± 0.24c</td>
<td>82.00 ± 0.88d</td>
<td>79.56 ± 1.24d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root</td>
<td>85.42 ± 0.44c</td>
<td>85.24 ± 0.18c</td>
<td>84.76 ± 0.82b</td>
<td>84.24 ± 1.22c</td>
<td>82.44 ± 1.24c</td>
<td>80.16 ± 0.56c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alstodine</td>
<td>87.66 ± 1.24c</td>
<td>86.48 ± 0.24c</td>
<td>86.12 ± 1.00c</td>
<td>84.98 ± 0.24c</td>
<td>84.68 ± 0.65c</td>
<td>84.02 ± 0.88c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alstonine</td>
<td>89.84 ± 0.18c</td>
<td>88.64 ± 0.53c</td>
<td>87.92 ± 0.88c</td>
<td>86.64 ± 2.45c</td>
<td>85.42 ± 0.23c</td>
<td>84.48 ± 1.12c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value is a mean ± standard error of five replicates. Means followed by the same letter along the column are not significantly different (P > 0.05) using Duncan’s multiple range test.

Table 6
Smoke toxic effect of A. boonei and its derivatives against An. gambiae.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant materials</th>
<th>Total number of insects</th>
<th>Fed mosquitoes</th>
<th>Unfed mosquitoes</th>
<th>%Protection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>29.84 ± 0.02b</td>
<td>70.16 ± 0.23c</td>
<td>62.58 ± 0.88e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24.62 ± 1.00c</td>
<td>75.38 ± 0.56c</td>
<td>67.80 ± 0.88d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>34.20 ± 0.12c</td>
<td>65.80 ± 0.24c</td>
<td>58.22 ± 0.12b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alstodine</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.20 ± 0.12c</td>
<td>90.80 ± 0.43c</td>
<td>83.22 ± 1.24f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alstonine</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14.66 ± 0.24c</td>
<td>85.34 ± 0.12c</td>
<td>77.76 ± 0.42c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control I</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92.42 ± 0.22c</td>
<td>7.58 ± 0.25c</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.86 ± 0.02c</td>
<td>91.14 ± 0.82c</td>
<td>83.56 ± 0.56f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value is a mean ± standard error of five replicates. Means followed by the same letter along the column are not significantly different (P > 0.05) using Duncan’s new multiple range test.

4. Discussion

The public awareness of the perils associated with use of chemical insecticides has created an avenue for botanical source insecticides to gain more popularity in the global world insecticides market. However, the need for search of underutilized potential botanicals that could profoundly contend with many active chemical insecticides is a major concern. This is because many successful botanical insecticides that are in market are associated with some cons that may thwart their widespread use in the future[27,39]. A. boonei is a medicinal plant with many insecticidal attributes but they are underused.

The results obtained in the research showed that oil extracts from the leaf, stem bark and root bark of A. boonei as well as its two derivatives (alstodine and alstonine) had a considerable effect on all life stages of An. gambiae. However, the effectiveness of A. boonei extracts and derivatives was concentration and insect life stages dependent. The larval stage of An. gambiae appeared to be the most susceptible to A. boonei extracts while the pupae appeared to be the most tolerant to the plant oils. Nevertheless, the oils and the two derivatives recorded high insect mortality within 24 h of application. The effect of the oils and derivatives of A. boonei on the insect survival could be due to their ability to disrupt the normal respiratory activity of An. gambiae. Botanical source insecticides have been noted to have a considerable effect on the normal respiration of insects as many of them have a knack to block the respiratory organ (spiracle) of insects[7,9,27]. Therefore, the ability of the tested plant and its derivatives to exert high mortality of An. gambiae could be linked to their ability to block the insects spiracles. The oils and derivatives of this
plant may have also affected the swimming ability of the larvae and pupae of the insect as suggested by Bhattacharya et al. that botanical oils have a considerable effect on the swimming ability of larvae and pupae of mosquito and reduction in their surviving rate[40]. The results obtained in this study also proven the high efficacy of A. boonei oil extracts and its derivatives as a good mosquito repellent as they all achieved above 70% protection against mosquito bite 7 h after application. This result acquiesced with the result of Singh and Mittal in which leaf extract of Blumea lacera at 6% concentration recorded 78.8% and 76.2% of Anopheles stephensi and Culex quinquefasciatus respectively after 6 h[41]. Furthermore, the results obtained from the smoke experiment showed that A. boonei could effectively contend with many synthetic chemicals popularly used in many developing nations as there was no significant differences between the alstodine (a derivative of A. boonei) and the positive control (Raid, synthetic insecticide).Moronkola et al. reported the presence of tannins, saponins, alkaloids, flavonoids, cardiac glycosides, terpenoids and steroids in A. boonei crude extracts[30,42,43]. All these phytochemicals present in A. boonei had been reported to disrupt growth and reduced larva survival as well as disruption of life cycle of insects[44]. Therefore, this could also contribute to the high effectiveness of A. boonei extracts and derivatives against An. gambiae. A. boonei is a medicinal plant which has been found to heal diseases including malaria, rheumatism, asthma, toothache and ulcer[42,45]. Also, this plant is found to have anti-inflammatory, analgesic and antipyretic activities. The stem bark of the plant had been used as antivenom for snake bite and as well as used after delivery to aid removal of placenta[42]. This potential plant had also been proven insecticidal against stored grains beetles[31,32]. However, despite the potency of this plant, less attention has been given to it compared with other popular botanicals such as Azadirachta indica, Nacotiana tabacum and Eugenia aromatica which had been incorporated into pest management programme. The result of this present study has proven A. boonei oil extracts and derivatives as a potential botanical source insecticide which could serve as a new boulevard of insect control. Since this plant has shown a great insecticidal potential against mosquito as it was able to vie with the synthetic insecticide used in the research (Raid-positive control), it could be integrated into malaria vector management strategy. Moreover, the order of effectiveness of the plant can be arranged as following: alstodine > alstonine > stem bark extract > leaf extract > root bark extract.
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