EFFECT OF UV-B RADIATION ON GROWTH AND ANTHOCYANIN PRODUCTION IN MALVA SYLVESTRIS L.

JAYALAKSHMI N.R.1, SARASWATHI K.J.T.1, VIJAYA B.1, RAMAN D.N.S.2, AND SHREENIVAS D.P.H.S.3
1Department of Microbiology and Biotechnology, Jnana Bharathi Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore-56
2Department of Botany, P.G. Centre, St. Josephs College, Bangalore-12
3Lotus Labs Pvt Ltd., Bangalore-51
*Corresponding Author: Email - jb_urs@rediffmail.com

Received: July 26, 2011; Accepted: August 16, 2011

Abstract- The changes of growth pattern and anthocyanin production, malvidin and delphinidin of Malva sylvestris L., were studied under UV-B treatment. The supplemental dose of UV-B exposure significantly reduced the growth of the plant with increase in anthocyanin content. With the traditional chilled acidified methanol method, the total anthocyanins were extracted and estimated by pH differential spectroscopic method. The anthocyanins were purified by C18 Sep pak column and further analyzed for different anthocyanins by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) methods.

Keywords - Phenolics, malvidin, delphinidin, photoinduction, morphological variations, induced stress, HPLC, C18 Sep-pak column

Introduction
The interaction between UV-B radiation and plants are various like additive, synergistic or antagonistic [1]. Different species have different response to the level of UV-B radiation. The negative effects results in deformed morphological parameters like decrease in plant height, leaf area, leaf number, wet and dry mass of the plant, axillary branching etc., with increase in leaf curling. The positive effect is shown by enhanced acceleration in the biosynthesis of phenolics [2]. Phenolicsin the epidermal layers of leaves and tissues are susceptible to UV light and protect the plants from UV damage by activating the enzymes chalcone synthase (CHS) or chalcone isomerase (CHI) in flavonoid biosynthetic pathway. This photoinduction activates photoreceptors, phytochromes and independently induces the synthesis of anthocyanins [3].

Malva sylvestris L., (mallow) is a perennial herb of Malvaceae family harbors polysaccharides, flavonoids with anthocyanins as the main components. The alcoholic extracts of leaves and flowers have been widely used as a mild relief for cough and inflammatory diseases of the mucus membrane [4], they are also utilized as medicines, food flavors, nutritive food, UV protecting agents (lotions and creams) etc. in pharma industries [5]. The prime aim of the present work was to study the effect of UV-B radiation on the growth and higher accumulation of anthocyanin production in Malva sylvestris.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Malvidin-3-glucoside and Delphinidin chloride were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Germany), Solid phase extraction columns C18 Sep-pak columns from Agilent (USA), and all other HPLC graded chemicals from Himedia (India).

Method

UV-B radiation

Malva sylvestris plants were cultivated from seeds sown using top soil with mixture of compost to maintain moisture at room temperature. The flowering plants (ten numbers) were taken for elicitation. The UV-B radiation was provided for thirty minutes in three days by Philips sunlamps (Philips TL 20W/12). The sunlamps were wrapped by 0.13mm cellulose diacetate filters for removing UV-C radiation. The plants were observed for their morphological changes and later the treated flowers were dried under shade and stored at 4°C till further analysis.

Extraction and purification of anthocyanins

Different methods were adopted for maximum recovery of anthocyanins. As per the percentage of yield, extraction and purification were done by the method as explained by [6]. Methanol:Acetic acid:Water in the ratio 49:1:50 was added to the powdered flower sample and incubated at 4°C for 20-24 hours. The extract was filtered with Whatmann no.1 filter paper and the residual extracts were rotary evaporated under vacuum at 30°C. The anthocyanins were separated by solid phase extraction using Accu Bond C-18 cartridge (sep-pak column) with acidified (0.1%HCl) methanol as a solvent.
The total monomeric anthocyanin content was determined by pH differential spectrophotometric analysis with cyanidin as standard [7] and the purified sample with standard malvidin and delphinidin were qualitatively separated by TLC on silica gel 60 F254 with the solvent system butanol:acetic acid:water in the ratio 4:1:5. The pink and blue colored bands were obtained and their Rf values were calculated and compared with the standard.

Reverse phase HPLC analysis was carried out on waters separation module (Waters Corp., Milford, Mass., USA) equipped with an auto injector and separation was carried on ODS column. The sample was eluted at a flow rate of 1.5ml/min with two solvent system, solvent A with 15% acetic acid and 85% water (v/v), solvent B with acetonitrile. Gradient separation at room temperature was done with detection at 520nm.

Statistical methods
Calculations and statistical analysis were performed using SPSS 11.5 Windows software. Based on the experimental design adopted in the study, data were analyzed using Student’s t-test. The results presented are averaged over the independent experiments with ten quantifications within each sample. Mean values are expressed as ± S.E. for comparison of the means, the at 1% level of significance.

Results and Discussion
The treatment given for three days, thirty minutes was non lethal for plant survival with flowering (Table 1). The UV treated plants showed morphological changes in plant height; leaf texture, number, area; fresh and dry weight of the plant; size and color of flowers. About 74% and 90% reduction in fresh and dry weight biomass, 63% reduction in plant height, 85% reduction in leaf number, 88% reduction in leaf area were noticed. The leaf texture showed roughness with curling and flower showed deepened blue color with size reduction ([Table 2], “Fig. (1) and (2a)”). The reduction in plant height is often used to index the degree of UV-B sensitivity, the basis is the free radicals being synthesized due to stress which change the membrane integrity; disrupts the synthesis and transport of plant hormones. The reduction in fresh and dry weights could be due to deficiency in photosynthetic activity with decreased efficiency of enzyme activities and photosystem II [8]. According to [9] the reduction in leaf area with decrease in leaf number could be an adaptive mechanism to minimize the exposure to radiation. The reduction can also be due to inhibited or reduced cell division, photosynthetic rate and calmodulin content, a key factor for leaf growth [10]. This similar trend in morphometric variations have also been observed in Legumes[11], Jaborosa magellanica [12], Crotalaria juncea [13], Olea europaea [14] and Gossypium hirsutumL. [15].

The total anthocyanin concentration was significantly increased to about 180.08% compared to untreated sample (Table 2) and “Fig. (2b)”. This increase justifies the work done in other plants like Arabidopsis, Lettuce, Petunia, Vitis vinifera, Catharanthus roseus, Passiflora quadrangularis,Crotalaria juncea L. etc. [3, 19-23, 13]. According to [24] flavonoid accumulation is regarded as a protective mechanism in higher plants. UV-B radiation induces injury to plant cells and is associated with alteration of oxidative stress defensive systems which result in disturbance of active oxygen metabolism with increase in scavengers like glutathione and possibly flavonoids, especially the anthocyanins [10]. Anthocyanins are water soluble pigments appearing transiently in juvenile or senescing tissues [7] and regulate the stress by reducing UV-B penetration, protect photosynthetic apparatus, bind to phytoxins, and reorients cell division apparatus [25, 26].

Fractionation of acidified methanolic extract of flowers by Sep-pak C18 column afforded two anthocyanidins viz., malvidin and delphinidin which were identified by TLC and HPLC methods. The HPLC chromatogram of the dried flower sample extract obtained in the visible spectral region (520nm) revealed the presence of malvidin and delphinidin in both UV treated and untreated plants “fig (3)” and “fig (4)”. The absorption spectra of the solutions showed the maxima to be identical (517nm), confirming the presence of the same anthocyanidins with the standard. According to the area of the corresponding peaks there was an increase in malvidin and delphinidin content in treated samples (49.3µg/g) and (27.4µg/g) when compared to untreated samples (27.9µg/g) and (14.2µg/g). This justifies the work done by [5], which reveals Malva sylvestris is rich in malvidin and its derivatives compared to delphinidin.

Conclusion
The methanolic extracts of Malva sylvestris has traditionally been used as herbal medicine in folk remedies for the treatment of coughs, pains, inflammations and cancers. The plant could be used for the extraction of anthocyanins intended to be employed...
as food colorant and antioxidant agent by the food, pharmaceutical, and cosmetic industries. The procedures adopted in this study can be used prior to and in conjunction with acid hydrolysis to fully characterize the malvidin and delphinidin derivatives. For complete identification of these compounds additional techniques like, electrospray mass spectroscopy, NMR are necessary for determining the molecular weight, nature of glycosidic linkages and the sites of acyl and sugar substitution.
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### Table 1 - Survivability of Malva sylvestris with UV-B treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hour/day</th>
<th>Effects</th>
<th>3/3</th>
<th>3/2</th>
<th>3/1</th>
<th>2/3</th>
<th>2/2</th>
<th>2/1</th>
<th>1/3</th>
<th>1/2</th>
<th>1/1</th>
<th>½/3</th>
<th>½/2</th>
<th>½/1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Few survived, few died</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival with stunted growth</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival, no floweration</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival with floweration</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survival with no effect</td>
<td>- - -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+++: All ten plants, ++: Half of the plants, +: One or two plants

### Table 2 - Effect of UV radiation on plant morphology and Anthocyanin content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
<th>Mean Difference±S.E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant height(cm)</td>
<td>23.613</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>31.960±1.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf number</td>
<td>30.008</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>90.600±3.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total leaf area(dm²)</td>
<td>21.876</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>70.466±3.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh weight(gm/plant)</td>
<td>16.860</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>18.218±1.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry weight(gm/plant)</td>
<td>18.002</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>3.358±0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthocyanin content (µg/g)</td>
<td>-101.961</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>-48.60±0.476</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At 1% level of significance

### Table 3-Recovery of total anthocyanin (by different extraction methods and conditions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extraction number</th>
<th>Method applied</th>
<th>Solvent used</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Acidification</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Loss (%)</th>
<th>Anthocyanins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Soxhelt extraction</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>80°C-90°C</td>
<td>5% acetic acid 1% HCl 5% formic acid</td>
<td>62 71 60</td>
<td>38 29 40</td>
<td>Only malvidin, no delphinidin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Partition extraction</td>
<td>Acetone, chloroform</td>
<td>35°C-40°C</td>
<td>0.1- 0.01% HCl</td>
<td>50-62</td>
<td>35-40</td>
<td>malvidin and delphinidin (very less)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cold incubation</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>4°C</td>
<td>1% HCl</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>malvidin and delphinidin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1- Effect of UV on *Malvasylvestris*

A: Normal plant, B and Ba: Comparison of untreated and treated plant, C: Comparison of untreated and treated leaves, D: Comparison of untreated and treated flowers, E: Flowers with deep blue color, F and Fa: Leaf curling, G: UV treated plant with changes in morphology
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The p-value is less than 0.01, the hypothesis is rejected at 1% level of significance and hence there is a significant difference between the treated and control plant.

![Statistical box plots showing the effect of UV treatment on physical characters and anthocyanin content](image1)

![Chromatogram for untreated sample and UV treated sample](image2)

**Fig. 2a and b** - Statistical box plots showing the effect of UV treatment on physical characters and anthocyanin content.

**Fig. (3) and (4)** - Chromatogram for untreated sample and UV treated sample.