Management of Bone Defect in Forearm by Creating One Bone Forearm - A Case Report
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Abstract: 35 year old, housewife presented with non-union right forearm following crush injury right forearm thirteen months back. Extensor tendons were lost, fixed deformities were present at wrist and x-ray showed non-union with gap of radius more than ulna and wrist arthritis. Radialization of ulna was done creating one bone forearm with bone graft. Three months follow-up showed fracture union in progress, with good cosmetic appearance and some function of the right upper limb. One bone forearm procedure can be considered to treat post traumatic bone loss in forearm when other treatment fails.
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35 year old, housewife resident of Nepal presented with deformity of right forearm since eleven months. She had restriction of forearm and wrist movements and loss of hand function. According to her previous medical records there was history of crush injury right forearm thirteen months back which was treated elsewhere with debridement and stabilization with external fixator. Plastic surgery intervention had been done for the post traumatic raw area with flap cover. Removal of fixator was done after two months. On examination (Figures 1e-1d) there was Flap surgery scar of ten centimeters in length occupying two third circumference of right forearm with deformity and abnormal mobility at mid and distal one third junction right forearm. There was loss of continuity in radius and ulna from distal half-length to distal one third junction. Fixed deformities were, wrist fixed in neutral, fingers fixed in 10°, 20° and 10° at distal interphalangeal joint, proximal interphalangeal joint and metacarpophalangeal joints respectively. Elbow flexion terminally restricted by 20°. There were no wrist movements. 20° free flexion at distal interphalangeal joint and proximal interphalangeal joint and 10° free flexion at metacarpophalangeal joint. On neurological examination sensations were intact but motor system could not be assessed because of extensor tendon loss, wrist fixed deformity and stiffness of fingers. There was three centimetres shortening of right forearm. X-ray (Figures 1e and 1f) showed non-union fracture both bones right forearm with gap of radius more than ulna with sclerosed tapering edges with rounded margins. There was osteopenia in distal fragments and carpal bones and arthritis of the wrist joint. Elbow joint was normal.
Plan of management for non-union of fracture of ulna with gap was freshening of fracture site, stabilisation with locking compression plate or intramedullary nail and cancellous bone grafting. For non-union fracture of radius with gap was freshening of fracture site and free or vascularized fibular graft if intra-operative gap is less than or more than six centimetres respectively and stabilisation with locking Ellis plate. Approach of radius was by Henry’s approach and incision over subcutaneous border for ulna. Intra operative findings were distal fragment of ulna was small and osteoporotic (Figure 2a) and there was screw back out hence fixation was unstable and radius non-union gap was six centimetres (more than ulna which was one and half centimetres). So, we carried out radialization of ulna creating one bone forearm. Proximal ulnar and distal radial fragment were freshened (ends were sclerotic and tapered) and stabilized with locking Ellis plate (Figure 2b and 2c). Transfixation of proximal radial and ulnar fragments was done to prevent impingement. Cancellous bone grafting was done. Post-operative there was flap necrosis over radial side managed conservatively and wound gaping of radial and ulnar incision after suture removal on fourteenth post-op day, managed by secondary suturing after two weeks. Three months follow-up showed fracture union in progress (Figure 2d and 2e), with good cosmetic appearance (Figure 2f) and some function of the right upper limb (Figure 2g and 2h). We have lost the patients follow-up.
Review of literature: Sir Hey Groves first performed one-bone forearm in 1921\(^1\). It is performed for bone loss due to trauma\(^2,3,4\), chronic osteomyelitis\(^5,6,7,8\), tumor of radius\(^3\), radial club hand\(^9\) but suitable for instability\(^10,11\), excess or segmental bone loss\(^12\) and bone loss of radius (>6cm)\(^8\). Elbow and wrist movements are spared\(^4,5,6,7,9\), forearm is stable\(^2,6\), cosmetically good\(^5\), with normal alignment\(^5,6,9\), but rotations are sacrificed\(^6,7,8,10,11\), wrist is stable\(^5,8\), hand functions are normal\(^7,8\) and growth is not affected in children\(^6,9\). Union rates were good\(^5,8\), with less pain\(^4,5\), good patient satisfaction\(^4\), less complications\(^2\) and excellent results\(^4\) in some studies and significant complications in some\(^3\). Complications are nonunion, impingement, pain, shortening. Poor results can be due to infection, previous trauma, severe nerve injury and multiple surgery\(^3\). This procedure is considered as salvage procedure as last resort when other treatment fails.

Conclusion

One bone forearm procedure can be considered to treat post traumatic bone loss in forearm when other treatment fails.
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