Biometrics of the rare fish *Rhinomugil corsula* (Hamilton, 1822) (Mugiliformes: Mugilidae) in the Ganges, Northwestern Bangladesh
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**Objective:** To investigate biometrics of the *Rhinomugil corsula* (Hamilton, 1822) (*R. corsula*), including length-weight relationships (LWRs), condition factors (Allometric, Fulton’s, Relative, Relative weight), sex ratio and length–frequency distributions in the Ganges (Padma River), Northwestern Bangladesh.

**Methods:** Monthly sampling was conducted using traditional fishing gears during June to August 2012. Total length was measured to the nearest 0.01 cm using digital slide calipers, and total body weight was measured using an electronic balance with 0.01 g accuracy. The LWR was calculated using the expression: \( W = aL^b \), where the \( W \) is the body weight, \( L \) is the total length, \( a \) and \( b \) are the parameters of the regression.

**Results:** A total of 350 specimens ranging from 8.59-15.71 cm in total length and 9.57-32.59 g in body weight were analyzed during this study. The overall sex ratio was not significantly different from the expected value of 1:1 (\( \chi^2 = 2.57, P > 0.05 \)), but there was significant difference in the length–frequency distributions between the sexes (\( P = 0.03 \)). The allometric coefficient \( b \) for the LWR indicated negative allometric growth (\( b \approx 3.00 \)) in males, females and combined sexes. Results further indicated that the \( K_g \) was not significantly different between the sexes (\( P = 0.57 \)). However, the mean \( W_g \) of *R. corsula* showed significant differences from 100 for males (\( P = 0.03 \)) and females (\( P < 0.001 \)) in this study, indicating the imbalance habitat with food availability relative to the presence of predators.

**Conclusions:** This study reported the first description of biometric relationships for *R. corsula*, which would be useful for the sustainable conservation of this rare fishery in Bangladesh and also neighboring countries.
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**1. Introduction**

*Rhinomugil corsula* (*R. corsula*) (Hamilton, 1822) (Mugiliformes: Mugilidae) is a freshwater and brackish species commonly known as *Khorsula* in Bangladesh and Corsula mullet in India[1,2]. This fish is widely distributed in the rivers and estuaries throughout the Indian sub-continent including Bangladesh, India, Nepal and Myanmar[2]. It...
attains a maximum total length of 45 cm\(^3\). \(R.\) corsula is an important species in the Padma River\(^4\) and is widely preferred by the consumers in Bangladesh. However, the conservational status of this fish has been referred as least concern in the world, and the species has been categorized as rare (found in small quantity during monsoon) in Bangladesh\(^4,5\).

The length–weight relationship (LWR) is a handy tool in fishery assessment which helps in predicting weight from length required in yield assessment\(^8\) and in the calculation of the standing crop biomass\(^7\). In sampling programs, it is generally easier to measure length only (e.g., owing to the bobbing motion of the boat), or weight cannot be measured simply (e.g., underwater visual censuses). The LWR of a particular species allows the inter-conversion of these parameters. In addition, LWR of fishes are necessary for various purposes such as stock/ecological assessment, monitoring and management\(^8\). Furthermore, estimates of mean weight by length class are essential to assess biomass needed for initiating conservation measures for the proper conservational status of this fish has been referred as least concerned fish\(^2\). For each individual, total length (length size group which was predominated by females.

### 2.3. LWR

The LWR was estimated using the equations: \(W=\alpha L^b\) and \(\ln(W)=\ln(\alpha)+b \ln(L)\), Where \(W\) is the whole body weight (g) and \(L\) the total length (cm). The 95% confidence limits of parameters \(\alpha\) and \(b\) and the coefficient of determination \(r^2\) were also calculated. During this study, prior to the regression analysis of \(\ln\) body weight on \(\ln\) total length, \(\ln-\ln\) plots of length and weight values were performed for visual inspection of outliers, with extremes being excluded from the regression analyses.

### 2.4. Condition factors

Fulton’s condition factor \((K_F)\)\(^16\) was calculated using the equation: \(K_F=100\times(W/L^3)\), where \(W\) is the total body weight (g) and \(L\) is the total length (cm). The relative condition factor \((K_R)\) for each individual was calculated as\(^17\): \(K_R=W/L^3\), where \(W\) is the body weight, \(L\) is the total length, and \(a\) and \(b\) are the LWR parameters. In addition, the allometric condition factor \((K_A)\) was calculated as\(^18\): \(K_A=W/L^2\), where \(W\) is the body weight, \(L\) is the total length, and \(b\) is the LWR parameter. Furthermore, relative weight was calculated as\(^8\): \(W_R=(W/W_0)\times100\), where \(W\) is the weight of a particular individual and \(W_0\) is the predicted standard weight for the same individual as calculated by \(W_0=\alpha L^b\) where the \(a\) and \(b\) values are obtained from the relationships between total length and body weight.

### 2.5. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft® Excel–add–in–DDEXL, and GraphPad Prism 5. Tests for normality of each group were conducted by visual assessment of histograms and box plots, and confirmed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The Mann–Whitney \(U\)-test was used to compare the length–frequency distributions between the sexes. A Chi–square test was used to identify the sex–ratio divergence from the expected value of 1:1 (male: female). Moreover, the parameters \(\alpha\) and \(b\) of the LWR between sexes were compared by ANCOVA. Where the normality assumption was met, the one sample test was used to compare the mean relative weight \((W_R)\) with 100\(^19\). The unpaired \(t\)-test was used to compare the condition factors between sexes. All statistical analyses were considered significant at 5\% \((P<0.05)\).

### 3. Results

Out of the 350 specimens of \(R.\) corsula collected from the Padma River during present study, 54\% were males and 46\% were females (Table 1). The overall sex ratio did not differ from 1:1 ratio statistically \((\chi^2=2.57, df=1, P>0.05)\). The variation in sex ratio with length class showed that males dominated all the length classes except 14.00–14.99 cm total length size group which was predominated by females. Nonetheless, there were no significant differences among these groups \((P>0.05)\).
Table 1
Number of male, female, and sex ratio (male: female=1:0.84) of the *R. corsula* in the Padma River, Northwestern Bangladesh.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class size</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Chi-square value ($\chi^2$)</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.00 – 8.99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1:0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.00 – 9.99</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1:0.90</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00 – 10.99</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1:0.76</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.00 – 11.99</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1:0.76</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.00 – 12.99</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1:0.89</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.00 – 13.99</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1:0.96</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00 – 14.99</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1:1.17</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00 – 15.99</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1:0.41</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1:0.84</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: male; F: female; NS: not significant; *: significant at 5% level ($\chi^2 = 3.84$).

The LFD of *R. corsula* illustrated the smallest and the largest specimens as 8.59 and 15.71 cm total length for males and 9.15 and 15.66 cm total length for females, respectively (Figure 1, Table 2). However, the Mann-Whitney *U*-test showed significant differences in the LFDs between sexes (Mann-Whitney *U*-test, *P*<0.05). Furthermore, the results showed that body weight of males (18.11±5.58 g, range 11.05–31.58 g) was not significantly varied (Mann-Whitney *U*-test, *P*=0.26) from that of females (19.26±5.58 g, range 9.57–32.59 g).

![Figure 1. LFD of R. corsula in the Padma River, Northwestern Bangladesh.](image1.png)

Table 2
Descriptive statistics and 95% confidence limit of the length (cm) and weight (g) measurements for *R. corsula* in the Padma River, Northwestern Bangladesh.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>CL95% of <em>a</em></th>
<th><em>b</em></th>
<th>CL95% of <em>b</em></th>
<th><em>r</em></th>
<th><em>t</em></th>
<th><em>P</em></th>
<th>GT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>TL</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>15.71</td>
<td>11.72±1.74</td>
<td>11.40–12.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
<td>11.05</td>
<td>31.58</td>
<td>18.11±4.67</td>
<td>17.11–19.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>TL</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>15.66</td>
<td>12.46±1.98</td>
<td>11.59–12.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>32.59</td>
<td>19.26±5.58</td>
<td>17.96–20.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>TL</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>15.71</td>
<td>12.09±1.88</td>
<td>11.79–12.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BW</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>32.59</td>
<td>18.64±5.13</td>
<td>17.84–19.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Min: minimum; Max: maximum; SD: standard deviation; CL: confidence limit for mean values; TL: total length; BW: body weight.

The calculated allometric coefficient (*b*) indicated isometric growth in males (*b*=2.896). But negative allometric growths were recorded in females (*b*=2.615) and combined sexes (*b*=2.761), as *t*-test for *b* showed significant difference from 3 (*b*<3.00, *P*<0.01) in both cases. However, the LWRs were significantly different between males and females in this study (*P*<0.05). The analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) revealed significant differences between sexes for the intercepts (*a*) and slopes (*b*) of the regression lines (F=1.533, df=346, *P*=0.218).

The minimum and maximum, mean±SD, 95% confidence levels of each of the condition factors (*K*~f~, *K*~w~, *K*~s~), *W*~g~ of *R. corsula* are shown in Table 4.
All the condition factors of this fish in the Padma River showed significant differences from 3.0 is often associated with different fish-markets without indication of water bodies, therefore their study was not presented in a sound and/or scientific study. However, the values of \( b \) for \( R. corsula \) in this study were within the limits[8]. The LWR with \( b \) values significantly different from 3.0 is often associated with narrow size ranges of the specimens examined and such LWR should be used only within the respective size ranges (with caution for sample–size inadequacy). To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this study provides the first information on the LWRs of \( R. corsula \).

Four types of condition factors were used to assess the overall health and productivity of \( R. corsula \) in this study. The condition factor is an index reflecting interactions between biotic and abiotic factors in the physiological condition of fishes. It shows the welfare of the population during various life cycle stages[26]. No references dealing with the condition factors of \( R. corsula \) are available in the literature, preventing the comparison with previous results. However, condition factor based on the LWR is an indicator of the changes in food reserves, and therefore an indicator of the general fish condition[27]. In general, the seasonal cycle in fish’s condition suggested a relationship to gonadal development. According to Ahmed et al[28], the condition factor of the silver hatchet chela, \( Chela cachius \) (Hamilton, 1822) (Cyprinidae) was constant during the pre-spawning period, decreased in the period of spawning and became the lowest immediately after spawning. However, only several seasonal data were used during this study, thus it is difficult to compare among the condition of fishes.

4. Discussion

During this study, the overall sex ratio did not differ from 1:1 ratio statistically which is expected for most of the aquatic animals[20]. However, lack of references dealing with the sex ratio of this species restrains the comparison with previous results. In addition, in spite of considering a number of specimens with different body sizes, \( R. corsula \) smaller than 8.59 cm total length were found to be absent. This can be attributed to biological reasons but are more likely owing to technical reasons such as different sample sizes, different gear selectivity and/or shrinkage in body size of the formalin preserved specimens[20–24]. In contrast, the maximum size of \( R. corsula \) observed in this study within the Padma River is far less than the half of the maximum recorded value of 45.00 cm total length in India[3]. Nonetheless, lack of reference restrains the comparison of this finding with previous ones.

In this study, the regression parameter \( b \) of LWR for males was higher than that of females, which indicated that male individuals increase the body weight at a more rapid rate than female individuals do. Earlier study[25] reported isometric growth of males, females and combined sexes of the same species using merged data from different fish-markets without indication of water bodies, therefore their study was not presented in a sound and/or scientific study. However, the values of \( a \) and \( b \) for \( R. corsula \) in this study were within the limits[8]. The LWR with \( b \) values significantly different from 3.0 is often associated with narrow size ranges of the specimens examined and such LWR should be used only within the respective size ranges (with caution for sample–size inadequacy). To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this study provides the first information on the LWRs of \( R. corsula \).

Four types of condition factors were used to assess the overall health and productivity of \( R. corsula \) in this study. The condition factor is an index reflecting interactions between biotic and abiotic factors in the physiological condition of fishes. It shows the welfare of the population during various life cycle stages[26]. No references dealing with the condition factors of \( R. corsula \) are available in the literature, preventing the comparison with previous results. However, condition factor based on the LWR is an indicator of the changes in food reserves, and therefore an indicator of the general fish condition[27]. In general, the seasonal cycle in fish’s condition suggested a relationship to gonadal development. According to Ahmed et al[28], the condition factor of the silver hatchet chela, \( Chela cachius \) (Hamilton, 1822) (Cyprinidae) was constant during the pre-spawning period, decreased in the period of spawning and became the lowest immediately after spawning. However, only several seasonal data were used during this study, thus it is difficult to compare among the condition of fishes.

![Figure 3](image-url)
throughout the year.

Furthermore, the $W_2$ showed significant differences from 100 for males ($P=0.03$) and females, indicating an imbalance habitat with food availability relative to the presence of predators for $R. corsula$ in the Padma River\[19\]. However, no references dealing with the relative weight on the $Khorsula$ fish are available in the world, preventing the comparison with previous results.

This study provides invaluable information on the biometrics including sex ratio, LFDs, LWRs and condition factors of the $R. corsula$ from Bangladesh. The results of this study would be an effective tool for fishery biologists, managers and conservationists to initiate management strategies and regulations for the sustainable conservation of the remaining stocks of this species in the Ganges ecosystem. In addition, these information for $R. corsula$ are clearly lacking in literature and data bases including FishBase. Therefore, results of this study provide invaluable information for the online FishBase database, as well as an important baseline for further studies.
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