

# Survey of Proposed Technique for Image Authentication with LDPC

Imran khan<sup>1</sup>, Asst. Prof K.Suresh<sup>2</sup>, Asst.Prof Miss Ranjana Batham<sup>3</sup>

(Electronics & Communication, RGPV/ All Saints Engineering Institute, Bhopal)

(Electronics & Communication, RGPV / All Saints Engineering Institute, Bhopal)

( Head of the Electronics & Communication, RGPV/ All Saints Engineering Institute, Bhopal)

## Abstract:

For Image Authentication Problem using Encryption Technique and LDPC Source Coding is necessary in Content Delivery via unsecure medium, Like Peer-To-Peer (P2P) File Sharing. These transferring Digital Files from one Computer to another. Images are the Most Important Utility of our life. They are used in many applications. There are Two Main Goals of Image Security: Image Encryption and Authentication. More different encoded versions of the original image available. In addition, unsecure medium might tamper with the contents.. We propose an efficient, accurate, reliable process using encryption and LDPC source coding for the image authentication problem. The key idea is to provide a Slepian-Wolf encoded as authentication data which is encrypted using cryptography key before ready to send. The key used for encryption is usually independent of the Plain-Image. This can be decoded with side information of an authentic image.

*Keywords* — Image digest, image authentication, Image Security, Digital Image Processing, LDPC Source code

## I. INTRODUCTION

In today's world, digital images are being widely used in numerous applications such as military, intelligence, surveillance, Digital image processing deals with manipulation of digital images through a digital computer. It is a subfield of signals and systems but focus particularly on images. The input of that system is a digital image and the system process that image using efficient algorithms, and gives an image as an output. The most common example is Adobe Photoshop. It is one of the widely used application for processing digital images. JPEG is the most widely used formats that store the digital images using digital cameras and software tools [2]. Nothing to check the difference of an image is original or being manipulated.

Data authentication is sensitive to single bit change in the original data while image authentication is to be content sensitive process .these including JPEG compression which is lossy compression, as some data is loss in the end. then result will be changes in a bits that are acceptable. the authentication system tolerable to such changes while it is necessary for the system to remain sensitive to malicious manipulations. Every organization who is related are disturb with the photo tampering. For example, digital images, videos, and audio are use as perfect proof in medicals. civil, criminal , and security cases. In such cases, this is very important.

Digital image technique uses many computer algorithms to process digital images [4]. The result of this process show can be either images form or a setting of characteristics or properties of the original images. digital image processing have been generally use in intelligent systems, robotics, remote sensing, medical imaging, photography and forensics. Digital image process directly contacts to

image, which is keep more image points. These image points are pixels, that shows the exact position of the points in the image, and intensity values. A colorful image keep highest dimensional information which is a gray image, as red, green and blue values are used in different type of combinations to again produce different colors of the image in the real world.

The main purpose of digital image processing is represent through human beings to obtain an high quality or descriptive characteristics of the original image [2].

Sensory systems cannot discriminate between a human subject and the background without the implementation of an intelligent algorithm. We shall classify attacks into two types:-

### **A. Passive Attacks**

Passive attacks not involve at the time of modifications or changes to the original message contents. These are distribute into two subcategories:

#### **1. Release of Message Content**

It is known that when we send a confidential message to our friend through email; then only she/he be able to access it. Otherwise the content of message is released against our wishes to someone else.

#### **2. Traffic Analysis**

Passive attacker could attempt to figure out similar between Messages to come up in some sorting pattern they show her/him some hints at the time of communication that is taking place. Traffic analysis attacks provide some analyzing message at the time of some attempt.

### **B. Active Attacks**

Active attacks are related on change in the original message contents in particular pattern or false message at the time of creation.. These attacks Classified into three subparts:-

#### **1. Interruption**

When an unauthorized entity prevent to an authorized entity. For Example, an authorized user

Z might an authorized user X and message send to user Y. User Y believe that the message necessarily came from user X [2,6]. In masquerade attacks, another kind of active attacks are also embedding. As an instance, this attack involve to known about the user`s authentication sequence (e.g. user ID and password).

### **2. Modification**

Modification means to the change in message data.

## **II. Multimedia Information**

In this digital seanario, increasing more in communication networks, and growing passion of the general public for new information technologies cause to huge growth of multimedia document traffic (image, text, audio, video, etc.). This is now very necessary in this seanario that protect and control of the changing data is major problem.. Digital images, multimedia documents can be duplicated, easily edit use easily for another work. and delet very easily. In this concern, it is important to copyright protection ssystem start for this missuse, [6,9]. Watermarking seems to be the alternative best solution for protection against duplication, and authentication of content.

## **II. RELATED WORK**

In year 2010, E Kee et. al. proposed a method [29] that specify how to exploit for image authentication of the pattern and storage of an embedded image summary. The creation of a concise is a series of filtering operations, contrast alteration, and confining. We provide model parameters and these parameters differ extremely between camera producer and photo-analyze software. We also specify how this signature can be linked with encoding information from the essential high resolution image to further show the signature`s disnctiveness.

Past method for image authentication decline into three groups: watermarking, forensics, and complex hashing. In digital forensics, the user find out the authenticity of entire picture by analyzing the received content [5- 6]. Incurably, information

about original, we cannot finally confirm the purity of the received content because content not related to the original may pass forensic investigates. Watermarking is other choice for image authentication. A semi-fragile watermark is fixed into the host signal waveform without intuitive misinterpretation. Users can confirm authenticity by obtain the watermark from the acquired content. The system designs become insure that the watermark keeps lossy compression, intensely, watermarking authentication is not in reversible suitable with before encoded contents; i.e., unused content cannot be authenticated. Fixed watermarks capable to required bit rate increase when shrink a media file.

Alike Yao et. al. [12] refined an authentication techniques depend on complex hashing, which is influenced by cryptographic hashing [13]. Within this technique, the user reviews the sincerity of the received content from the original one from this small amount of data imitative. Various image authentication systems based on hash function obtain robustness across lossy compression by using compression consistent appearance; these compressions-influenced features are designed for particular compression design but decline under another coding design or probable image processing. Robustness is increased using more refined features; any fixed point has the lack that an attacker understood the null space of the projection can revise the image not effect the authenticate data. Using pseudorandom projections keeps the null space a private. these features calculated also in a nonlinear presence. Appearance robust across rotation, prune, resisting, or translation has been apply on the Radon transform [23- 24], other process include features necessary for human visual system [28].

Continuation and compression of authentication data have not been affected from intensity. More way use course Continuation. Such as, Fridrich et al. Use 1-bit quantization for random projection consents the relation-based schemes can be treated as 1-bit quantization of coefficients variance. Venkatesan et al. [21] first use regard error-correcting coding to reduce the image

authentication data size. This scheme projects the binary and vectors both images into syndrome bits which is an error-correcting code and directly equality the syndrome bits to decide the authenticity.

The way of Sun et al. uses organized Hamming codes to Retrieve the binary feature vectors parity check bits as the authenticate data [30]. These parity check bits are joining with the binary character vector of the received image to remove the errors show by image processing, just as compression. Our unique ideas make update with the knowledge of statistical methods and distributed source coding. Activate by our access,

So, after analyzing all research work, it is found that still this research area has a huge space of normal and efficient technology for image authentication. Thus, in this discourse work we proposed a work for image authentication occupy on the encoding-decoding approach of low density parity check methods with cryptography. The proposed approach insures that the original image received at receiver side is un-tampered.

### **III. CONCLUSIONS**

Within the paper, we analyzes the existing work complete in the similar area and proposed a innovative image validation scheme that distinct appropriate encoding variations of an image from diversify versions based on allocate authorize coding and static methods. A two-phase loss channel represents the static dependency between the source and the target pics. Diversify decline are retrieve by using a static image and real compression noise is affected to be preservative white Gaussian noise.

### **IV. PROPOSED SCHEME**

The proposed method uses distributed source coding of image authentication system. of a Slepian–Wolf contain the authenticate data as in figure1, a random seed, and a signature of the image projection. The final image is typed as an output of the two-state lossy channel. The user capture the final image using the equal projection

and side information and try to decoded the Slepian–Wolf bit stream uses the information. If the decoding process fails, i.e., the reconstructed image projection hash value not match the signature, decoder claims verification it is tampered; or, the side information is verify using hypothesis testing.




Fig. 1: Encryption Process with Secret Key

## REFERENCES

1. Fridrich, D. Soukal, J. Luk'as, "Detection of copy move forgery in digital images," in *Proceedings of Digital Forensic Research Workshop*, 2003 August.
2. Popescu and H. Farid, "Exposing digital forgeries by detecting duplicated image regions", *Tech. Rep. TR2004-515*, Department of Computer Science, Dartmouth College, 2004.
3. Z. Lin, R. Wang, X. Tang, H.-V. Shum, "Detecting doctored images using camera response normality and consistency", in *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, (San Diego, CA), 2005.
4. M. Johnson, H. Farid, "Exposing digital forgeries in complex lighting environments", *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security* 3(2), 2007, pp. 450–461. (2002) *The IEEE website*. [Online]. Available: <http://www.ieee.org/>
5. Luk'as, J. Fridrich, M. Goljan, "Digital camera identification from sensor noise", *IEEE Transactions on Information Security and Forensics* 1(2), 2006, pp. 205–214.
6. Gallager, R. G., "Low Density Parity Check Codes, Monograph", M.I.T. Press, 1963.
7. H. Farid, "Image forgery detection", *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, Vol. 26, No. 2, Mar 2009, pp. 16–25.
8. Popescu, H. Farid, "Exposing digital forgeries in color filter array interpolated images", *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, Vol. 53, No. 10, Oct. 2005, pp. 3948–3959.
9. J. Cox, J. Kilian, T. Leighton, and T. Shamoon, "Secure spectrum watermarking for images, audio and video", in *Proc. I Conf. Image Process.*, Lausanne, Switzerland, 1996, Sep.
10. J. R. B. Wolfgang, E. J. Delp, "A watermark for digital images", in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process.*, Lausanne, Switzerland, 1996, Sep.
11. Yao-Chung Lin, David Varodayan, "Image Authentication Using Distributed Source Coding", In *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, Vol. 21, No. 1, January 2012, pp. 273- 283
12. W. Diffie, M. E. Hellman, "New directions in cryptography", *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, Vol. IT-22, No. 6, Jan. 1976, pp. 644–654.
13. C.-Y. Lin, S.-F. Chang, "Generating robust digital signature for image/video authentication", in *ACM Multimedia*:

*Multimedia and Security Workshop, Bristol, U.K., Sep. 1998, pp. 49–54.*

14. M. Schlauweg, D. Pröfrock, E. Müller, “JPEG2000-based secure image authentication”, in *Workshop on Multimedia and Security, 2006, Geneva, Switzerland*, pp. 62–67.
15. M. Schneider, S.-F. Chang, “A robust content based digital signature for image authentication”, in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process., Vol. 3, Sep. 1996, pp. 227–230.*
16. Fridrich, “Robust bit extraction from images”, in *Int. Conf. Multimedia Computing and Syst., Vol. 2, Jul. 1999, pp. 536–540.*
17. D.-C. Lou, J.-L. Liu, “Fault resilient and compression tolerant digital signature for image authentication”, *IEEE Trans. Consumer Electronics, Vol. 46, No. 1, Feb. 2000, pp. 31–39.*
18. L. Xie, G. R. Arce, R. F. Graveman, “Approximate image message authentication codes”, *IEEE Trans. Multimedia, Vol. 3, No. 2, Jun. 2001, pp. 242–252.*
19. Qiu, J., Wang, P.: *An image encryption and authentication scheme. In: 2011 Seventh International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Security (CIS), December 3-4, pp. 784–787. IEEE (2011)*
20. H. Zhang, H. Zhang, Q. Li, and X. Niu, “Predigest Watson’s visual model as perceptual hashing method”, in *Int. Conf. Convergence and Hybrid Inf. Technol., 2008, Nov, Vol. 2, pp. 617–620.*
21. R. Venkatesan, S.-M. Koon, M. H. Jakubowski, P. Moulin, “Robust image hashing”, *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process., Vol. 3, 2000, pp. 664–666.*
22. F. Lefebvre, J. Czyz, B. Macq, “A robust soft hash algorithm for digital image signature”, in *Int. Conf. Multimedia and Expo, 2003, Baltimore, MD.*
23. H.-L. Zhang, C.-Q. Xiong, G.-Z. Geng, “Content based image hashing robust to geometric transformations”, in *Proc. Int. Symp. Electronic Commerce and Security, 2009, May, Vol. 2, pp. 105108.*
24. Swaminathan, Y. Mao, M. Wu, “Robust and secure image hashing”, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics and Security, Vol. 1, No. 2, Jun. 2006, pp. 215–230.*
25. C. De Roover, C. DeVleeschouwer, F. Lefebvre, B. Macq, “Robust video hashing based on radial projections of key frames”, *IEEE Trans. Signal Process., Vol. 53, No. 10, Oct. 2005, pp. 4020–4037.*
26. Ghoshal, N., Mandal, J.K.: *A Bit Level Image Authentication/Secrete Message Transmission Technique (BLIA/SMTT), Association for the Advancement of Modelling & Simulation Technique in*

- Enterprises (AMSE). AMSE Journal of Signal Processing and Pattern Recognition 51(4), 1–13 (2008)*
27. MONGA, B. L. EVANS, “PERCEPTUAL IMAGE HASHING VIA FEATURE POINTS: PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND TRADEOFFS”, *IEEE TRANS. IMAGE PROCESS.*, VOL. 15, NO. 11, NOV. 2006, PP. 3452– 3465.
28. Al-Gindy, A.: *A Fragile Invertible Watermarking Technique for the Authentication of Medical Images*, pp. 191–195. *IEEE* (2011)
29. E Kee, H Farid, “Digital Image Authentication from Thumbnails”, in *SPIE Symposium on Electronic Imaging, San Jose, CA, 2010*.
30. M. Tagliasacchi, G. Valenzise, S. Tubaro, “Hash-based identification of sparse image tampering”, *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, Vol. 18, No. 11, pp. 2491–2504, Nov. 2009.